

The University as intermediary for the creative economy: pedagogues, policymakers, and creative workers in the curriculum.

Journal:	Arts and Humanities in Higher Education
Manuscript ID	AHH-18-0042.R1
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue: Teaching the Cultural and Creative Industries: an International Perspective
Keywords:	Creative Industries, Cultural Intermediaries, Cultural Economy, Third stream, Knowledge Transfer
Abstract:	This article examines the nature and role of courses designed to train creative workers, policy-makers, and related actors, in the skills necessary for cultural management, enterprise or intermediation and their relationship in apprehending the sector. The article takes a case study approach, engaging with university policy, student research, reflections from graduates and staff who have participated in a suite of integrated MA awards at a UK University. We find the programme created environments in which practitioners and intermediaries were positioned in reflexive relation to their experiences and roles. We outline the insights and understandings that have emerged as students explored their own orbits in relation to both critical and instrumental research on the cultural sector, and in relation to perceptions of the transformations in sector and how it is conceived. The case study sets out an agenda for exploring the relationship of research, pedagogy and practice after the creative industries.



The University as intermediary for the creative economy: pedagogues, policymakers, and creative workers in the curriculum

Dave Harte
Paul Long
Annette Naudin

Abstract

This article examines the nature and role of courses designed to train creative workers, policy-makers, and related actors, in the skills necessary for cultural management, enterprise or intermediation and their relationship in apprehending the sector. The article takes a case study approach, engaging with university policy, student research, reflections from graduates and staff who have participated in a suite of integrated MA awards at a UK University. We find that the programme created environments in which practitioners and intermediaries were positioned in reflexive relation to their experiences and roles. We outline the insights and understandings that have emerged as students explored their own orbits in relation to both critical and instrumental research on the cultural sector, and in relation to perceptions of the transformations in sector and how it is conceived. The case study sets out an agenda for exploring the relationship of research, pedagogy and practice after the creative industries.

Key Words

Creative Industries; Cultural Intermediaries; Cultural Economy; Third stream; Knowledge Transfer

Introduction

What is the relationship between the policy and realities of the creative industries and the ideas and practices that academics produce as researchers and pedagogues? This research is an attempt to contribute answers to this question by addressing what Comunian & Ooi (2016) recognise as a gap in existing literature. That is: "the need to recognise and better understand how HE impacts and contributes to changes in the creative economy and cultural policy" (2016: 60). In this paper we draw connections between the work of universities in their role as

intermediaries in policy-making with the creative and cultural economy, and the impact – on ourselves, on the university, and on students – of a set of Master's level teaching programmes in which we have been involved as originators and tutors. We set out the development and implementation of these courses in the context of the maturing role of 'new' universities in policy-making and as vehicles for knowledge exchange with local businesses; and contemporary debates about the value of creative and media education.

The empirical basis of our investigation is organised largely through the reflective accounts by students participating in three postgraduate awards launched in 2009 as part of the Birmingham School of Media in: Creative Industries and Cultural Policy, Media and Creative Enterprise and Social Media. These were established to recruit from, amongst others, those within the creative economy seeking to develop skills of research, communication and reflexivity about their practice. Alongside insights from students and graduates, we also draw on our own experiences as pedagogues, researchers, knowledge transfer agents and project managers. We are taking this moment to assess the understanding we gained about the nature of creative work and the value we created for the creative economy, and for the university, through our own actions in these varied roles. In so doing, we thus offer our own narrative of transformation as a perspective on the position of tutor and students in the field of creative industries provision amidst prodigious growth in UK HE and its contemporary marketisation.

We begin by situating our research within critical debates about the impact on higher education of neoliberal economics, the development of curricula for the study of the creative and cultural industries (CCI), and the role of cultural intermediaries.

Pedagogical practice in the neoliberal university

In his exploration of the relationships between higher education institutions (HEIs) and community, Paul Chatterton (2000) historicises the development of localised universities, arguing that the nurturing of high culture by traditional universities is being eroded by three key trends:

the decline of a locally based elite able to uphold the boundaries of high culture; a more varied consumer demand which is linked mainly to the increase in education attainment; and the rise of a broader base of institutional support and management structures for cultural activities (Chatterton, 2000: 168)

As a consequence, universities are "reasserting their distinctiveness as place-based institutions" (Chatterton, 2000: 169) by making an impact locally, contributing to the creative economy and investing in a greater range of activities for students and local citizens. This is particularly the case for former polytechnics – post-1992 universities - such as Birmingham City University, our own institution, which aims to be a university "for Birmingham" (Birmingham City University, no date: 8). According to Chatterton this has come about through a "number of push and pull factors" (2000: 167): push factors include funding streams for regional development and pull factors are described as a shift from traditional hierarchies. Universities are asserting a level of distinctiveness not solely defined by their economic contribution but also by the cultural role they play in shaping local cultural institutions, producers, practitioners and audiences. This creates opportunities for popular culture and a deeper relationship between local communities and the university (Chatterton, 2000). For the university, this might include undertaking activities such as sponsoring local cultural awards, focusing internal research funding on creative economy collaborations, working jointly on local cultural strategies, hosting public talks on culture, providing public space for arts exhibitions – activities that Birmingham City University has undertaken in one form or another to help it become, in a former Vice-Chancellor's words, a university "without walls, having close interaction with business and industry" (Allan in Morgan, 2014).

Yet as Gilmore and Comunian (2016) point out, the cultural and economic value in these relationships is difficult to measure and define. They involve a range of engagements and co-produced activities developed through collaborations and interactions which are not always systematic or transparent. As they argue, measurement is complicated by the bespoke ways in which HEIs increasingly locate their activities in their locality: "The dynamics of these different dimensions of HEI-creative economy relations are highly embedded in networks of interaction and exchange which are often place-specific" (Gilmore and Comunian, 2016: 2). A particular concern for Gilmore and Comunian is the prodigious ways in which HEIs

have established a formal, structured relationship with the creative economy, engaging 'Beyond the Creative Campus' (Comunian and Gilmore, 2015) in collaborations and in training creative graduates for work in the cultural and creative industries. As Oakley (2013) observes, a relationship which began informally has evolved into a "more directed and more calculating" (2013: 26) arrangement between the sector and HEIs. Parallels have been drawn between the shift to funding HEIs through tuition fees, something approaching an open competitive market, and a creative economy discourse which first emerged in New Labour's cultural policies. As Ashton and Noonan (2013) discuss, this points towards the importation of a neoliberal model in both HEIs and the creative economy, prompting scholars to interrogate the purpose of a creative education (and education *per se*) and of universities conceived in terms of their direct service to the economy.

For instance, regular deployment of the description of students as 'industry ready' across the sector signals how HEIs have turned to meet the skills need of business. In the introduction to curriculum of a focus on professionalism and employability, there is a perceived threat to experimentation and critical thinking (Collini, 2012). Furthermore, the growth in HEI courses servicing, or aligned with, the creative sector (Guile, 2010) has a direct role in its economic conditions. Although little reflection is given to this or the wider role of HE institutions as active players the creative economy, the curriculum in subjects like sociology recognises, documents and critiques these challenges, while practice-based courses address the challenges of success and survival through group work, attempting to develop an environment which prepares students for work in a sector often characterised by its individuating tendencies (McGuigan, 2010).

Learning to labour in the creative and cultural economy

In preparing students for work in the cultural sector, there is tension between an oversupply of creative arts graduates (Bridgstock and Cunningham, 2014) and the development of employability skills for a career in the sector. For graduates, this results in a difficult transition from their education to a work context which is characterised by a portfolio style career and non-permanent employment situation – i.e. precariousness (Hesmondhalgh and Baker, 2011; McRobbie, 2002). Likewise, analyses of cultural work point to inequalities of representation within the cultural

workforce in terms of gender, ethnicity and class (Gill, 2014; Oakley and O'Brien, 2015). In recognising this tension, Matthews (2011) uses the idea of 'translation' rather than 'transition' to articulate the particular challenges for creative graduates, in the context of a sector in which careers are unstable and a challenge is presented for the curriculum in addressing the realities of this situation.

While the aim of preparing students for future work invites a distanced dismissal from many academics, there is more at stake here than a principled resistance to the encroachment of neo-liberalism. For those at work in the post '92 sector, students are still often the first in their families to go to university (Yorke, 2017) and manifest the kind of demographic diversity absent in the elite group of Oxford, Cambridge and the Russell Group of institutions (Sherriff, 2016). Indeed, Birmingham City University's black and ethnic minority student population is over twice that of the sector as a whole (Birmingham City University, 2015). Since the establishment of full fees in 2012/13 there is increasing pressure on such students to consider the purpose of their education in pragmatic terms with media commentators often making distinctions between "top subjects such as medicine, maths and the sciences" and those being offered by "low-performing universities" (Yorke, 2017).

This might be considered as a 'return on investment' in relation to a neo-liberal market or a more transparent exposé of the cultural capital traditionally accrued via a university education and which bestows forms of distinction. So, while pedagogues like the present authors are invested in supporting student ambitions they are also eager to develop the critique of the creative sector and indeed a wider world in which advantage is gained as a result of family, class and related networks (O'Brien et al., 2016). For Naudin (2013), it is through reflective practice that students begin to develop such a critique and begin to question the challenges of cultural work, specifically in terms of the demands of freelancing and micro-entrepreneurship and the landscape in which these are enacted. Daniel Ashton (2013) sees much value in the role of the teacher-practitioner of the kind teaching across post '92 Universities, who can engage students through a first-hand knowledge and experiences with industry. Instead of focusing on a simple transfer of knowledge from experienced practitioner to student, Ashton finds that by engaging with students, there is a possibility for teacher-practitioners to reflect on and examine their own creative

practices and normalisation of working conditions through critical conversations (2013).

However, this isn't unproblematic and a key issue for Ashton (2013) is the relationship of practitioner-academics with the industry in terms of status, regard and expertise. While practitioners are positive about the sharing of expertise with students, their contribution is sometimes framed in terms of negative claims "around teaching in HE as a diminished form of professional capacity" (2013: 177). Ashton suggests this as an instance of how in HE practice based teachers are viewed as lesser type of creative being, as "either enthusiastic amateurs or failed professionals" (2013: 178). Such positions highlight how power relations between tutors and postgraduate students who themselves are drawn from the creative and cultural industries may be different to those in other stages of HE.

Cultural Intermediaries

According to Smith Maguire and Matthews (2014) the literature on cultural intermediaries falls into two categories. Drawing on Bourdieu's work, cultural intermediaries are understood as tastemakers, drawing on their cultural, social and symbolic capital to engage in the promotion of certain kinds of cultural goods, often associated with the middle classes (Smith Maguire and Matthews, 2014). A different interpretation of cultural intermediaries focuses on their role as gatekeepers to markets, mediating between culture and economic contexts. Beyond these positions there is also a sense that the term 'cultural intermediary' encompasses all cultural and creative industries work. Context acts as a framework to interrogate the cultural intermediaries' ability to act authoritatively, as a professional expert but also in response to a social environment (Smith Maguire and Matthews, 2014). This is significant for this study, as part of the context for cultural production and meaning is formed in an educational setting in which the intermediary must deal with the contradiction of being both professional and student; confident in their expert role and able to interrogate their practice. Notions of expertise and legitimacy challenge those postgraduate students recruited from the cultural sector, whose hard-earned professional authority has to be renegotiated through a critical engagement with scholarly debates and pedagogical principles.

The University itself also operates as a cultural intermediary, a place and group of people with cultural, social and symbolic capital which enables the institution and academic staff to act as tastemakers (Smith Maguire and Matthews, 2014). As Durrer and O'Brien note, the intermediation process is similar to that of a 'cultural translator' (2014), a space for reviewing and interpreting. Here, the university as cultural intermediary is understood as an influential player but working in collaboration with industry and funders as described by Comunian and Gilmore (2015):

The growing role played by creative human capital and shared third spaces corresponds to the emergence of bilateral and more organic models of engagement, where new knowledge can be co-created and developed across and beyond academic' (Comunian and Gilmore, 2015: 11)

On considering the relationship of industry and HE it is possible to describe universities as de facto policy bodies, particularly in terms of their relationship with the cultural and creative sector. While they might respond to government and industry requirements – notably in terms of the employability agenda – HE recruitment strategies, curricula and pedagogy are not wholly and instrumentally aligned. In fact, the very existence and durability of arts and humanities courses may express a particular commitment to culture per se. After all, it is a curious characteristic of the expansion of government attention to the value of creative sector that this has not been balanced by any investment in the worth of an education in either making or understanding culture. In 2015, the Warwick Commission report on the Future of Cultural Value identified that "there has been [in England] a significant decline in the number of state schools offering arts subjects taught by specialist teachers" (Neelands et al., 2015: 47). The authors expressed concern about the wider impacts on cultural engagement of "the narrowing of cultural education in schools" (2015: 34). In 2014, Nicky Morgan, the then education minister warned young people that studying arts subjects at school could "hold them back for the rest of their lives" (Hutchison, 2014).

Reflecting on teaching and learning

In the following sections we draw on a range of source material that allows us insights into the reflections of creative economy workers and intermediaries, and into

how the courses they took were developed and operationalised. These sources draw on the discursive frames and structures of the everyday life of academic work that market and validate particular subjects and pedagogical approaches, manifesting creative industries as a subject and reality for staff and students alike and impelling all to produce accounts of these experiences. In our examination we aimed to identify the 'interpretative repertoires' that were being drawn upon; how language is: "put together, constructed, for purposes and to achieve particular consequences" (Wetherell and Potter, 1988: 171). The repertoires limit the possible ways that the speaker can talk about a subject but allow for variance: "repertoires can be seen as the building blocks speakers use for constructing versions of actions, cognitive processes and other phenomena" (1988: 172). We thus look back at university documentation for MA Awards to reflect on the context and case we made for their approval and our articulation of the value the awards would have to the creative economy. While such documentation offers a record of its instrumental function in pitching for course approval (in terms of market, recruitment, quality) it is also a means of understanding a particular moment in time where course design and rhetoric can be read for its response to particular demands across HE policies but also for its expression of abiding objectives of pedagogues and researchers.

The key primary source for this paper however comes in the form of graduate insights into their learning objectives and experiences. Many postgraduate students were established in their field as cultural professionals and postgraduate study was an opportunity for them to review their practice, reflect on their career and the field in which they work. Given their substantial professional experience and expertise, it is possible to describe some of our students as cultural intermediaries embedded in both the cultural sector and in the university. Their accounts were garnered via Personal Development Planning (PDP) assignments written at the end of their course as part of the assessment cycle. PDP was seen as a tool to support employability and its value was promoted by the Higher Education Academy (HEA) and within the University. It is defined as "a structured and supported process undertaken by an individual to reflect upon their own learning, performance and/or achievement and to plan for their personal, educational and career development" (Ward and Watts, 2009: 4). The HEA emphasised the reflexive benefits of the PDP approach, underpinned as it was by sociological "theories of reflexive identity

formation" (2009: 9). For the courses under discussion here, PDP was embedded within the curriculum, with most assignments required to have accompanying written reflections. By taking an integrated approach we were ensuring "reflective approaches underpin the delivery of the curriculum" (Atlay, 2007: 20):

Our aim is to relate PDP explicitly to the philosophy shared across awards to develop 'reflexive creative workers'. Through clearly articulated activities, we encourage each student to take ownership of their learning experience and sense of personal progress. (BCU programme specifications 2009)

The reflective writing we draw upon for this research accompanied final projects and dissertations. These accounts are the most developed in terms of the effectiveness at reflecting on the whole experience of their time on the course. Methodologically we anonymise their authors but they are available publicly as appendices to this work in University repositories and where shared online by students themselves.

These accounts are situated within a broader set of graduate insights into their own career progression, gathered via contributions (in 2017/18) to a dedicated Facebook group aimed at School alumni. Over a thousand alumni joined the group and over a hundred posted details of their career progression, successful or otherwise. The contributions from postgraduates to this group were few in number but rich in detail of professional continuation or transformation. They add further 'texture' to the context and claims of this work and act as records of the development of the "individualisation of creative labour" (McGuigan, 2010: 324) establishing itself in this sector at that time.

Class report

In proceeding it is worth saying something about the character of the courses in focus here in distinction from those with a more obvious 'fit' to fields of production (the School of Media also ran postgraduate courses in Freelance Photography, International Radio Production, Online Journalism and similar areas with a strong production focus). Alongside degree courses that had rebranded in the wake of DCMS boosterism and cheerleading, not to mention the wider circulation of ideas of creative class and city, MA Creative Industries and Cultural Policy (led by author

Long) was a relatively late entrant into this field. However, like the other courses in focus here and noted earlier, its recruitment benefitted from departmental engagement with ongoing research and knowledge exchange projects. These included the INTERREG-funded Creative Metropoles and Cross Innovation (see Long and Harding, 2015), 'Digital Central' (focused on supporting regional creative companies and managed by author Harte, 2009: 109), and the 'Women in Media Enterprise' project run by author Naudin (profiled in Aston et al., 2007: 128). More interestingly, MA Social Media and MA Creative Enterprise were taught in a period of research development resulting in publications by the course leaders which evince the particular take on the subject field (see Naudin, 2013). These awards interacted with each other in shared modules and teaching cultures: creative industries students studied social media cultures, particularly in light of the take-up of platforms and visibility of workers, policy makers and intermediaries in the context of a developing digital field. Enterprise students studied policy while social media students explored the meaning, nature and potential of enterprise. As Naudin has noted, at the time there was limited developed exploration of the concept, especially by creative industries scholars (Naudin, 2013). MA Social Media had the distinction of drawing unwanted attention from the journalist and commentator Milo Yiannopoulos (2012) who expressed surprise that such courses should even exist in higher education.

To some extent the development of the courses can be seen as reaction to debates about value of media education (Thornham and O'Sullivan, 2004; Elliot, 2000; Bell, 2004). The courses set out to "explore the field" (Macdonald, 2008: 141) rather than be simply about topping-up skills or pure research. While we cite above more recent attacks on the value of an 'arts' education more widely, the ongoing debate about the value of media education had been part of political discourse for some time. Sue Thornham and Tim O'Sullivan (2004) react to the ways in which "media coverage has tended to denigrate, question and contest" (2004: 717) the legitimacy of media studies as a subject of study. In seeking a way through the polarised nature of the debate about the value of the field, they describe a media employability project in which the School of Media at Birmingham City University was a partner. The project, they argue, was transformational for such institutional participants. Thornham and O'Sullivan make the case that the project supported those involved in re-shaping the

curriculum in the light of the way assumptions about the perceived narrowness of graduate employability skills had been contested within the field:

the most important changes have therefore been the involvement of staff in teaching and curriculum development across the boundary lines of 'theory' and 'practice', and the building into the curriculum, at each stage of the degree, of opportunities for [...] student self-reflection (Thornham and O'Sullivan, 2004: 733).

The course team developing the new MA programmes, which included this paper's authors, were thus aware of the value of creating what the School's publicity called "thinking media workers", within the context of courses with "critical disposition" (Elliot, 2000: 18). In part, we were responding to Thornham and O'Sullivan's (2004) call for media studies curriculum designers to offer more reflective spaces for students, as well as both Bell's (2004) and Macdonald's (2008) calls to not be drawn in by external attempts to design curriculum purely around industry's needs:

The programmes were all designed to develop reflexive professionals in the media, creative and cultural industries, who can operate at the forefront of the academic discipline and of professional practice. The content and approach of the curriculum would have a strong emphasis on enterprise skills, engagement with new communication technologies, and promoting innovation. (BCU senate approval report 2009).

Courses had relatively small numbers (an average of about ten per course in most years), albeit were global in reach – with recruits from the EU, Far East and Africa alongside home students. The background and ambitions of students were diverse: from those in the publicly-funded cultural sector (policy makers, arts company workers), freelance creatives and those in SMEs (journalists, publishers etc); alongside those in non-creative sectors seeking to develop their postgraduate qualifications or more instrumentally, understand the possibilities of social media in their own workplace. The approval documentation noted the likelihood of recruits already being in work: "we expect many of the students to already be in employment, it is not necessary to justify mass employment opportunities" (BCU senate approval

report 2009). It also saw the potential for sustainability lying in what it saw as an inevitable expansion in the regional creative economy: "as the economy returns to growth, and Birmingham develops its cultural sector, we expect to be well placed to respond to growth in demand for postgraduate awards in these media sectors" (BCU senate approval report 2009).

Interactions in and out of class between students across the three courses evinced some of the tensions apparent in the literature concerning the field – between culture for its own sake and as object of the new economic potential. Alongside these three courses, students from the creative sector across the school and Faculty provided interesting subjects for research and comparison, as did some of the practiceoriented staff. Pedagogies supported highly visible and engaged activities such as participation with local creatives through networking events. These included a regular 'social media cafe' attended by creatives and cultural intermediaries within Birmingham and structured internships which took individuals to roles in the cultural office of the city council or allowed them to explore and assess other positions in the organisations for which they worked. In this milieu, students (and staff) negotiated ways of speaking to varied audiences in making use of the kinds of research developed in support of the creative sector and that which sought to interrogate its claims and conditions. For many amongst the student body then, this was a context in which they found themselves reflecting on both the nature of their own professional identities and experience as well as the purposefulness and utility of the mode of postgraduate student to which they had enlisted.

Purposeful professionalisation

In this section we draw on the PDP student accounts to demonstrate that whilst the awards had value in themselves to the students, they also reveal the tensions felt by the students between the knowledge gained and its use. Study at Masters level is increasingly articulated as an option available to all on completion of their undergraduate degree, thanks in part to available loans, aggressive marketing across HE, in tandem with a fear that with so many equipped with a BA, individuals need a further aspect of distinction to mark them out in the field. However, the majority of students recruited to the courses discussed here have spent some time

out of education and so the turn to study has been a considerable challenge and commitment in spite of their professional status. As Sarah reflects:

I have been planning my masters for a long time, since the last time I was unemployed which would be 5 years [...] I have taken on the largest sum of debt (excluding government student loans) I have yet encountered to take my MA which was a daunting moment. I was also very worried about being around people a great deal younger than myself and of course worried about the content and my ability to complete the course.

Recruitment for the courses came both through expected marketing methods operated by the university but also via communications through the academics' own professional networks, built up via work in the creative sector on knowledge exchange projects. The subsequent mix of recruits (recent graduates from first degrees, creative workers, cultural intermediaries) may have shared a central motivation for career progression, yet this rarely emerges as a theme in their accounts. What was apparent from some professionals taking the course was that they inhabited the kind of working patterns noted in the research on creative labour of self-exploitation, constant networking and rather extreme working patterns, as in this example from a professional journalist and ghost-writer, John. He identified issues with time management as a part-time learner based over 50 miles away from campus. As he writes:

My work follows no pattern: I am just as likely to be driving to Cornwall for an overnight trip on a Saturday afternoon, where I'll fly around the coast with an air-sea rescue team, as I am to be in the office at 7.30am each morning. I'm as likely to be flying to Madagascar, Prague or the Galapagos as I am to be interviewing the manager of a theatre in Shropshire. It is a curious way to make a living, but that is what I do.

Some approached postgraduate study with the aim of professional and intellectual development and reflected on absences in their own repertoires in spite of the kind of considerable accomplishment in the CCI sector as expressed by the journalist. In this next case, Ann, a policy maker working for the Arts Council (who is also a

practising artist with several decades of experience) reveals a particular route of gaining reputation through experience:

On the surface I'm a consummate professional respected in my field of artistic practice, working for the national development agency for the arts. However I have always felt a bit like a three-legged table in this public sector environment, as I have no academic grounding to the work, which involves creating and delivering a range of cultural policies.

This meant a not uncommon encounter with skills requirements slightly different from those developed even in the policy sector. While this student "had used experience in the sector and corporate processes to develop policy documents, reports for council and cultural strategies" (Ann), a challenge was anticipated in her return to education as "I hadn't formally written an essay for 20 years."

Another student who worked with the nascent cultural policy sector in a Middle Eastern country, Tasmin reflected on her encounter with academic approaches as distinct from her perception of the everyday "practical aspect" of work, "more of what is going on the ground". As she reflects: "I struggled a lot in the beginning to create the link and to tackle both aspects; I was not able to link the theoretical aspects to what is going on." She revealed a not uncommon habit of those nurtured in a goal-oriented sector of KPIs and milestone delivery: "because I was approaching the academic literature only to prove my point or to reflect reality". Joseph notes similar challenges: "it has been an interesting struggle to get myself into the habit of reading, analysing texts and writing". In this example, there was a very specific agenda emerging from reflection on this individual's career.

My aim was – and remains – to reflect on my professional practice in the academic arena. I wanted to intellectualise the process, to make better sense of why the work took the form that it did, to understand the reasons for its development.

Transformations

What did these individuals do to engage with the curriculum and the value of the university as intermediary? As suggested, while students were not inclined to express an instrumental approach to study in which they anticipated an award as a step towards advancement, they approached their studies with a calculation about what it could offer for their reputational credentials, integrity and authority. Simon is a lecturer in a new university in which he draws upon his continued involvement in the creative industries in managing bands and record labels. While convinced of a need to expand his learning (and recognising perhaps how PhDs are increasingly a bottom line for status and advancement in the sector) his MA was a step on his route "to establish a credible reputation as an academic". His approach involved pushing his knowledge, recognising that teaching what he knew as a creative worker was "a safe option and it had been the only subject I had engaged seriously over the last decade." As noted already, many students made a distinction between the literacies of their professional lives – whether reading and making policy or the writing practices of the journalist. For many, their professional world of task-oriented activity and their perception of deadline delivery was perceived as quite distinct from the exploratory nature of research-based learning. In short, there was no rote or generic template to follow. For journalist Dave, a 3,000-word feature and a similar sized assignment are radically different things. In many ways, the imaginative space of the latter, writing on the motivations of a journalist or TV producer for instance, presented what was felt to be a more genuinely creative opportunity than the activities expected of the same as creative workers. Likewise, the task of deconstructing cultural policy when viewed as a set of discursive tropes is rather different than writing it from within the normative assurance of those same tropes.

What we notice in these accounts are narratives of transformations as a result of engagement with academic literature, research questions about the normative aspects of creative fields and the learning experience. In these narratives, students reflected repeatedly on two related themes: i) tensions between the agenda of social scientists in researching and theorising the creative industries, and their own sense of empirical reality and practical experience; ii) the discovery of their experiences and 'themselves' as particular figures in the research literature: as members of the creative class, precariat or as emotional worker. A signal example comes from

Michael, who had a fulsome career in South America as a media producer. He was surprised to recognise his career framed in policy and research terms in lectures and in reading: "After I obtained my bachelor's degree in industrial design, I proceeded to work in a variety of creative areas. At the time I was not aware of such thing as creative industries, and it never occurred to me of my position as a creative labourer." In another example, Damian, a former TV producer and now policy maker, delivers research drawing deep on his connections, capturing authentic voices and experiences in which the challenge has been to gain distance from the normative nature of assumptions and perspectives. He explains of this critical distance how: "It radically changed my thinking about the subject of cultural policy, providing valuable insights into the theories and ideas".

Particular takes on the provocations of research and of ethical considerations regarding being reflexive about one's practice and experience highlight challenges encountered by students. For instance, freelance journalist John found a productive thread in the concept of emotional labour and the work of Arlie Hochschild. Specifically, the concept resonated with the day-to-day work of soliciting responses from the public – sometimes as victims or witnesses to crime. In such cases journalists balance and use their own empathetic responses in pursuit of stories and the interests of the newspaper or broadcaster. Likewise, the same concept informed reflections on his work as a professional ghost writer of celebrity autobiographies in which his role might involve ventriloquizing a woman's voice or that of a person of colour.

Such learning and reflections were not, by any means, viewed as a remove from the practical demands of the work conducted by students in their professional lives and the realities of the sector. For instance, Felicity, having recently stepped down from a role in a major art gallery was governed by a compromise agreement with the institution to ensure that she did not bring it into disrepute. Her research concerned the struggles of the gallery and wider sector in her home town to deal with austerity measures. While such instances were not practical contributions to addressing very real disputes and problems, the chance to work with peers and tutors in reflecting on their significance produced meaningful research that had potential to speak more widely to the sector.

Here we have the arts council policy-maker articulates a critical sense at odds with an instrumental idea of education. As she says: 'a more questioning and investigative outlook':

We live in a time of evidence based public services, evaluating and analysing academic texts has definitely improved critical thinking, public speaking and a methodical approach to looking at cultural policy issues. It has also created a more questioning and investigative outlook on the relationship between the arts and philosophy in culture (Ann).

She says that studying academic texts, and studying with international students "opened out my perspective and I gained a new invigorating depth of knowledge."

Finally, the research course work of the former TV producer Damian highlights the particular value of entering into a dialogue with the creative sector about the nature of research but also about the qualities and objectives of CCI education. One substantive piece from him set out to explore the question: "what does it take to survive and be happy as a film and TV producer?" It looks at the background of being a creative worker, the challenges of running a creative business and how to balance risks and choices to achieve a successful career. Ultimately he finds himself in a position as student reflecting on the challenges presented by media education in which it appears that: "university media courses are adding to the undermining of production costs in the marketplace." Assertions of this condition and investigations of its meaning and implications resulted in a thoughtful engagement with some of the debates that shaped the very nature of the course he was on (as outlined by ourselves above).

Conclusions

Use of PDP reports is a valuable reference point for assessing the impact of study, geared as the method is for reflection on origins, current experience and the application of learning. As a pedagogic tool, they allow students the space to consider alternative futures whilst wrestling with the contradictions of the 'interpretative repertoire' of the creative economy discourse. In some of his blog

posts (produced as course work reflections), Social Media student Chris expressed awareness of the contradictions around his enthusiasm for supporting the arts as a fundraiser: "Is it right that arts organisations need to crowdfund to replace funding that in previous years would have been provided by the state? By raising funds through philanthropy are we just buying into a form of neo-liberalism conveniently imported from the US?" With his experience in arts management, his articulation of the opportunities of crowd-funding draw, arguably, on the neo-liberal agenda he critiques: "It (crowdfunding) asks difficult questions of both the board and executive, and exposes those who are prepared to go the extra mile as well as those who are not. In this way, it can genuinely act as a catalyst for renewal and growth." Sean expresses something of the aspiration towards a career in the creative industries built on a cultural service and a sense that enterprise is not a simple synonym for a neoliberal approach. Here, he cites a range of academic references to underline his reflexivity on this matter:

I am one among those youngsters who look to innovation for development (Wong, 2014) plying across multiple disciplines to offer creative solutions to real-world social issues. (Goulden, 2015). I am a social entrepreneur who thinks laterally to offer creative solutions by reacting to prompts, inspiration and diagnoses in society (Murray, et al., 2010). Coming from a small Northeastern state in India where insurgency has crippled the state government and economy, I find increasing relevance and purpose in my work as a social entrepreneur who makes 'the market an exciting place for fighting social battles in ever more innovative and effective ways' (Yunus, 2006: 44).

In this paper we have presented these reflexive accounts in the context of curriculum developed in a UK university in the mid-2000s. The timing is important, representing as it does a moment when the drive towards engagement with business and community was maturing through established 'third stream' funding routes. Setting up these MA awards coincided with the growth and maturing of the CCIs, their continued importance as a growing and resilient part of 'UK PLC', and the availability of European funding and knowledge transfer projects. Also at a mature stage was the institutional engagement with creative industries policy-making and the

institution's developing role as an intermediary between academic expertise, industry and policy. Whilst such developments were common to many UK regional universities, the importance of this case study is in the way that educators working across research, teaching and knowledge transfer, drew on critical thinking about the nature of the creative industries to rethink pedagogy to produce more reflective students. Such re-thinking was also a reaction to wider debates about the value of media studies and the need for an approach that foregrounded reflexivity as a developmental device for students.

In their analysis of Bourdieu's fixed notion of the intermediary, Smith Maguire and Matthews discuss the "range of strategies by which cultural intermediaries delimit and defend their occupational position against the ongoing emergence of new taste makers" (2014: 23). In this context, one can speculate that professional cultural workers undertaking a Masters are involved in consolidating their position and sense of identity as expert. By developing a relationship with a university, its staff and research projects, they are confirming their social standing and re-confirming a position of authority, even as new players, tastes and policies are prioritised. This suggests an instrumental approach, a strategy for mitigating against the risks associated with cultural work. However, in re-valuing the cultural intermediary, Perry et al (2015) note that by exploring the everyday working practices of cultural intermediaries, it is possible to identify a wide range of moral and social motivations amongst them. The commercially orientated cultural intermediary, perceived as part of the neoliberal project, is replaced by an individual embedded in his/her community, performing diverse roles and sensitive to local context. In the context of this paper, the professional cultural worker / intermediary engaging in postgraduate education might be perceived as competitive and seeking to stay ahead of the game by gaining new insights and a qualification as proof of their value. Taking on board Perry et al's (2015) emphasis on the embedded position of cultural intermediaries suggest that a more complex set of motivations and relationships are being negotiated, including competing strategies of self-interest and cultural commitment.

Our findings suggest that doing a Master's level course creates a space for students of various guises to be reflexive about their practice. To a degree there is some instrumentalism on their part in the sense that they wish to develop within their work

as professionals but this is not necessarily or simply expressed in terms of career progression. Rather, it is about gaining a qualification for those who so far have secured work through their experience. Students begin to balance the role of cheerleader for the sector alongside thinking critically about it and the realities that they have encountered or made. They begin to see themselves as researching the subject and becoming the subject of research. However, questions about who could or could not take part in this level of education, due to barriers of funding or time or other issues, remain; as do questions about the longer-term trajectory of course participants. Has engaging with critiques of the sector resulted in shifting ambitions? As Felicity writes in her summative report: "Now I have to think about what to do next". Her personal experience of the cultural sector under austerity and her research into general conditions of precarity resulted in a longing for stability: "so not a job in the creative industries necessarily."

For ourselves, our original motivation may have been to benefit from funding and to 'transfer' knowledge, but the outcome has been a two-way process. By attracting cultural intermediaries, we have become better informed scholars and researchers. Our assumptions have been challenged. We have been able to develop projects in which we act as critical friend rather than critical theorists to workers who come from and come back to HE. As we have outlined in this paper, critical theorists are concerned about the notion of the neoliberal university, instrumental courses and the overt focus on employability, but in reflecting on the impact and outcomes of the MA courses, we find ourselves contributing to current debates in CCI practice during a time of austerity for the sector and re-thinking our contribution as scholars and as a university. Our own more recent research and knowledge exchange work has attempted to "break down barriers to access for segments of the community which are left outside of the campus" (Comunian and Gilmore, 2015: 25) which comes directly from the contextualisation of our work "in relation to issues of power, value (of creative education) and the broader societal objectives of universities" (Comunian and Gilmore, 2015: 24). The challenge for the CCI curriculum designer is in finding balance between the role they are required to play as critical thinkers and as enabling pedagogues in the neoliberal university. This paper has articulated how we have found that our sense of social responsibility as scholars has been developed through our interactions with cultural intermediary students and thus into research

projects and questions, supporting our own development as well as that of the students.

References

- Ashton D. (2013) Industry Practitioners in Higher Education: Values, Identities and Cultural Work. In: Ashton D and Noonan C (eds) *Cultural Work and Higher Education*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 172-192.
- Ashton D and Noonan C. (2013) Cultural Work and Higher Education. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Aston J, Dewson S, Hillage J, et al. (2007) *Higher Education and ESF Objective 3:*An Evaluation of Impact, Brighton: Institute for Employment Studies.
- Atlay M. (2007) Embedding PDP practice in the Curriculum. In: Higher Education Academy (ed). York: Higher Education Academy, 14-25.
- Bell D. (2004) Practice makes perfect? Film and media studies and the challenge of creative practice. *Media, Culture & Society* 26: 737-749.
- Birmingham City University. (2015) *Birmingham City University Staff and Student profiles*. Available at: https://www.bcu.ac.uk/about-us/corporate-information/equality-and-diversity/key-terms-and-populations.
- Birmingham City University. (no date) *Birmingham City University Strategic Plan*.

 Available at: https://www.bcu.ac.uk/about-us/corporate-information/corporate-publications.
- Bridgstock R and Cunningham S. (2014) Graduate careers in journalism, media and communications within and outside the sector: early career outcomes, trajectories and capabilities. In: Hearn G, Bridgstock R, Goldsmith B, et al. (eds) Creative Work Beyond the Creative Industries: Innovation, Employment and Education. 226-244.
- Chatterton P. (2000) The cultural role of universities in the community: revisiting the university—community debate. *Environment and planning A* 32: 165-181.
- Collini S. (2012) What are universities for?, London: Penguin UK.
- Comunian R and Gilmore A. (2015) Beyond the Creative Campus: Reflections on the evolving relationship between higher education and the creative economy:

 King's College London.

- Comunian R and Ooi C-S. (2016) Global aspirations and local talent: the development of creative higher education in Singapore. *International Journal of Cultural Policy* 22: 58-79.
- Elliot NL. (2000) Pedagogic discourse in theory—practice courses in Media Studies. *Screen* 41: 18-32.
- Gill R. (2014) Unspeakable inequalities: Post feminism, entrepreneurial subjectivity, and the repudiation of sexism among cultural workers. *Social Politics:*International Studies in Gender, State & Society 21: 509-528.
- Gilmore A and Comunian R. (2016) Beyond the campus: higher education, cultural policy and the creative economy. *International Journal of Cultural Policy* 22: 1-9.
- Goulden H. (2015) The art and science of innovation. Nesta.
- Guile DJ. (2010) Learning to work in the creative and cultural sector: new spaces, pedagogies and expertise. *Journal of Education Policy* 25: 465-484.
- Harte D. (2009) Issues in Developing an Audio-Visual Cluster in the West Midlands. *Creative Industries Journal* 2: 105-112.
- Hesmondhalgh D and Baker S. (2011) *Creative labour : media work in three cultural industries,* London: Routledge.
- Hutchison D. (2014) Education secretary Nicky Morgan: 'Arts subjects limit career choices'. *The Stage.* London.
- Long P and Harding S. (2015) Cross Intermediation? Policy, Creative Industries and Cultures Across the EU. In: Jones P and Warren S (eds) *Creative Economies, Creative Communities: Rethinking Place, Policy and Practice.* Farnham: Ashgate, 171-188.
- Macdonald IW. (2008) Mindset and Skillset; the persistence of division in media education. *International Journal of Technology Management & Sustainable Development* 7: 135-142.
- Matthews N. (2011) Transition or translation?: Thinking through media and cultural studies students' experiences after graduation. *Cultural Studies Review* 17: 28-48.
- McGuigan J. (2010) Creative labour, cultural work and individualisation. *International Journal of Cultural Policy* 16: 323-335.
- McRobbie A. (2002) Fashion culture: creative work, female individualization. Feminist Review 71: 52-62.

- Morgan J. (2014) Campus close-up: Birmingham City University. *Times Higher Education*. London.
- Naudin A. (2013) Media enterprise in higher education: a laboratory for learning. In:
 Ashton D and Noonan C (eds) *Cultural Work and Higher Education*. London:
 Palgrave Macmillan, 110-130.
- Neelands J, Belfiore E, Firth C, et al. (2015) Enriching Britain: Culture, Creativity and Growth, the 2015 report by the Warwick Commission on the Future of Cultural Value. *Warwick: The University of Warwick*.
- O'Brien D and Durrer V. (2014) Arts Promotion. In: Maguire JS and Matthews J (eds) *The cultural intermediaries reader.* London: SAGE Publications, 100-112.
- O'Brien D, Laurison D, Miles A, et al. (2016) Are the creative industries meritocratic?

 An analysis of the 2014 British Labour Force Survey. *Cultural Trends* 25: 116-131.
- Oakley K. (2013) Making workers: Higher education and the cultural industries workplace. In: Ashton D and Noonan C (eds) *Cultural work and higher education*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 25-44.
- Oakley K and O'Brien D. (2015) *Cultural value and inequality: A critical literature review*: Arts and Humanities Research Council
- Perry B, Smith K and Warren S. (2015) Revealing and re-valuing cultural intermediaries in the 'real' creative city: Insights from a diary-keeping exercise. *European journal of cultural studies* 18: 724-740.
- Sherriff L. (2016) The Proportion Of Poorer Students Studying At UK's Top Universities Has Fallen. *The Huffington Post UK*.
- Smith Maguire J and Matthews J. (2014) Introduction: Thinking with cultural intermediaries. In: Smith Maguire J and Matthews J (eds) *The cultural intermediaries reader.* London: Sage, 1-11.
- Thornham S and O'Sullivan T. (2004) Chasing the real: 'Employability' and the media studies curriculum. *Media, Culture & Society* 26: 717-736.
- Ward R and Watts AG. (2009) *Personal development planning and employability* (revised edition), York: Higher Education Academy.
- Wetherell M and Potter J. (1988) Discourse analysis and the identification of interpretative repertoires. In: Antaki C (ed) *Analysing Everday Explanation: A Casebook of Methods*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Wong J. (2014) The future of development innovation. Nesta.

Yorke H. (2017) Half of students starting university are now first in their family to do so, new figures reveal. *The Daily Telegraph*.

Yunus M. (2006) Social business entrepreneurs are the solution. In: Nicholls A (ed) Social Entrepreneurshp: New Models of Sustainable Social Change. New York: Oxford University Press, 33-44.

Dave Harte

Birmingham Centre for Media and Cultural Research, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom

dave.harte@bcu.ac.uk

http://www.bcu.ac.uk/media/research/research-staff/dave-harte

Paul Long

Birmingham Centre for Media and Cultural Research, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom paul.long@bcu.ac.uk

better //

http://www.bcu.ac.uk/media/research/research-staff/professor-paul-long

Annette Naudin

Birmingham Centre for Media and Cultural Research, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom

annette.naudin@bcu.ac.uk

http://www.bcu.ac.uk/media/research/research-staff/annette-naudin