THE IMPORTANCE OF THRESHOLD CONCEPTS AND FORMATIVE
ASSESSMENT IN LOWER-SECONDARY SCHOOL GROUP COMPOSING.

**Abstract** 

Meyer and Land's work (among subsequent others) on Threshold Concepts has been influential in numerous subjects, particularly in Higher Education. However, despite its growing international interest, its application into the domain of music in schools is a highly under-researched area. This article draws on the notion of Threshold Concepts focusing on the context of lower-secondary school (Key Stage 3: ages 11-14) group composing. Using video-recorded and interview data from three case-study schools in the English Midlands, examples of TCs are presented and how formative assessment was, or could have been, a key process in them being crossed is discussed.

**Key Words:** Threshold concepts; formative assessment; group composing; Key Stage 3; lower-secondary.

Introduction

The notion of Threshold Concepts (TCs) has received international interest in recent years. Research has concentrated more on higher education focusing on the domains of, for example, Art (Blair and Fitch, 2015), Biochemistry (Loertscher et al., 2014), Biology (Taylor, 2006), Business Curriculum (Bajada et al., 2016), Computing and Electrical Engineering Curriculum (Reeping et al., 2017), Economics (Shanahan and Meyer, 2003; Reimann, 2004; Shanahan and Meyer, 2006; Reimann and Jackson, 2006), Health Sciences

(Barradell and Peseta, 2017), Paediatric Surgical Training (Blackburn and Nestel, 2014), and Teaching Prosthetics and Orthotics (Hill, 2020). Although Holland (2015) refers to TCs in the setting of primary school music (Key Stage 2: ages 7-11), this article offers new insight into TCs not only within secondary school education, but within the context of group-based composing.

## Defining a Threshold Concept

Defining what a TC is can be problematic. According to Meyer and Land (2003), who are credited with doing the original work on TCs, it:

can be considered as akin to a portal, opening up a new and previously inaccessible way of thinking about something. It represents a transformed way of understanding, or interpreting, or viewing something without which the learner cannot progress (2003, p. 1).

From this initial definition, several additional characteristics have been identified to help clarify a TC (Flanagan, 2020). These characteristics are: *transformative*, *liminality*, *probably irreversible*, *integrative*, *bounded*, *discursive*, *reconstitutive*, and *troublesome*, and are outlined in Table 1.

Table 1: Characteristics and definitions of a Threshold Concept (TC).

Threshold Concept	Definition
Characteristics	

Transformative	Once understood, the effect creates a significant shift of		
	perception of a subject on learning and behaviour (for example, a		
	transformation of personal identity, an altered view of values,		
	feelings, or attitude).		
Liminality	An unstable space where learners are between extant and		
	emergent understandings.		
Probably irreversible	Where the change in the individual's perspective is unlikely to be		
	forgotten and is very unlikely to be unlearned without		
	considerable effort.		
Integrative	Previously hidden relationships with something can be made.		
Bounded	It is possible, though not essential, that a TC can be bound within		
	a particular discipline.		
Discursive	Crossing a TC can incorporate an enhanced and extended use of		
	language.		
Reconstitutive	Crossing a TC may involve a shift in a learner's subjectivity,		
	which is implied through the transformative and discursive		
	aspects as noted above. Such reconstitution is initially more likely		
	to be recognised by others.		
Troublesome	Where a concept is difficult to grasp.		

The *troublesome* characteristic was based on Perkins' (1999) work which can be unpicked further into different types of knowledge which were found to be troublesome for learners. They are: *ritual, inert, conceptually difficult, alien, tacit,* and *emotionally challenging,* and are defined in Table 2.

Table 2: Types and definitions of troublesome knowledge (Perkins, 1999).

Type of troublesome	Definition	
knowledge		
Ritual	When a learner follows but does not understand a conceptual	
	rule.	
Inert	Where information is known by the learner but is rarely used	
	and has no associated meaning.	
Conceptually difficult	Knowledge might involve several different pieces of	
	information.	
Alien	When the information goes against what is believed in the	
	learner's understanding.	
Tacit	When it can be difficult for experts in the domain to explain	
	and communicate it to less-expert learners.	
Emotionally challenging	According to Cousin (2006), the learner may feel	
	uncomfortable or it might be that the learner is not in a position	
	emotionally to deal with the information at that particular time	
	and this may cause difficulty in learning.	

Despite the characteristics and definitions shown in Tables 1 and 2, Meyer and Land's TC framework has received criticism by Rowbottom (2007) and O'Donnell (2010) on the grounds that the descriptive criteria of what characterises a TC are too ambiguous. Furthermore, although Meyer and Land state that '[TCs] cannot be described as an essentialist, definitive list of characteristics' (2010, p. 205), other researchers (for example Rodger, Turpin and O'Brien, 2015) suggest that all of the characteristics must be present if

the concept is to be considered a TC. This is in spite of the fact that Irvine and Carmichael (2009), for example, found that very few TCs actually met all of the characteristics.

Further problems arise when some researchers (for example, Taylor, 2006; 2008 and Cartensen and Bernhard, 2008) assert that whether a concept is *troublesome* or not is the key criteria for identifying a TC. This approach to defining a TC needs serious consideration; others (for example Barradell, 2013) point out that:

the implication that troublesomeness is the most critical characteristic may not always be true since it implies that anything that is conceptually challenging could be treated as a threshold concept (2013, p. 271).

Given the fact that the notion of TCs is an under-researched area within the field of music education we cannot conclusively agree with Rodger, Turpin and O'Brien (2015) in that all the characteristics listed in Table 1 must be present in order for a concept to be identified as a TC. Nor do we agree with Taylor (2006; 2008), or Cartensen and Bernhard (2008) in that the *troublesome* characteristic should be the defining factor in identifying a TC. Instead, although we acknowledge that a TC can, indeed, be a moment when a learner, for example, 'get[s] stuck' (Meyer and Land, 2006, p. i) at a particular point in their learning and, therefore, requires some sort of knowledge in order to progress, we believe that a TC can involve any number of characteristics listed in Table 1. Furthermore, in relation to Meyer and Land's (2003) definition cited above, we also take the position that crossing a TC should produce an ontological change in the learner, where such new understandings can be 'assimilated into the learner's biography, becoming part of what he [or she] knows, who he [or she] is and how he [or she] feels' (Cousin, 2006, p. 135). This, therefore, can be seen to link with Piaget's (1953) theory of learning where an individual assimilates and accommodates information which helps to build their own experience of the world in which they live.

To illustrate our thinking further from the outset, a particularly clear and real-world example of a TC can be taken from the study of planetary science. For instance, the notion of sunrise and sunset can be considered a TC because although we may believe that this is happening, it is the Earth that rotates around the Sun not the other way around. As such, sunrise and sunset cannot be said to truly exist. From this example, although several of the TC characteristics from Table 1 might be identified (for example, *transformative*, *probably irreversible*, *integrative*, *bounded*), this alternative way of thinking has the potential to make an ontological change in us in terms of how planets move and thus can affect our outlook not only in terms of day and night but also, for example, the seasons of the year.

Of course, we acknowledge that, in the day-to-day classroom, such ontological shifts are not always immediate and, for some learners, can occur over a longer period of time.

Furthermore, despite the ongoing debates cited above, what research studies do not seem to consider is that some individuals may encounter more TCs within a lesson (or series of lessons) than others depending on what their previous learning experiences have been.

Therefore, we suggest that formative assessment can be a powerful process to help support teachers and learners in crossing TCs as well as opening up previously inaccessible ways of thinking and learning.

#### Formative assessment

In the United Kingdom, the use of the term "formative assessment" tends to be built on the work of Black and Wiliam (1998) who define it as:

all those activities undertaken by teachers, and/or their students to modify teaching and learning activities in which they are engaged (1998, p. 8).

We believe this is an important consideration when thinking about how TCs might be crossed because there is a wealth of research evidence to suggest that the effective use of formative assessment, where information about pupil learning is elicited and acted upon by teachers and/or learners, can have a significant impact on learning (Bloom, Hastings and Madaus, 1971; Crooks, 1988; Black and Wiliam, 1998; Broadfoot, 1998; Assessment Reform Group, 1999; Gipps, 1999; Gardner et al., 2010; Wiliam 2016; Andrade and Heritage, 2018). This modality of assessment practice can be said to be in contrast to "summative assessment" where its principal purpose is to "sum-up" learning (Harlen 2007; Fautley and Colwell, 2018) by giving, for example, scores or grades to learners. Within classroom-based composing, the terms "summative" and "formative" can be said to have particular meanings which need to be clarified. For instance, a "summative assessment" can refer to the submission of a finished composition, or a compositional product, whereas "formative assessment" can relate to the ongoing process of composing which learners undergo in order to achieve the final product (Fautley, 2010). We believe that the notion of an ongoing formative process is central in helping learners cross TCs.

#### Formative assessment and classroom-based composing

Fautley and Savage (2008) acknowledge that, in some English secondary schools, there is pressure on teachers and learners, presumably by some senior leadership teams, to produce high levels of attainment in the form of marks or grades from assessments. As such, within music, what can happen is that the compositional product becomes the central focus of attention, leaving the composing process to be largely ignored (Leon-Guerrero, 2008). As a result of this pressure, despite the reported benefits of formative assessment, teachers have been found to neglect their formative practices (Black and Wiliam, 2003; Looney, 2009) and

beliefs (Brophy, 2000) in favour of increasing the frequency of summative practices to meet data tracking purposes (Fautley, 2012; Winters; 2012). Such a top-down approach is an important consideration when thinking about both TCs and formative assessment in music because what can happen, therefore, is that although TCs might be identified to aid learners' musical progression, a greater pedagogical focus on the product – the finished compos*ition* – means that a learner, or group of learners, might not fully, if at all, cross the TC; there needs to be a pedagogic focus on the process – compos*ing* – in order to do so.

#### Method

Context surrounding composing in English schools

As per the National Curriculum for England, composing forms an important part of musical learning in schools (Department for Education, 2014). Within the lower-secondary setting – referred to as "Key Stage 3" (ages 11-14) in English terminology – the study of Music is supposed to be a statutory subject in English schools at this stage (Department for Education, 2014). During Key Stage 3, composing tends to take place in small groups (usually between 2 and 5 students) and learners can draw on a variety of resources available to them including, for example, classroom instruments or, sometimes, their own instrument if they receive extracurricular instrumental lessons. Composing is undertaken as a practical task where the piece produced is composed for the instruments that the group have at their disposal, and performed by them on those instruments. Notation is not a pre-requisite for a successful compositional outcome.

Qualitative and quantitative data were taken from a larger, in-progress doctoral study investigating formative assessment during Key Stage 3 group composing. Observational data were collected from three case-study schools, labelled A-C for anonymity, by video-recording composing sessions throughout a unit of study. As School A was a pilot, only video-recorded data were collected, analysed, and coded. For Schools B and C, semi-structured interviews were also conducted: one with the class teacher, the other with the group of learners who acted as the focus group for the research. For the purposes of this article, however, only observational and teacher-interview data have been considered as it was in these data that the notion of TCs was most evident.

Within this mixed-methods (Creswell and Plano Clark, 2011), case-study methodology (Yin, 2009), the researchers were non-participant observers. Ecological validity was attempted where the video-recording of composing sessions took place during each school's normal curriculum time; therefore, composing groups were observed in their usual, naturalist settings. Space can be a premium for many schools, and separate spaces outside of the main classroom (for example, practice rooms) were not universally available for all learners. Collecting data from learners who were usually based in practice rooms during composing sessions was an advantage and meant, following Burnard's (2000) advice, that videorecording work could take place without the overspill from other learners' oral and musical utterances. It should be pointed out, however, that the notion of TCs was not sought after as part of the wider research study but emerged itself from the data during analysis and coding through using Thematic Analysis (Braun and Clarke, 2006) as an analytical method. To establish whether any TCs in group-based composing had been identified, cross references were then made to Meyer and Land's (2003) original definition (cited above), as well as the characteristics presented in Table 1. These links are unpicked further in relation to each TC identified in the Discussion section.

# **Participants**

Case-studies took place in three schools located in the English Midlands. Details are summarised in Table 3. All schools were mixed-gender and non-selective secondary schools with each of the case-study music teachers working in already established, single-person departments. Composing groups for each case-study were selected by the teachers, as was normal practice.

Table 3: Contextual details for the three case-study schools.

		Case-study A	Case-study B	Case-study C
Teacher-	Gender of	Male	Female	Female
participants	Teacher			
	Number of	10	4	27
	years teaching			
Learner-	School year	Year 9	Year 8	Year 7
participants	group	(ages 13-14)	(ages 12-13)	(ages 11-12)

## Composition tasks

The group composition tasks were constructed by the music teacher, as was normal practice, and units of study occurred at the time they normally would have during the school year.

Table 4 shows each of the tasks learners were given.

**Table 4: Composition tasks.** 

School	Composition task
School A	Compose a piece of music, in any style, which is clearly built around rondo form.
School B	Compose a rap or song (or both) following rondo form which includes the chords C, D, F and G majors, as well as lyrics.
School C	Create a short piece in Ternary Form based on an ostinato. At least one ostinato needs to be rhythmic and one must be melodic. Think carefully about the elements of music and how they can be used effectively.

# Resources

Instruments used by learners were chosen by themselves in all cases are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Resources available for composing.

	Instruments used by	Gender of	Number of learners
	learners	composing group	in the focus group
School A	Electric guitars	Mixed	5
	Drum-kit		(out of a class of 30)
	• Piano		
School B	Keyboards	Mixed	4
	Untuned		(out of a class of 27)
	percussion		
	Vocal (female)		
School C	Keyboard	Mixed	4

• Piano	(out of a class of 25)
Drum-kit	
Saxophone	

### **Results**

Following data collection, analysis, and coding, examples of TCs were then identified. These are presented below. Anonymity is upheld throughout with no names given. For example, student communication is represented with an "S".

## 1: Being able to use effective learning strategies

In School A, one TC was identified at the very beginning of the composing process. The group was struggling to decide on what style they would compose their rondo form piece in (since this was free choice) as well as the initial ideas on which to build upon.

## School A: During the composing session data

S4 (male): Ok, so what style we gonna [sic] do? Got any ideas?

S2 (female) & S5 (female): (together) No.

S3 (male): How about something like ( $\square$  hums to the other

students)...

S2 & S5: (together) No.

S4: No. I don't [sic] like that style.

S3: Ok, how about something jazzy?

S2: Jazz? Ergh [sic]. Can it be something more like (silence)...

(Students stop discussing and individually improvise on their instruments.)

S3: Improvises on what he hears on his electric guitar.

S2: No, shh ( $\square$  continues to play song on her mobile phone).

S3: (to S2) What do you think to that?

S2: (to S3) Yer [sic], it's good.

S3: (to S4 on the drum-kit) Have you got a beat for that?

S4: (to S3) Yer [sic].

S3: (to S5 on the other electric guitar) Can you play F sharp minor?

S5: (to S3) Yer [sic] ( $\square$  and plays the chord to S3).

S2: (to the group) Ok, we're [sic] gonna [sic] try it together to see how it fits.

As the communication shows, the group's difficulty in being able to use effective learning strategies to help begin the composing process was, for them, a TC. At the beginning of the this process the learners were in, what Meyer and Land (2006, p. 16) would classify as a 'suspended state' of understanding. A state where they knew what the learning destination should be, but didn't know how to get there. Through formative assessment processes, such

as listening and responding to music via YouTube and discussing different genres, the learners were able to cross this threshold and begin the composing process.

## School B: During the composing session data

In School B, composing lyrics proved problematic. This is shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Amount of composing time the focus group spent on discussing lyrics.

Composing session	Amount of composing time (%) the group spent discussing lyrics
Session 3	43%
Session 4	67%
Session 5	46%

In the post-study focus group interview the group stated that they found the initial starting point for writing lyrics the main issue:

School B S2 (female): We didn't [sic] really know what we wanted to do and

it's [sic] kinda [sic] hard just thinking about the lyrics,

like to just sit down and do it.

The struggle of writing lyrics was also indicated by the teacher in the post-study interview:

School B Teacher: They just didn't [sic] know what to write about. I think

they were just overthinking it. That said, I don't [sic]

think that was a problem because that's [sic] what you

want. You want kids to struggle a little so that they find it challenging and overcome that struggle.

The teacher's utterance of "you want kids to struggle a little so that they find it challenging and overcome that struggle" is interesting. Here, it seems that deliberately creating opportunities for TCs within the learning cycle is a valued teaching approach. That said, according to the teacher, it was evident that, for some learners, creating the space for TCs led to increased off-task behaviours and teacher intervention:

School B Teacher:

I found that groups who sort of lost their way with it [writing lyrics to include in the composition] would become off-task quickly and so I'd [sic] have to bring them back on-task and give them quite a lot of help. I found further down the line with the lessons I could take more of a back seat really and let them get on with it more.

When asked how this barrier might have been crossed the teacher believed that additional time to work and discuss in groups would help:

School B Teacher: Well, I think giving them more time to work in groups and when they're [sic] stuck asking them to sit and talk it [the barrier] through.

One problem here is that, by giving the learners space to talk, does not necessarily mean they know *how* to begin learning discussions. Learners therefore need to be guided first on how they can engage in learning discussions for them to be suitably effective within the group context (Mercer and Littleton, 2007; Garnett, 2013; Alexander, 2017; Booth, 2020).

In this example, it could be argued that effective formative assessment, to support this group crossing the TC, was hindered due to the learners' lack of knowledge and understanding of

how to engage in effective formative discussions around lyric writing. As such, this became an obstacle to their musical learning. So, although a composition was completed by the end of the unit of study, the *discursive* and *transformative* characteristics of the TC was not achieved. Instead, they remained in a troublesome space which, unless it were to be explored further in a future unit of work, could remain an underdeveloped area in their musical learning.

### 2: Knowing, and being able to play, chords

A second TC from School A became evident through observing a sequence of composing sessions. In the examples that follow the TC refers to a student ("S1"), working within a group of five other learners, who is having difficulty with knowing the notes within chords and being able to play chord sequences on the piano as part of the composition. As a result, he asks Student 3 ("S3" – a fellow pianist although he is using his electric guitar in the composition task) for support in order for him to overcome this learning barrier.

### Session 1:

S1 (male): (to S3) Can I just check this? (S1 is seeking confirmation of chord

sequence from S3).

S3 (male): (to S1) Nah [sic]. Not quite.

just been shown.

S1: Ok, I think I've [sic] got it.

### Session 2:

S1: (to S3) Can I just play the same part as \*[S3]\* cuz [sic] I can't [sic]

play these chords?

S3: (to S1) I can show you again, if you like?

S1: (to S3) Yer [sic], ok then.

*S1 imitates the chord sequence back.* 

S1: (to S3) Ok, I think I've got it now. Thanks.

## Session 4:

S1 was absent for session 3 due to a school trip. This meant that he had missed session 3 and needed to catch-up with the composing work he missed:

S1: (to S3) Ok, I can remember the first bit, can you show me the chord

sequence for the new bit?

S1 & S3: I S1 (on the piano) and S3 (on the electric guitar) play the chord

sequence together.

S1: Ok, I think I've got it. Thanks.

Addressing the theme of communication, it is clear that peer-to-peer modelling was an important formative strategy. Student 3 supported Student 1 to cross his individual threshold of knowing the notes in chords and being able to play chord sequence, but also facilitated his valuable contribution to the group's composition by playing the piano.

### 3: Missed opportunities

During a sequence of five consecutive composing sessions in School C, the focus group did not appear to encounter any TCs. During the sessions, the group worked in a highly efficient way from the beginning to the end of the unit of study and created a composition which was, in the music teacher's view, of excellent quality because it had met all of the task's success criteria. To be clear, we are not suggesting that musical learning did not take place over the sequence of lessons; rather we feel it important to question whether there might have been missed opportunities where this group could have been further challenged. Creating suitable TCs, with necessary support and good formative assessment approaches, can be considered an important part of lesson-by-lesson learning transition. It is via opening up room for liminality, integrative, discursive, troublesome and reconstituitve approaches that a learner's ontological perspective can be impacted and a transformational shift occurs.

# **Discussion**

In this discussion we will highlight several key points that are important for the identification and inclusion of TCs within the context of Key Stage 3 group-based composing. Although the findings are presented through the lens of KS3 group-based composing, they can be considered to have broader applicability across different subject areas.

Based on our findings, we cannot conclusively agree with Rodger, Turpin and O'Brien (2015) whereby all the characteristics presented in Table 1 must be present in order for a concept to be identified as a TC. Nor do we agree with Taylor (2006; 2008), or Cartensen and Bernhard (2008) in that a concept which is considered *troublesome* should be a TC's defining factor. Our findings suggest that a TC can include any number of characteristics listed in Table 1. For instance, in the TC examples presented in this article from Schools A and B,

there was no evidence to suggest that either of them were *integrative* or *bounded*. However, as with Meyer and Land's (2003) original work, we take the position that a central element of crossing a TC is its capacity to produce an ontological change within the individual.

This ontological change can be facilitated by teaching and learning strategies that engage students in formative assessment. For example, within the findings of this study, the TC of being able to use effective learning strategies was an important point of autonomy for School A's group, something which was not readily available for the group in School B. Similarly, the TC of knowing, and being able to play, chords was, for "Student 1", a TC. In this case, the ontological change allowed him to be able to make a valuable contribution to the group's work. This is something which may not have occurred should this TC not have been crossed. We found formative assessment to be an important process for crossing TCs. For example, in School A, such processes included, for instance, listening to music via YouTube and peerpeer imitated modelling, supported with peer-peer or group-based dialogue. This was not the experience of the group in School B where the identified TC remained uncrossed. Effective formative assessment can also be initiated through establishing pupils' prior learning and can be an important strategy for teachers in identifying any pre-existing TCs before pupils begin a composition task. The importance teachers establishing pupils' prior learning has been discussed by Ausubel (1968) who posited:

If I had to reduce all of educational psychology to just one principle, I would say this:

The most important single factor influencing learning is what the learner already

knows. Ascertain this and teach him [or her] accordingly (1968, p. vi).

As well as more recently by Claxton (2021) who comments:

teachers need to be good coaches. Like a good sports coach, teachers need to know where their learners are, and design training exercises that are achievable but challenging enough to stretch their capability ... And they need to watch how their learners are doing and maybe, when necessary, offer hints or feedback (2021, p. 21).

What we are suggesting, therefore, is that time and space should be afforded to learners to explore and engage with TCs and that teacher and learner-initiated formative assessment processes, as discussed in this article, should be actively encouraged to help individuals navigate their own, as well as group-based, TCs.

#### **Conclusion**

The findings from this study have shown that when time and space for TCs to be explored are offered to learners, new possibilities, practices, and forms of learning can be generated. Some of the examples from School A and B show that when learners exist within the 'trouble', they were permitted to access new and previously inaccessible ways of thinking, learning, and being a musician. Meyer and Land (2003) classify these important changes in learner perspective as *transformative*, where there is an ontological shift in perspective; *integrative*, where there is a new understanding or way of thinking; and *irreversible* where these new practices are unlikely to be forgotten, or unlearned. Part of this 'unlearning' requires a letting go of known ways of seeing, of prior views, or experiences. If learners can accept the invitation to enter into a transformative state, they can begin to reformulate meaning, their ontology, and subjectivity. This can lead to new forms of discourse and understanding of being musical and becoming a musician.

However, for these processes to be enabled, teachers need to plan for TCs. This means teachers must also enter into a *troublesome* and *transformative* space where unexplored, emergent, and exploratory possibilities might need facilitation. In many ways these TC

spaces could be considered 'heterotopic', counteracting hegemonic thinking as Baille et al. (2012) note:

...the kinds of transitions we are considering are not linear, not the learning of simple isolated concepts, they are messy, abstract transformations. The space, which describes the learning journey we speak of, as well as its destination, is more like a 'heterotopia'. Heterotopias are places and spaces, described by Michel Foucault in the text 'of other spaces' as 'non hegemonic' ...a place where alternatives are considered, 'common sense' is questioned and business as usual stops for a moment (2012, p. 2).

We believe that the examples from this study illuminate small insights into the powerful potential of this process. Although we acknowledge that, in some schools, formative assessment practices have become neglected (Brophy, 2000; Black and Wiliam, 2003; Looney, 2009) in favour of increasing summative practices to meet data tracking purposes (Fautley, 2012; Winters; 2012), we believe that more time and space should be offered within music classrooms for TCs to be explored supported by formative assessment. In particular, the opportunity for both learners and teachers to exist within the 'troublesomeness', to have space to enter discussion, to engage musically with one another, and to explore their own musical identity, all have the potential to catalyse ontological shifts.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Alexander, R. (2017) *Towards dialogic teaching: Rethinking classroom talk* (5th edition). York, UK: Dialogos.
- Andrade, H. and Heritage, M. (2018) *Using formative assessment to enhance learning, achievement, and academic self-regulation*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Assessment Reform Group (1999) *Assessment for learning Beyond the black box.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge School of Education.
- Ausubel, D. (1968) *Educational psychology: A cognitive view*. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Baillie, C., Kabo, J. and Reader, J. (2012) *Heterotopia: Alternative pathways to social justice*. Winchester and Washington: Zero Books.
- Bajada, C., Jarvis, W., Trayler, R., and Bui, A. (2016) Threshold concepts in business school curriculum a pedagogy for public trust. *Education and Training*, **58**, 540-563.
- Barradell, S. (2013) The identification of threshold concepts: A review of theoretical complexities and methodological challenges. *Higher Education*, **65**, 265-276.
- Barradell, S. and Peseta, T. (2017) Putting threshold concepts to work in health sciences: Insights for curriculum design from a qualitative research synthesis. *Teaching in Higher Education*, **22**, 349-372.
- Black, P. and Wiliam, D. (1998) Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*, **5**, 7-74.
- Black, P. and Wiliam, D. (2003) In praise of educational research: Formative assessment. *British Educational Research Journal* **29**(5), 623-637.
- Blackburn, S.and Nestel, D. (2014) Troublesome Knowledge in Pediatric Surgical Trainees: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Surgical Education*, **71**, 756-761.
- Blair, L. and Fitch, S. (2015) Threshold concepts in art education: Negotiating the ambiguity in pre-service teacher identity formation. *International Journal of Education Through Art*, **11**(1), 1 March 2015, 91-102.
- Bloom, B., Hasting, J., and Madaus, G. (1971) *Handbook on Formative and Summative Evaluation of Student Learning*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Booth, N. (2020) Improving pupil progress through quality questioning and talk. In: S. Capel, J. Lawrence, M. Leask, and S. Younie, *Surviving and thriving in the secondary school: The NQTs essential companion*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research* in *Psychology*, **3**(2), 77-101.
- Broadfoot, P. (1998) Records of achievement and the learning society: A tale of two discourses. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice*, **5**(3) 413-447.
- Brophy, T. (2000) Assessing the developing child musician. Chicago, IL: GIA Publications.
- Burnard, P. (2000) Examining experimental differences between improvisation and composition in children's music-making. *British Journal of Music Education*, **17**(3), 227-245.
- Cartensen, A., and Bernhard, J. (2008) Threshold concepts and keys to the portal of understanding: Some examples from Electrical Engineering. In: R. Land, J., Meyer, and J. Smith (eds.) *Threshold concepts within the disciplines*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Claxton, G. (2021) The future of teaching. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Cousin, G. (2006) Threshold concepts, troublesome knowledge and emotional capital: An exploration into learning about others. In: J. Meyer and R. Land (eds.), *Overcoming*

- barriers to students learning: Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Creswell, J. and Plano Clark, V. (2011) *Designing and constructing mixed methods research* (2nd edition). London, UK: Sage.
- Crooks, T. (1988) The impact of classroom evaluation practices on students. *Review of Educational Research*, **58**(4), 438-481.
- Department For Education (2014) *National Curriculum for England*. Available from: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-curriculum-in-england-secondary-curriculum">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-curriculum-in-england-secondary-curriculum</a> [last accessed 15 April 2020].
- Fautley, M. (2010) Assessment in music education. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Fautley, M. (2012) Assessment issues within national curriculum music in the lower secondary school in England. In: T. Brophy, A. Lehmann-Wermser (eds.), *Proceedings of the third international symposium on assessment in music educcation.* Chicago, IL: GIA Publications.
- Fautley, M. and Colwell, R. (2012) Assessment in the secondary music classroom. In G. McPherson and G. Welch, (eds.) *Oxford Handbook of Music Education: Volume 1*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Fautley, M. and Savage, J. (2008) Assessment for learning and teaching in secondary schools. Exeter, UK: Learning Matters Ltd.
- Flanagan, M. (2020) Threshold Concepts: Undergraduate Teaching, Postgraduate Training, Professional Development and School Education. Available at: <a href="https://www.ee.ucl.ac.uk/~mflanaga/thresholds.html">https://www.ee.ucl.ac.uk/~mflanaga/thresholds.html</a> [accessed 24 May 2021].
- Gardner, J., Harlen, W., Hayward, L., Stobart, G., and Montgomery, M. (2010) *Developing teacher assessment*. Berkshire, UK: Open University Press.
- Garnett, J. (2013) Beyond a constructivist curriculum: A critique of competing paradigms in music education. *British Journal of Music Education*, **30**(2), 161-175.
- Gipps, C. (1999) Socio-cultural aspects of assessment. *Review of Research in Education 3-13*, 24, 355-392.
- Harlen, W. (2007) Assessment of learning. London, UK: SAGE.
- Hill, S. (2020) The difference between troublesome knowledge and threshold concepts. *Studies in Higher Education*, **45**, 665-676.
- Holland, D. (2015) A constructivist approach for opening minds to sound-based music. *Journal of Music, Technology & Education*, **8**, 23-39.
- Irvine, N. and Carmichael, P. (2009) Threshold concepts: A point of focus for practitioner research. *Active learning in Higher Education*, **10**(2), 103-119.
- Loen-Guerrero, A. (2008) Self-regulation strategies used by student musicians during music practice. *Music Education Research*, **10**(1), 91-106.
- Loertscher, J., Green, D., Lewis, J., Lin, S., and Minderhout, V. (2014) Identification of threshold concepts for biochemistry. *CBE life sciences education*, **13**, 516-528.
- Looney, J. (2009) *Assessment and innovation in education*. OECD Education Working Papers, No. 24: OECD Publishing.
- Mercer, N. and Littleton, K. (2007) *Dialogue and the development of children's thinking: A sociocultural approach*. London, UK: Routledge.
- Meyer, J. and Land, R. (2003) Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge: Linkages to ways of thinking and practising within the disciplines. In: C. Rust (ed.), *Improving student learning theory and practice 10 years on*. Oxford, UK: OCSLD.
- Meyer, J. and, Land, R. (2006) Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge. In: J. Meyer and R. Land (eds.), *Overcoming barriers to student understanding: Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.

- Meyer, J., and Land, R. (2010) Helping our students: Learning, metalearning, and threshold concepts. In: J. Hughes and J. Mighty (eds.), *Taking stock: Research on teaching and learning in higher education*. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- O'Donnell, R. (2010) A critique of the threshold concept hypothesis and an application in *Economics*. Working Paper. Sydney: University of Technology.
- Perkins, D. (1999) The many faces of constructivism. *Educational Leadership*, **57**(3), November, 6-11.
- Piaget, J. (1953) The origin of intelligence in the child. London, UK: Routledge.
- Reeping, D., McNair, L., Wisnioski, M., Patrick, A., Martin, T., Lester, L., Knapp, B., and Harrison, S. (2017) *Using threshold concepts to restructure an electrical and computer engineering curriculum: Troublesome knowledge in expected outcomes.* IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference, October, 2019, 1-9.
- Reimann, N. (2004) First year teaching-learning environments in economics. *International Review of Economics Education*, **3**(1), 9-38.
- Reimann, N. and Jackson, I. (2006) Threshold concepts in economics. In: J. Meyer and R. Lands (eds.), *Overcoming barriers to students understanding: Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Rodger, S., Turpin, M. and O'Brien, M. (2015) Experiences of academic staff using threshold concepts within a reformed curriculum. *Studies in Higher Education*, **40**, 545-560.
- Rowbottom, D. (2007) Demystifying threshold concepts. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, **41**, 263-270.
- Shanahan, M. and Meyer, J. (2003) Measuring and responding to variation in aspects of students' economic conceptions and learning engagement in economics. *International Review of Economics education*, **1**, 9-35.
- Shanahan, M. and Meyer, J. (2006) The troublesome nature of a threshold concept in Economics. In: J. Meyer and R. Land (eds), *Overcoming barriers to students learning: Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Taylor, C. (2006) Threshold concepts in Biology: Do they fit the definition? In: J. Meyer and R. Lands (eds.), *Overcoming barriers to student understanding: Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- Taylor, C. (2008) Threshold concepts, troublesome knowledge and ways of thinking and practising: Can we tell the difference in Biology? In: R. Land, J., Meyer and J. Smith (eds.), *Threshold concepts within the disciplines*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Wiliam, D. (2016) *Leadership for teacher learning*. West Palm Beach, FL: Learning Sciences International.
- Winters, M. (2012) The challenges of teaching composing. *British Journal of Music Education*, **29**(1), 19-24.
- Yin, R. (2009) Case-study research: Design and methods (4th edition). London, UK: Sage.