1	Inhuming and exhuming: John Baskerville's death, burial and post-mortem life
2	
3	
4	Abstract
5	Baskerville, with its well-considered design and elegant proportions is one of the
6	world's most widely used and influential typefaces. It was created by John Baskerville
7	(1707–75) of Birmingham, an eighteenth-century typographer, printer and
8	industrialist; an Enlightenment figure with a worldwide reputation who changed the
9	course of type design. Whilst printing historians have lauded Baskerville for his
10	contributions to the trade, he is more widely remembered for his unusual will,
11	unconventional burial, and extraordinary post-mortem life. It is a story which has been
12	retold over the course of 250 years by the local, national, and international press and
13	$which \ has \ contributed \ to \ the \ making \ of \ Baskerville's \ erroneous \ reputation \ as \ an \ atheist.$
14	This article surveys the evidence of Baskerville death and burial and reappraises the
15	facts surrounding his post-mortem activities in order to correct the misapprehensions
16	which surround Baskerville's beliefs and to reassess him as a deist rather than atheist.
17	
18	
19	Keywords
20	atheist, Baskerville, Birmingham, burial, death, deist, internment, printer,
21	unconsecrated ground
22	
23	
24	On Monday 29 December 1947, the Radio Times listed Hic Jacet: the corpse in the
25	<i>crescent</i> amongst the programmes to be broadcast that evening on the BBC Midlands
26	Home Service. The twenty-minute radio play was written by Neville Brandon Watts, an
27	English teacher at a boarding school near Cannock Chase with a particular interest in
28	Birmingham history. The play's cast of nineteen actors were all drawn from the
29	recently formed BBC Radio Drama Company, and included Norman Painting as the
30	Reader. ² Painting (1924–2009) later went on to play Phil Archer in the BBC radio

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ J. Tittley, Baskerville Society Newsletter, Vol. 6, No. 1 (Birmingham, 2018). $^{\rm 2}$ 'The Radio Drama Company' in The BBC
bbc.co.uk/programmes/articles/1j94Sg0D452YpLFz2SLYLpd/the-radiodrama-company> (accessed 13 September 2021).

programme, *The Archers*.³ Although no recording of the broadcast survives, a copy of 31 the original script—heavily annotated, and decorated with sketches by Painting—is 32 archived in the Cadbury Research Library at the University of Birmingham.⁴ Billed as a 33 'macabre story', *Hic Jacet*, as the script notes, tells the story of 'one of Birmingham's 34 most remarkable sons', the printer John Baskerville (1707–75). The play, however, by-35 passes Baskerville's achievements as a writing master and stone carver, as a highly 36 successful manufacturer of Birmingham japanware, and the town's High Bailiff.⁶ It 37 makes scant reference to Baskerville the creator of one the most historically important 38 typefaces, his role as printer to the University of Cambridge, or his masterpiece, the 39 40 Folio Bible (1763). The play also ignores his legacy as an inventor, entrepreneur and Enlightenment figure.⁸ Rather than narrating Baskerville's life, *Hic Jacet* recounts 41 42 Baskerville's death. 43 Whilst printing historians have long lauded Baskerville's typographic achievements, 44 45 popular interest in Baskerville has focused on his unusual will, curious burial, and 46 remarkable post-mortem life. His corpse has, allegedly, been moved more times than any other, and Baskerville's many inhumations and exhumations have earned him the 47 48 memorable, if inaccurate, soubriquet 'thrice buried printer'.9 It is a saga which has piqued the interest of a curious public for nearly 250 years, an interest that has been 49 fanned with some frequency—and much embellishment—by the press. Brandon 50

Watts's drama draws on many of these myths and half-truths. It is a humorous and diverting play, which provided 'sensational' entertainment for family audiences when it

53

was first broadcast in 1948 and was enjoyed once more in 2013 when it was performed,

 $^{^3}$ G. Reynolds, 'Painting, Norman George', in Oxford Dictionary of National Biography,

https://doi.org/10.1093/ref:odnb/102087> (accessed 14 September 2021).

⁴ Cadbury Research Library [CRL], MS200.10.3.7.1; 'Norman Painting Archive', in Archives Hub,

https://archiveshub.jisc.ac.uk/data/gb150-ms200 (accessed 13 September 2021).

⁵ C. Archer-Parré, M. Dick eds., *John Baskerville: art and industry of the Enlightenment* (Liverpool: Liverpool University Press, 2017); J. Mosley, 'Baskerville, John', in *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, https://doi.org/10.1093/ref:odnb/1624 (accessed 17 September 2021).

⁶ E. Clayton, 'John Baskerville the Writing Master: Calligraphy and Type in the Seventeenth and Eighteenth Centuries' in *John Baskerville: art and industry of the Enlightenment*, ed. by C. Archer-Parré, M. Dick (Liverpool: Liverpool University Press, 2017) pp. 113–30; Y. Jones, 'John Baskerville: Japanner of Tea Trays and other Household Goods' in *John Baskerville: art and industry of the Enlightenment*, ed. by C. Archer-Parré, M. Dick (Liverpool: Liverpool University Press, 2017) pp. 71–86.

⁷ J. Dreyfus, 'John Baskerville's Books', *Book Collector*, vol. 8. (London, 1959) p. 185.

⁸ M. Dick, 'The Topographies of a Typographer: Mapping John Baskerville since the Eighteenth Century', in *John Baskerville: art and industry of the Enlightenment,* ed. by C. Archer-Parré, M. Dick (Liverpool: Liverpool University Press, 2017) pp. 9–24.

⁹ B. Walker, *The Resting Places of the Remains of John Baskerville: The Thrice-Buried Printer*, (Birmingham: Birmingham School of Printing, 1944).

live, at the opening of the new Library of Birmingham. But whilst *Hic Jacet* may make good drama, it has also contributed to the perpetuation of much misinformation about Baskerville both in life and death.

This article considers the evidence of Baskerville's death, burial, and multiple exhumations in order to separate the man from the misinformation. A careful reading of Baskerville's last will and testament offers insights into his character, private world, relationships, and guiding beliefs. Consideration of the evidence around Baskerville's funeral and burial provides an understanding of how he related to the world, his position within society and how he wished to be remembered in perpetuity. A retelling of the story behind Baskerville's subsequent exhumations and inhumations offers an opportunity to reappraise events, place them in context and separate fact from fiction. Finally, the article looks at the way in which the press has portrayed Baskerville's death and burial and how 'envious historians and ignorant tradition' have 'defaced his memory' and 'added flippancy' to the circumstances of his death which 'undiscerning writers continue to relate'.¹⁰

Last will and testament

On Wednesday 6 January 1773, John Baskerville composed his will. There is nothing to suggest that he was, as Brandon Watts writes, 'near death' at the time. Indeed, the two years between writing his will and his death in January 1775 were 'one of the busiest periods of Baskerville's life'. ¹¹ He maintained a vigorous programme of printing, issuing eleven new volumes including Lucretius's *de Rerum Natura*; four editions of Ariosto's *Orlando Furioso*; two editions of Shaftesbury's *Characteristics*; two printings of Sallust & Florus's *Histories*; an edition of *The Art of Angling*; and a magisterial volume of Hunter's *Anatomy of the Human Gravid Uterus*. In addition, Baskerville was negotiating with the Imprimerie Royale in Paris for the supply of his type, and had written to Benjamin Franklin in America about his intention of 'enlarging [his] foundry'. ¹² Not the actions of a man contemplating death, or even retirement. That Baskerville drafted his will when

¹⁰ G. J. Holyoake, *Secular Review* (London: 8 September 1877) quoted in Straus & Dent p. 64. Howard, W. Scott. "Landscapes of memorialisation." *Studying cultural landscapes* (2003): 47-70.

¹¹ F. E. Pardoe, John Baskerville of Birmingham: letterfounder and printer, (London, 1971), p. 128.

¹² L. Jay, *Letters of the Famous 18th Century Printer, John Baskerville of Birmingham (*Birmingham: Birmingham School of Printing,1932), p. 30.

he did was probably no more than the pragmatic undertaking of a man who valued his friends and family and wished to safeguard his fortune for the benefit of their future.

Baskerville's will is important not simply because it details the distribution of his wealth amongst those left behind. It is significant because it is one of only a handful of extant documents penned by Baskerville and, at just over 1,500 words, it is also the longest of his known compositions. Unlike his friend and associate, Matthew Boulton (1728–1809), Baskerville left no archive and few artefacts relating to his commercial, civic or private lives. As a consequence, the biographical facts of his life are sketchy and based on fragmentary primary sources and unreliable secondary testimonies. Definitive knowledge of the man is frustratingly sparse. Baskerville's will, which is both personal and intimate as well as official and public, helps form an understanding of the man, his ideologies and beliefs based on first-hand evidence. The will is also important because it dispels some of the myths and misrepresentations of which Baskerville has long been a victim; it presents the man in his own words, and in the way he wishes to be understood by future generations.

After his death, Baskerville's will was published in both the British and foreign press, and was met with astonishment and disapprobation by a scandalised public and moralistic media. This was partly because the will contains forthright remarks by Baskerville about his friends and family, and some rather unusual instructions for the disposal of his body, but mainly because it presents Baskerville's unorthodox views on religion and the Bible which were perceived as atheistic and therefore offensive. In 1788 *The Gentleman's Magazine* reproduced the document under the headline 'The Singular Will of the late Mr Baskerville' but deemed it too sensitive to print in full, tantalising deploying asterisks—with no explanation—in place of the offending sentences. The nervousness of the press in printing a full version of the will continued well in to the nineteenth century and neither John Nichols's *Literary Anecdotes* (1812), John Chambers's *Biographical Illustrations of Worcestershire* (1820) nor William

¹³ Library of Birmingham [LoB], MS 39/7.79.

 $^{^{14}}$ 'The Singular Will of the late Mr Baskerville' in *The Gentleman's Magazine* Vol, 58, Part 2, (London: 1788) pp. 677–9.

Fawley's *Epitaphiana* (1873) could bring themselves to present an unexpurgated version of the document to their readers.¹⁵

114115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

112

113

As befitted his social status, Baskerville's last will and testament was proved on 9 March 1775 in the Prerogative Court of Canterbury, the highest probate court in the country. 16 It commences formally with the dispersal of his estate: 'First, I give, bequeath & devise unto my executors hereafter named ...' around £12,560 (equivalent to £2,081,283.40) to be distributed among family and friends, young and old.¹⁷ Baskerville was evidently wealthy. An analysis of the beneficiaries named in his will would make an interesting future study as they are revealing of Baskerville's connections, the intertwining of Birmingham's leading families, and his position within these criss-crossing networks. Whilst the fiscal aspects of Baskerville's will demonstrate his net worth, the goods and chattels enumerated in the document shed light on the comforts and contents at Easy Hill, his home on the edge of Birmingham. They offer a rare glimpse inside his private world thereby providing an understanding of his lifestyle, material wealth, and the possessions he regarded as significant. Baskerville made specific mention of his 'household furniture, plate and china' and certain household fixtures, 'particularly the fire-place'. Installed at prodigious cost, and much in-vogue in the eighteenth century, the fireplace along with 'the grate, fender and ... three leaden-figures', not only indicates Baskerville's elevated social status and but also suggests his modern tastes in household design. Baskerville was equally concerned with his garden. His friend, William Hutton, referred to Easy Hill as a 'Little Eden', 18 and a bill from James Gordon, a London seeds-man, evidences the plants and seeds Baskerville used to establish his 'plantations of trees and shrubs of every kind'. 19 In the eighteenth century no fashionable estate was complete without an artificial grotto, the mention of which in Baskerville's will demonstrates he was acquainted with the latest fashions in estate design. Baskerville's garden beautified the place which was both his home and his

⁻

¹⁵ J. Nichols, *Literary Anecdotes of the Eighteenth Century*, Vol 3 (London: 1812), pp. 455–58; J. Chambers, *Biographical Illustrations of Worcestershire* (Worcester: 1820), pp 375–77; W. Fawley, *Epitaphiana, Or, the Curiosities of Church-yard Literature* (1873), p. 56.

¹⁶ National Archives Catalogue, 'Records of the Prerogative Court of Canterbury', PROB 11/1005/296 https://discovery.nationalarchives.gov.uk/details/r/D457848 (accessed, 11 October 2021)

¹⁷ CPI Inflation Calculator, https://www.in2013dollars.com/UK-inflation> (accessed 22 September 2021).

¹⁸ W. Hutton, *An History of Birmingham* (Birmingham, 1795), p 90–94.

¹⁹ Birmingham Archives & Collections (BA&C), MS 3782/6/190/120, bill from James Gordon-MB, November 1766; E. Mitchell, 'Marigolds not Manufacturing: plants, print and commerce in eighteenth-century Birmingham', in *Pen, Print and Communication in the Eighteenth Century*, ed. by C. Archer-Parré, M. Dick (Liverpool: Liverpool University Press, 2020) pp. 153–68.

workplace. Given this dual function, it is curious that Baskerville gives no instructions for the disposal of either his japanning or printing manufactories. Although the latter passed to Baskerville's wife, Sarah (1704–88), who continued to run the printing house until she sold the machinery, types, and punches to Beaumarchais in 1779, it is unclear what happened to the goods, tools and equipment associated with Baskerville's japanning works.²⁰

Whilst Baskerville's will provides useful information about the distribution of his fiscal and material wealth, the document becomes increasingly interesting once the legal utterances fade and Baskerville's own voice comes to the fore as he speaks directly to his beneficiaries. It is a highly personal section of the document—warm and compassionate on the one hand, angry and pitiless on the other—as Baskerville veers between making provision for those he loved and staying his hand against those who grieved him. His generosity towards the children in the family is notable. He bequeathed his nephew, John Townsend, a gold watch as 'a keepsake'; gave Isaac, the son of Thomas Marston, just £10 'for pocket money' because 'if he behaves well' he will inherit a fortune from his uncle; and Baskerville touchingly notes 'I must not forget my little favourite', Sarah, the daughter of Ferdinand and Sarah De Meirre—his wife's daughter—to whom he leaves £500 in trust.

Towards his adult beneficiaries, Baskerville is equally generous both with his language and his pocket. During his lifetime, Baskerville notes, he gave his niece, Rebecca, and her husband, Thomas Westley, a 'considerable sum'. However, because he observed with pleasure that 'Providence had blest their endeavours' and because the Westley's had 'no child nor chick' to inherit, Baskerville 'stayed [his] hand' so that he might assist those whose want was greater. His desire to help those in need also included a bequest of £500 to the Protestant Dissenting Charity School 'towards erecting a commodious building' for the education and support of poor children of any religious denomination. Baskerville an industrialist and materialist is also social, equitable and ethical in his generosity. But whilst he rewards good behaviour, he also punishes transgressions. When addressing those who crossed him in life, Baskerville's language turns bitter and

²⁰ J. Dreyfus, *The Survival of Baskerville's Punches*, (Cambridge: Privately Printed, 1949); Yvonne Jones, *Japanned Papier Mâché and Tinware c.1740-1940*, (Woodbridge: Antique Collectors Club, 2012)

malevolent. John, Daniel and Josiah, the sons of Baskerville's late friend Jonathan Ruston [d. 1762], received less than they might have expected because Baskerville foresaw, 'they will endeavour to traduce my memory as they have already done my character'. A reference, perhaps, to malicious reports of bad debts made against Baskerville which he was forced to defend in the pages of the *Birmingham Gazette*.²¹ Martha Ryland [d. 1817]—wife of Baskerville's friend, the industrialist John Ryland—was a victim of Baskerville's sharp tongue and blunt justice as her 'unprovoked, petulant malice and spleen and abusive treatment' of him during his lifetime convinced him of 'the rancour of her heart' and caused him to revoke an earlier decision to revise his lease in her favour. Baskerville's will, a public document published at home and abroad, ensured his displeasure was made common knowledge and that Martha's reputation was denigrated in perpetuity. Not averse to 'traducing' the memory and character of others, Baskerville could evidently give as good as he got. Clearly 'a man of paradoxes', Baskerville's treatment of his friends and family show him to be affectionate and judicious on the one hand, but holding deep-seated personal animosities and great bitterness on the other.²² It is notable that Baskerville left the largest proportion of his estate to women. Sarah, his wife, business partner, and former housekeeper, was the major beneficiary inheriting both money and property. An earlier trust had ensured Easy Hill for her 'sole use and benefit', but Baskerville's will made it 'entirely her own', thereby giving his wife both autonomy and authority over the estate. His aforementioned 'little favourite', Sarah de Meirre, was given a princely £500 for her 'sole use and benefit'; and his niece, Rebecca Westley, received £100 which was 'entirely at her own disposal' and beyond the control or 'intermeddling of her husband' and that 'her receipt alone' was sufficient discharge for the executors. By his will, Baskerville ensured his female beneficiaries were financially secure and their prosperity was wholly independent from, and beyond the reach of others—particularly men. It is also significant that the only charity Baskerville chose to support, the Protestant Dissenting Charity School to which he left £500, was specifically for girls who were entirely maintained by the school and apprenticed on leaving.²³ Whilst it is not possible to draw absolute conclusions simply

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

²¹ Birmingham Gazette, (Birmingham: 9 February 1761).

²² T. Baines Reed, A History of Old English Letter Foundries, ed. A. F. Johnson, (London: 1952), p. 282.

²³ 'Public Education: Schools', in *A History of the County of Warwick: Volume 7, the City of Birmingham*, ed. W. B. Stephens (London, 1964), pp. 501–48.

based on the evidence of the will, there is some suggestion that Baskerville may have encouraged female autonomy in a world dominated by men and where the unequal legal position of women and wives placed significant constraints upon them. It is tempting to view him as an early feminist, a defender of the social and financial freedom of women and a champion of their education. If this is the case, it is impossible to know what prompted Baskerville's progressive position towards women, or how far his enlightened attitudes extended, but his will certainly attempts to provide those women closest to him with both independence and financial security.²⁴

The distribution of Baskerville's goods and chattels was reliant upon the express condition 'that my wife, in concert with my Executors, do cause my body to be buried in a conical building in my own premises, heretofore used as a mill.' To be buried at home, and therefore in unconsecrated ground, was an unorthodox request and one which Baskerville foresaw was likely to meet with opposition. To ensure compliance with his wishes he therefore tied the inheritance of his legacies to the carrying out of the instructions for his internment. To ensure his wishes were faithfully carried out, Baskerville selected his Executors with care and rewarded them generously for their troubles:

Lastly, I do hereby appoint my worthy friends, Mr Edward Palmer and Josiah Ruston, my wife's brother, joint-Executors of this my will, in most perfect confidence (as I know the integrity of their hearts) that they will, jointly and cordially, execute this my most important trust committed to them with integrity and candour; to each of which I leave six guineas $[£1,136]^{25}$ to buy a ring, which I hope they will consider as a keepsake.

The execution of his uncommon internment was the 'most important' of Baskerville's final wishes; his faith in the honesty, reliability and truthfulness of his Executors is evident.

²⁴ K. O'Brien, *Women and Enlightenment in Eighteenth-Century Britain*, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2009); Renu, 'Status of women in the eighteenth-century's English society', in *International Journal of Advanced Education and Research*, Volume 2, Issue 3, (2017), 153-54.

²⁵ UK Inflation Calculator, < https://www.in2013dollars.com/UK-inflation> (accessed 25 October 2021)

baskervine was not alone in requesting an unusual burial. In eighteenth-century
England incidents of 'deviant burials'—those which were either statistically uncommon
or different from the norm—were rare but notable, particularly in the second half of the
century. For example, John Jocelyn (1689–1741) wished to be interred in the circle of
yews in the Grand Avenue leading to his Hydehall estate in Essex, whilst Susanna
Carteret Webb (1711–56) instructed she was to be buried in a cave. Like Baskerville,
Henry Trigg (d. 1724) of Stevenage tied his inheritance to the disposal of his body when
he willed all his lands to his brother on the condition his body lay in the rafters of his
outhouse. As Claire Gittings has noted, 'one fallacy that frequently features in accounts
of these burials, sometimes by contemporary as well as later writers, and which
negatively colours the folklore, is that if the deceased were buried in unconsecrated
ground, then they must have been atheists'. 26 It is certainly a myth which has been long
been attached to Baskerville, who, even during his life time, was frequently accused of
'revolting atheism.' Mark Nobel referred to him as a 'most profane wretch'; ²⁷ John
Wilkes claimed 'he was a terrible infidel'; ²⁸ and John Chambers wrote that Baskerville
'unblushingly avowed not only his disbelief of, but his contempt for revealed religion,
and that in terms too gross for repetition.'29 These, and other remarks, sealed
Baskerville's reputation as an atheist, 'gracious infidel' or 'kindly pagan' a
misapprehension unintentionally reinforced by his will: a misreading of which persists
today. ³⁰
Baskerville's will makes it clear that his desire for an unconventional burial was a result
of neither caprice nor atheism but the logical conclusion of long-held, considered

Baskerville's will makes it clear that his desire for an unconventional burial was a result of neither caprice nor atheism but the logical conclusion of long-held, considered opinions: 'doubtless to many, [this] may appear a whim; perhaps it is so, but it is a whim for many years resolved upon.' Baskerville goes on to explain the basis for his decision:

I have a hearty contempt of all superstition, the farce of consecrated ground, the Irish barbarism of 'sure and certain hopes' &c. as I also consider Revelation, as it is called, exclusive of the scraps of morality casually intermixed with it, to be the most impudent abuse of common

²⁶ Gittings, 'Eccentric or Enlightened?' Unusual burial and commemoration in England, 1689–1823', *Mortality*, 12:4, (2007), p. 322-27

²⁷ M. Nobel, *A Biographical History of England*, (London; W. Richardson, 1806), pp. 361–2.

²⁸ Straus & Dent, *John Baskerville*, p. 63.

²⁹ J. Chambers, *Biographical Illustrations of Worcestershire*, (London: 1820).

³⁰ H. Evans, *John Baskerville: The Gracious Infidel*, (San Francisco: Peregrine Press, 1953).

sense which ever was invented to befool mankind. I expect some shrewd remark will be made on this my declaration by the ignorant & bigoted who are taught to believe that morality (by which I understand all the duties a man owes to God and his fellow creatures) is not sufficient to entitle him to divine favour without professing to believe as they call it certain absurd doctrines & mysteries about which they have no more conception that a horse. This morality alone I profess to have been my religion and the rule of my actions, to which I appeal how far my profession and practice have been consistent'.

Baskerville's pronouncements on religion, his biblical criticisms, and his contempt of needless superstition were the most controversial parts of his will. As Bennett noted 'the terms revelation and consecration, in theory orthodox eighteenth-century interpretation, were to [Baskerville] unacceptable'.31 His views on 'absurd doctrines & mysteries' are so forcefully articulated that they have not only exposed him to accusations of atheism they have also detracted from his clearly expressed ideological stance on God and morality. Morality—'all the duties a man owes to God and his fellow creatures'—is central to Baskerville's world-view and religion, and is at the heart of his obligation to both man and Divinity, the existence of the latter he certainly recognises. It is a concept that Baskerville also presented in his *Vocabulary: or pocket dictionary* (1765)—a volume which he certainly printed and possibly compiled—in which he defines 'morally' as 'according to the dictates of natural reason' and which is reached through observation, logic, and sound judgment.³² It is an epistemological viewpoint by which Baskerville rejects those beliefs which have simply been formed on the basis of tradition, revelation, or dogma and instead argues reason and reflection are sufficient to prove the existence of a Supreme Being, in which he clearly believes. It is a perspective that marks him as a free-thinking deist, but not an atheist.

283

284

285

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

There is a didactic fervour to Baskerville's 'religion' that drove him to continue preaching it beyond the grave. His belief was so important to him that it was reified in

 $^{^{31}}$ W. Bennett, John Baskerville, the Birmingham Printer, (Birmingham: 1937), i, 9.

³² L. Mugglestone, 'Identity, Enigma, Assemblage: John Baskerville's *Vocabulary, or Pocket Dictionary*' in *Pen, Print and Communication in the Eighteenth Century*, ed. by C. Archer-Parré, M. Dick (Liverpool: Liverpool University Press, 2020) pp. 141–52.

his self-penned epitaph, and he quite literally made his abstract ideas concrete by having them inscribed on the 'conical building' which served as his burial place:

288 Stranger —

289 Beneath this Cone in Unconsecrated Ground

A Friend to the Liberties of mankind Directed his Body to be Inhum'd

May the Example Contribute to Emancipate thy Mind

From the Idle Fears of Superstition

And the Wicked arts of Priesthood.

It was an earthly memorial which not only challenged the concept of burial on consecrated ground and defied the authority of the Church and its associated rites, but it also advocated freedom of choice and self-determination of which Baskerville, as he suggests, was an exemplar. It was a brave stance, as Pardoe writes Baskerville 'not only had an independent mind but was capable of coming to a carefully thought-out set of beliefs and of then continuing to hold them in the face of what must have been considerable unpopularity'.³³ The tenacity with which he followed his religion and vocalised his beliefs certainly demonstrated a strength of character for which 'one can only admire him.'³⁴

Death and internment

When *Aris's Birmingham Gazette* published notice of Baskerville's death on Monday 23 January 1775 the announcement was concise:

Died. On Monday last, at Easy Hill in this Town, Mr John Baskerville; whose Memory will be perpetuated, by the Beauty and Elegance of his Printing, which he carried to a very great Perfection.

Whilst the announcement tells nothing of the cause of his death or arrangements for his funeral, it does, as Malcolm Dick notes, 'establish the leitmotif of Baskerville's posthumous reputation, namely the excellence of his printing' about which there can be little doubt.³⁵ It raises, however, an uncertainty as to the exact date of Baskerville's death. Whilst *Aris* states the printer died 'on Monday last'—that is 16 January 1775—his various biographers almost unanimously date his death to Sunday 8 January. Pardoe

³³ F. E. Pardoe, John Baskerville of Birmingham Letter-Founder & Printer, (London: Frederick Muller, 1975), 122–23.

³⁴ Pardoe, John Baskerville, 122-23.

 $^{^{\}rm 35}$ Dick, 'The Topographies of a Typographer', p. 10.

suggests the reason for this discrepancy maybe attributed to an earlier notice which appeared in *Aris's* on 9 January, and which announced that 'Early Yesterday Morning died in an Apoplectic Fit, Mr Samuel Aris, Printer of this paper'. The deaths of the two Birmingham printers, Pardoe suggests, may have become conflated in the minds of subsequent historians and, because Baskerville was interred on his own property, there are no associated burial records to confirm either the date of his demise or that of his internment.³⁶

Just as the date of Baskerville's death is unsure, so is the form of his funeral. Scholarly research on eighteenth-century English funerals is limited and therefore there is little context against which to surmise the events of Baskerville's own internment. Most people were buried by Anglican clergy using the burial service of the *Book of Common Prayer* and, at least for the wealthy, the sermon played an important role in the proceedings. The majority of the dead were interred in consecrated ground in a churchyard and laid to rest in coffins, the production of which had become ubiquitous during the eighteenth century. The newly emerging trade of undertaking ensured the better off were provided with a range of goods necessary for a decent funeral such as shrouds, hearses, rings, and mourning clothes.³⁷ Not everyone accepted the conventions of burial or the commercial trappings introduced by the undertakers, rejecting established Christian rituals in favour of a more individual approach to death and internment. During the second half of the eighteenth-century highly personalised funerals pre-planned by those with time and money at their disposal became significant, albeit rare, events: Baskerville's internment is one such bespoke burial.

Baskerville's funeral was held on private land. It is not known, therefore, when his interment took place, who mourned at his grave, who officiated over the occasion, or what kind of service, if any, was performed. However, it was probably an unconventional funeral befitting his free-thinking attitudes and deist beliefs. He would certainly have eschewed the clergy and, despite having printed six editions of the *Book of Common Prayer*, it is unlikely to have been used to conduct any ceremony he may have had. Whilst the manner of his funeral is open to speculation, the place of

³⁶ Pardoe, John Baskerville, 132-33.

³⁷ C. Gittings, *Death, Burial and the Individual in Early Modern England*, (London and Sydney: Croom Helm, 1984; R. Houlbrooke, *Death, Religion and the Family in England 1480–1750*, (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998).

Baskerville's internment is clear: his 'own premises' at Easy Hill. There were several reasons Baskerville chose to be buried on his own property rather than at St Martins, the parish church where he had married eleven years earlier, or St Philips where his wife was later buried, or even one of Birmingham's unconsecrated, non-conformist, burial grounds. Firstly, his deist persuasions and his 'hearty contempt of all superstition [and] the farce of a consecrated ground' meant a home-burial was a logical conclusion to his ideological stance, an assertion of his independence from society's traditions, and his freedom from both civic and religious authority. Secondly, it was explicit evidence of his wealth and elevated social status. In the eighteenth century, a fashion for mausoleum building emerged amongst the gentry whose bodies were interred in unconsecrated ground on their own estates. Baskerville, although not a member of gentry, was sufficiently prosperous to be interred at home, if not in a mausoleum, then a pre-existing 'conical building' retrofitted specifically for the purpose. Thirdly, Baskerville's internment in his beloved garden echoed a wider eighteenth-century interest in landscape and the natural world. Baskerville's desire to spend eternity in his self-made 'Little Eden' with its fine house and garden populated with 'trees and shrubs of every kind' chimes with the era's concern for place, space, and the natural world.

365366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

As already noted, Baskerville's will states he was to be placed in a specially prepared vault in 'a conical building in [his] own premises'. Two years before his death the conical building had been 'raised higher' to accommodate the vault and was 'painted'. Whether Baskerville was buried 'in [the] conical building'—that is above ground—as his will indicates, or 'beneath [the] cone'—that is underground—as suggested by his epitaph, is uncertain. However, a photograph in the Library of Birmingham plainly shows his coffin was made of lead.³⁸ The lead would have been necessary if Baskerville's interment took place above ground as the soft metal would have provided an airtight seal preventing the body from premature decay, and kept death's effluence at bay. The coffin originally had an outer casing made of wood and matting was used to separate the body from the lead.³⁹ Its construction would have required the services of both a plumber and a carpenter of which Birmingham had many, although it is not known who

³⁸ LoB, MS 1666/2.

³⁹ Birmingham Daily Gazette, (Birmingham: 13 April 1893).

was responsible for its production.⁴⁰ It is known, however, that Baskerville's body was dressed in a shroud, reliable evidence for which exists in the Library of Birmingham where there is a yellow rosette made of linen cut from the shroud by Dr George Edward Male—the father of English medical jurisprudence—when Baskerville's body was put on public display in 1821.⁴¹ Burial in a shroud typically meant the corpse was without personal clothes. Therefore, evidence of the shroud may contradict claims, originally made by T. E. Ryland, that Baskerville was buried in his 'court clothes' and in 'shoes with very large buckles.'⁴² In life Baskerville was certainly a 'fond of shew' but in death, it seems, he chose a simpler couture.⁴³ A final piece of evidence of Baskerville's burial emerged once again in 1821 when a branch of laurel was found on his chest alongside scatterings of bay and other leaves.⁴⁴ Whether placed inside the shroud to disguise the smell of the decomposition, or whether they formed part of the wreath which may had dressed the coffin, it is impossible to know. The use of herbs often had superstitious connotations attached to them, but given Baskerville's antipathy toward the irrational, they were unlikely to have any significance beyond the practical.

Baskerville had the time, money and inclination to pre-plan his burial, funeral and lasting memorial. Indications as to the form his funeral might have taken can be surmised from his will, in conjunction with observations made on his coffin and corpse during subsequent exhumations. Piecing together the evidence has enabled some informed assumptions about the event. But whatever form it took it is certain that the funeral bore all the lively marks of John Baskerville, and that 'whatever else [it] was [it] was not commonplace.'45

Inhuming and Exhuming

When Baskerville was laid to rest in January 1775 it was, presumably, with the expectation that he would remain at Easy Hill at least for the foreseeable future, if not in perpetuity. His resting place, with its epitaph explicitly addressing 'Strangers', was

⁴⁰ N. Mihailovic, *The Dead in English Urban Society 1689–1840*, (PhD diss., University of Exeter, 2011) p. 47.

⁴¹ LoB, MS 897; Pardoe, John Baskerville, 150-51.

⁴² Birmingham Daily Gazette, (Birmingham: 13 April 1893).

⁴³ Hutton, History of Birmingham, pp. 90–94.

⁴⁴ J. A. Langford, *A Century of Birmingham Life: or A chronical of Local Events from 1741 to 1841* Vol 2, (Birmingham: 1868) pp. 358–59.

⁴⁵ Talbot Baines Reed, *Old English Letter Foundries* (London: Elliot Stock, 1887) pp. 168–87.

intended as a memorial where both current and future generations might pay their respects to England's preeminent printer and Birmingham's 'premier deist'.⁴⁶ That Baskerville was exhumed just forty-six years after his death, and moved on a total of eight occasions, could not have been predicted. It is a story that has been related by all Baskerville's biographers—Strauss & Dent, Benton, Bennett, and Pardoe—sensationalised by the press, and dramatized by the BBC. Whilst the story has been told with varying degrees of historical accuracy mixed with theatrical embellishment, there has been little reflection on the facts of the tale or what it tells us about Baskerville, his legacy, and ways in which he has been remembered.

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

When Sarah Baskerville died in March 1788, the Easy Hill estate was auctioned by her executors and purchased by the freeholder John Ryland [1726–1814], who made it his home. The fate of Baskerville's 'conical building', however, is unclear. Demidowicz writes that Ryland reconfigured the main house but demolished the 'out offices'.47 Langford too suggests that 'soon after Mr Ryland became the possessor of the property, the mausoleum ... was taken down'. Straus & Dent, however, claim that Ryland 'did not disturb the mound under which the printer's body lay', a view repeated by Benton. 48 In 1791, Easy Hill was attacked by a mob in the Priestly Riots and the house was engulfed in fire.⁴⁹ The extent of the damage can be seen in the etching *Views of the Ruins of the* Principal Houses Destroyed During the Riots at Birmingham, and an 1805 map of the town 'appears to only mark the house'.50 In 1810, Ryland sold Easy Hill to Thomas Gibson, a local ironmonger, and in 1811 work commenced on the development of a series of canal wharves on what had been the Easy Hill estate; construction was completed in 1817. Pardoe suggests the 'conical building' was demolished during this time.⁵¹ It is unclear exactly when during all the reconfiguring, rioting, and redeveloping the conical building was demolished, but in December 1820, 'some workmen who were employed in getting gravel, discovered [Baskerville's] leaden coffin' but 'it was

⁴⁶ J. Holyoake, *Secular Review*, 8 September 1877.

⁴⁷ G. Demidowicz, 'Place, Home and Workplace', pp. 42-69.

⁴⁸ J. A. Langford, *A Century of Birmingham Life*, Vol II, (London: Simkin, Marshall, 1868), pp. 358–9; Straus & Dent, *John Baskerville*, p. 135. J. H. Benton, *John Baskerville*, *Type-founder and Printer 1706–1775*, (Boston: Privately Printed, 1914) p. 61.

⁴⁹ 'Explaining the Priestly Riots', in *Revolutionary Players*, https://www.revolutionaryplayers.org.uk/explaining-the-priestley-riots/ (accessed 3 October 2021).

⁵⁰ P. H. Witton, *Views of the ruins of the principal houses destroyed during the riots at Birmingham* (London: Printed for J. Johnson), 1791 [1792]; Demidowicz, 'Place, Home and Workplace' p. 67.

⁵¹ Pardoe, *John Baskerville*, p. 148.

immediately covered up' implying that at some point Baskerville had been placed underground.⁵²

436 437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

434

435

In May 1821 further work on the canal wharf necessitated the disinterment of Baskerville's coffin which was then stored in Messrs Gibson & Sons ironmongery warehouse in Cambridge Street. Eight years later, in August 1829, it was transferred to John Marston's plumbing and glazing shop in Monmouth Street—a branch of the Marston family having been beneficiaries of Baskerville's will. Between 1821 and 1829 the coffin was opened on various occasions for inspection and it is alleged that Gibson charge 6d a head to see the body.⁵³ Langford, who viewed the body whilst it lay at Gibson's, provides one of the earliest descriptions of the corpse, which was 'in a singular state of preservation.'54 He noted the body was 'wrapt in a linen shroud, which was very perfect and white'. The shroud must have been at least partially removed to enable Langford to produce his observations. Firstly, he offers a visual description of the body: 'The skin on the face was dry but perfect. The eyes were gone, but the eyebrows, eyelashes, lips and teeth remained. The skin on the abdomen and body generally was in the same state with that of the face.' Secondly, he uses his olfactory senses to describe the 'exceedingly offensive and oppressive effluvia, strongly resembling cheese' which arose from the body. Finally, Langford considers the body's remarkable state of preservation—which many believed to have been embalmed—and concludes, 'the putrefaction process must have been arrested by the leaden coffin having been sealed hermetically, and thus the access of air, which modern discoveries have ascertained is essential to putrefaction, was prevented.' Langford provides a factual, clinical account of Baskerville's corpse based on sight, smell, and science in order to offer a forensic understanding of the body and he supports his post-mortem observations with reference to 'modern discoveries'.55

460

461

462

463

Whilst nineteenth-century science provided quantifiable observations on Baskerville's remains, the arts were able to visualise that which science could only enumerate. In 1829, nineteen-year-old Thomas Underwood (1810–72)—later to become

⁵² 'Disinterment of Mr Baskerville', *Birmingham Gazette*, (Birmingham: 28 May 1821).

⁵³ Bennett: II, pp. 77-8.

⁵⁴ Langford: II, pp, 358-9.

⁵⁵ Empire of the Senses: the sensual cultural reader, ed. David Howes (London: Routledge) 2005.

Birmingham's most famous lithographic artist—viewed the body as it lay at Marston's and produced a pencil drawing which 'shows correctly what I saw of the remains'.⁵⁶ The sketch is housed in the Library of Birmingham and is accompanied by the hand-written legend 'Relic of Mr John Baskerville taken Augt 15, 1829'.⁵⁷ It illustrates Baskerville in his coffin with his shroud pulled back around him, and whilst his teeth appear intact the body demonstrates a greater degree of decomposition than suggested in Langford's earlier description. Underwood evidently felt privileged to portray the man he regarded as 'an artist in every sense of the word and will ever deservedly be famous as one of the worthies of our town, who spread its fame the wide world over'. ⁵⁸ It is fitting that Birmingham's foremost lithographic artist was able to give visual form to the remains of Birmingham's most famous typographic artist.

Baskerville's remains resided only briefly at Marston's shop before they were moved once again. Over the ensuing seventy years there was much speculation as to whereabouts of the body. In 1829 *The Birmingham Journal* stated the corpse had been relocated to 'a piece of ground adjoining Cradley Chapel, the property of a branch of the Baskerville family'; the story was repeated in Nichols's *Illustrations of the Literary History of the Eighteenth Century* (1858).⁵⁹ In *Notes & Queries* (1851) however, William Cornish, the Birmingham publisher and book seller, states 'the body of the eminent printer now reposes, as it has for some years, in the vault of Christ Church in our town.'⁶⁰ Birmingham, it appears, had mislaid Baskerville. Not so difficult to do in the eighteenth century when such matters were not tightly regulated, deaths went unregistered, the disposal of bodies was ungoverned, and there were no acts governing public health.

At a lecture delivered in the town in 1892, the typographic historian Talbot Baines Reed (1852–93) suggested the confusion over Baskerville's resting place should be investigated. In response, the churchwardens at Christ Church examined the burial records which revealed although there were 136 vaults only 135 burials had been

⁵⁶ 'Thomas Underwood' in *British Book Trade Index*, < http://bbti.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/details/?traderid=71268> (accessed 19 October 2021).

⁵⁷ LoB, MS 897.

⁵⁸ Pardoe, *John Baskerville*, p. 150.

⁵⁹ The Birmingham Journal, (Birmingham: September 1829); J. Nichols, *Illustrations of the Literary History of the Eighteenth Century* Vol VIII, (London: Printed by and for J.B. Nichols and Son, 1858), p. 458.

⁶⁰ Notes & Queries 1st Series, Vol IV, (London: George Bell, 1851), p. 211

registered. On 12 April 1893 'under pressure from a number of local interested people',
the vault was opened, the coffin was removed and its seal was broken in the presence of
twenty-four concerned observers. ⁶¹ The magnitude of the occasion ensured the
presence of Birmingham's great and the good including those with civic
responsibilities—Edward Lawley Parker (Mayor), W. S. Till (City Surveyor), Dr Oliver
Pemberton (Coroner) and Joseph Farndale (Chief of Police); members of the medical
profession—Dr Alfred Hill (Medical Officer for Health), Dr Bostock Hill (Professor of
Toxicology), Prof Bertram Windle (Professor of Anatomy and Anthropology);
antiquarians and historians—Sam Timmins (Shakespearian Scholar), Joseph Hill
(author and editor) Robert Kirkup Dent (author); and representatives of Christ
Church—Canon Wilcox (Vicar) and churchwardens past and present. ⁶² The corpse was
examined and confirmed to be that of Baskerville. Underwood's sketches were used for
identification and Sam Timmins verified that the remains 'answer[ed] in every
description to portraits in his possession'. Further evidence included Baskerville's name
which was soldered on the side of the lead coffin in printing types—not Baskerville's
own—above which was written in chalk 'Died 1775' and below 'Removed in 1829'.
After photographs of the coffin were taken and rubbings of the type were made,
Baskerville was returned to his vault and a tablet with the inscription 'in these
catacombs rest the remains of John Baskerville the famous printer' was placed on the
outside walls of the Church.
The discovery of Baskerville's remains caused a sensation in Birmingham and outrage
amongst some Members of Parliament, with suggestions that the disentombing was an
offence in law. On 14 April 1893, G. C. T. Hartley, the member for North Islington,
brought the case before parliament and asked the Home Secretary, Herbert Askwith, 'by
whose authority the grave of John Baskerville was opened and for what purpose, and
whether such practices would be prohibited in the future.'63 He referred to the Burials

Act Amendment Act of 1857 which stated 'it shall not be lawful to remove the body or

the remains of anybody which may have been interred in any place of burial.'64 The

objection was over-ruled because the removal of the body was undertaken simply to

⁶¹ Pardoe, John Baskerville, p. 152.

⁶² Birmingham Daily Argus (Birmingham: 12 April 1893)

⁶³ Hansard, UK Parliament HC Deb 14 April 1893 vol 11 c325, https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/1893-04-14/debates/a6f24495-f747-4dc9-9864-98ff999be8b0/Questions (accessed 25 October 2021)

⁶⁴ Burial Act 1857, https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Vict/20-21/81 (accessed 25 October 2021)

confirm its identity and it was reinterred almost immediately. It was not, however, to be Baskerville's final internment. In 1897, authorisation was given for the demolition of Christ Church and the bodies in the Catacombs were removed. Baskerville's body was taken to the Church of England cemetery, at Warstone Lane, and laid in a vault beneath the chapel. At the entrance to the vault was placed the tablet from the wall of Christ Church, with the date of its removal added 'February 26, 1898'. Not everyone has been happy with Baskerville's resting place. In 1963, a petition was presented by Councillor John Silk to Birmingham City Council requesting Baskerville's remains be removed from Warstone Lane to unhallowed ground stating 'it is unfortunate that one of our greatest citizens has had his dearest wishes deliberately flouted.' The petition was unsuccessful, and Baskerville continues to rest in Warstone Lane cemetery in the consecrated ground he was so anxious to avoid.

Media and Memory

Baskerville has undoubtedly had a remarkable post-mortem life. Whilst 'we cannot say with assurance that the last chapter has been written' there are certainly many column inches—both contemporaneous and retrospective—which reflect on his multiple inhumations and exhumations.⁶⁵ Over the course of nearly two-and-a-half centuries the story has progressively become more dramatic and fanciful and details have been added with each retelling. Whilst the press reports may be factually unreliable, they are, however, useful in mapping the manner in which Baskerville has been portrayed, in reflecting society's changing attitudes toward his death and its approaches to his memorialisation. ⁶⁶

_

⁶⁵ Birmingham Daily Gazette, (Birmingham: 13 April 1893).

⁶⁶ See for example: The body of John Baskerville, printer,' *The Bookworm*. London: Elliot Stock, 1893. 167–8; 'The disentombing of Baskerville: an offence against the law,' *Birmingham Weekly Post.* Birmingham: 15 April, 1893; 'Discovery of Baskerville's bones: in the catacombs: a long to be remembered function,' *Daily Argus.* Birmingham: 12 April, 1893; 'Baskerville's burial place: the mystery solved,' *Birmingham Daily Gazette.* Birmingham: 13 April, 1893; 'The grave of John Baskerville,' *Times.* London: 18 April 1893; 'Disinterment of Mr Baskerville', *Times.* London: 26 May 1821; 'Intelligence from various part of the country: the disinterment of Mr Baskerville', *Gentleman's Magazine.* London: June 1821; 'Baskerville: re-buried in Cradley Chapel', *Worcester Herald.* Worcester: 1829; 'John Baskerville's shroud: Relic of the Birmingham printer discovered: the wanderings of a coffin,' *Birmingham Mail.* Birmingham: 22 June 1912; 'John Baskerville's career in life and death: an amazing exhumation, *Sunday Mercury.* Birmingham: 7 July 1935; 'In the catacombs,' *Birmingham Daily Gazette.* Birmingham, 21 May 1936; 'Baskerville tombs,' *Birmingham Post.* Birmingham: 21 July 1938; 'Baskerville tombs,' *Birmingham Post.* Birmingham: 14 July 1938; 'The two-penny peep: Baskerville', *Sunday Mercury.* Birmingham: 29 November 1939; 'A Baskerville grave,' *Birmingham Mail. Birmingham:* 19 August 1946; 'Petition presented for printer's reburial,' *Times.* London: 13 March 1963; 'Move Baskerville remains' plea,' *Birmingham Post.* Birmingham: 8 March 1963; 'Printer's reburial demanded,' *Times.* London: 9 March 1963.

Baskerville has generally been presented with pride by the local press, which has
lauded his achievements as a printer and dignified him with terms such as 'a great
Birmingham Genius' and 'one of Birmingham's most celebrated worthies'. However,
these appellations have also been tempered by both sensational and derisive
journalism, and half-truths have usurped dependable reporting of his death and burial.
Whilst early commentators present Baskerville's sojourn at Gibson's warehouse in a
forensically factual manner, subsequent accounts sensationalise the story for the
benefit of a popular readership. For example, Langford claims that Baskerville's corpse
was 'in a singular state of preservation,' but later writers use more evocative language
and present their readers with a 'crumbling body' and 'mouldering bones', and, to add
further colour to the story, Baskerville's corpse is frequently—and erroneously—
described as 'having been buried upright'. ⁶⁷ Early reports suggest Gibson allowed some
visitors to view the body for 'two pence a-piece'—others claim it was 6d or even 1
Guinea. Subsequent editorials inflate the tale still further claiming 'all Birmingham was
waiting patiently' to see Baskerville in his coffin, and that 'people came in their
thousands to gawp'. To add to the tale of horror, the papers claim that some people fell
ill and died as a consequence of viewing the body, overcome by its odour. The
newspapers quickly exchanged Baskerville's reputation as 'the greatest printer that
Britain has ever had' for a popular, commercial tale of Baskerville the crumbling corpse,
the subject of tawdry voyeurism, and with the vengeful hand of a dead man. ⁶⁸
Baskerville's removal to Christ Church in 1829 was largely due to the good auspices of
George Baker, solicitor, churchwarden and the owner of vault 521 in which Baskerville
was interred. The clandestine nature of the entombment ignited the imagination of the
press which took every opportunity to further embellish the tale. Billed as the 'Stranges'
drama in Birmingham's history' the local papers carried the story with increasingly
colourful descriptions of 'body snatching' and an 'eerie' midnight procession to move
the coffin. ⁶⁹ When vault 521 was opened in 1893 to verify the body, the newspapers
covered the event in detail. Once again, the illegal nature of the occasion presented the

⁶⁷ Langford: II, pp, 358–9. ⁶⁸ Pardo, *John Baskerville*, cover text.

⁶⁹ Birmingham Weekly Post, (Birmingham: 2 April 1932).

press with a golden opportunity to produce some highly dramatic and colourful, if fictional, editorials:

When the company had assembled within the gloomy chamber, a couple of workmen, by the light of some oil lamps served to make the scene more-uncanny ... the picture was indeed a weird one, the anxious faces gathered round being scarcely discernible and the lamps' rays directed at full force upon the mouth of the tomb adding to the intensity of the darkness around.⁷⁰

Drama and mystery were often interlaced with passing scientific comments:

Cannon Wilcox stood guard over the remains, flushing with nervousness when the doctors gathered round in merely scientific mood. Dr Windle ... divested the skull of a filet, in order to facilitate craniological scrutiny.⁷¹

In the same article, Dr Windle, Professor of Anatomy and Anthropology at Mason Science College—later the University of Birmingham medical school—remarked the corpse had a large skull and allegedly concluded this indicated 'a well-developed mental faculty'. Whatever happened to his 'craniological scrutiny' of the 'filet' is unknown, but a search of his many authored volumes and articles might be revealing.

Untangling the facts from the fiction of Baskerville's death, burial and post-mortem life is difficult. The greater the time lapse between his death and the newspaper commentaries, the greater the liberties taken with the story; and the more remote death became from society, so the reports became commensurately more alarming and prone to sensationalism and exaggeration. Across the decades, misunderstandings about Baskerville's religious beliefs increased and proliferated, with wry comments that the printer of the world's greatest Bible was the town's most famous atheist. During the 1930s, in particular, there was a flurry of such articles in the Birmingham press, as interest in Baskerville was revived by a series of publications issued by the Birmingham School of Printing. One particularly derisive retelling of Baskerville's tale suggested 'scores of thousands took their place in a queue' to view his body, not because he was one of England's greatest printers, but because the clergy of the day claimed Baskerville was 'an atheist, the very child of the devil' and so 'Birmingham formed up in a queue and shuffled down Monmouth-street to gaze its fill on this limb—this very dead limb—

⁷⁰ Birmingham daily Gazette, (Birmingham: 13 April 1893).

⁷¹ Birmingham Weekly Post, 15 April 1893.

of Satan'. As a colourful local character who held unorthodox religious views and whose body was exhumed and inhumed many times, it is hardly surprising that myths, largely generated by the press, grew up around him.

611612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

608

609

610

Baskerville's self-curated memorialisation—expressed in print in his will, carved in stone on his mausoleum—was not intended to reference the past, as is the case for most memorials, instead he aimed to create a forward-looking message by which he would be understood by future generations. Despite Baskerville's careful planning, how he is remembered and comprehended has been appropriated others—friends and family, historians, the Church, the medical profession, artists, poets and playwrights, the press, and the city council—all of whom have contributed to and shaped the collective memory of the man. Whilst these stories may not have tainted Baskerville's reputation as printer, the reports have mudded Baskerville's post-mortem biography, generated much hard-to-eradicate misinformation, obscured the message he wished to bequeath to future generations, and eclipsed the way in which he wished to be remembered. By retelling Baskerville's post-mortem story, examining the evidence of his will, by revisiting the events surrounding his inhumations and exhumations and reappraising the media coverage of his death it has been possible to not only recover Baskerville's message for the future but to also understand who was referencing his past and how and why they were undermining his message. It is an approach which may be applied to post-mortem reputations of others and by doing so the memory and memorialisation of individuals can help us shape our understanding of wider issues such as identity, ideology, and influence.

630631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

Afterword

In his 'Preface' to *Paradise Lost* which he printed in 1758, Baskerville referred to the many books he produced as 'performances', that is, they were the 'completion of something designed; the execution of something promised.'⁷² Baskerville's death was also a performance, one which began with the composition of his will in 1773 and was to have concluded with his internment in 1775. The will serves as a prologue to Baskerville's death, an event which he carefully designed and for which he made judicious plans for its production. The will introduces the *dramatis personae*, the themes

⁷² S. Johnston, *Dictionary*, < https://johnsonsdictionaryonline.com/views/search.php> (online, 27 October 2021).

of the performance, the props required, and the directions under which the drama was to unfold. Baskerville's will is carefully crafted and consciously theatrical in both its language and structure, and it addresses not only its immediate audience but also audiences far into an unknown future. Baskerville, aware that his will would be reproduced in the press, and acutely conscious of the power of the printed word, understood his words would be carried abroad 'not to perish on waves of sound, not to vary with the writer's hand / but fixed in time, having been verified by proof' the consequences of which were far reaching. Baskerville's will is, therefore, carefully considered and highly significant.⁷³ It determines how he wishes to be remembered, which is not as eighteenth-century England's finest printer but as man who broke through the superstitions of his age to realise a relationship with man and God based on reason and carved for himself a religion based on morality. So important was this to Baskerville that he not only articulated it in his will but also inscribed it on his 'conical building'—the major prop in his theatre of death—and tied his supporting actors—his executors—to the fulfilment of his wishes. It is unfortunate that Baskerville's carefully designed plans, with their promise of educating both his own and future generations in his beliefs, have been usurped and misinterpreted for so long by 'undiscerning writers', and that facts have been obscured by fiction causing a tension between how Baskerville wished to be remembered and how he has been portrayed. It can only be hoped that Baskerville's self-penned hic jacet will one day resume its place in Birmingham and honour the life, work and death of one of Birmingham's most remarkable sons: the printer, deist and free-thinker, John Baskerville.

662

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

663664

665

666

667

668

Acknowledgements

My thanks go to Dr Helen Frisby and Dr Daniel O'Brien both Visiting Research Fellows at the Centre for Death & Society, University of Bath, for their advice and guidance in writing this article.

⁷³ B. Warde, *This is a Printing Office* (London: Monotype Corporation, 1932).