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Abstract

What do doors do?
- Open
- Close
- Invite In
- Shut Out
- Jam
- Stick
- Wedge open
Welcome: Entice and Invite
Offer a glimpse into
- Bar
- SLAM SHUT
- Get kicked in
- Get kicked shut
- Splinter
- Warp
- Hang
- Sit ajar
- Gently linger in our minds
- Cause hurt and separation
- Affecting thoughts
- Moments of joy or pain
- Longing, Waiting
- Fearful longing,
- Fearful waiting,
- Anticipating
- Wondering
- Haunting
- “Come-on-in”

This article is based on research-creation experimentations arising from the provocations “what do doors do?” and “how do doors matter?” We ponder how knowledge-making practices come to life when you take a little time to notice the mattering of doors. We use collaborative feminist praxis to generate arts-based post-qualitative entanglements as generative invitations for door storying that illuminate the potentialities of commoning practices.
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## Opening the Door

This article takes as its entry point a noticing of the everydayness of doors. Because you do not just open or close doors, do you? You often pause, remember, hesitate, peer, stride past, or even downright avoid troublesome doors. Some doors remain locked. Some doors recurrently include and exclude. In this article, collectively composed as a research-creation experimentation in feminist theory-method-research-writing praxis, we engage in storying with doors as a means to find generative footholds for pondering in/exclusions in academia. In a world where such repeated mundane acts as door opening barely registers, we pause and roll around what might be produced in the material-discursive mundanities of events that congregate around doors.

Through door-doings, we produce commons and “forms of life” which stand resistant to late-stage capitalism (Caffentzis & Federici, 2014). In our door-openings, we find common ground (with each other, with you, and with the more-than-human world) to “come on in.” Coming on in, or a “doing in common” invites collaboration with “material and immaterial elements that constitute commons-wealth” (De Angelis, 2019, pp. 212–13 cited in Woodman & Zaunseder, 2022, p. 2). Commons are generous spaces that challenge existing structures but also generate alternative modes of being that are voluntary, cooperative, and horizontal (Ruiz Cayuela, 2021, p. 1547). Commoning is a fruitful feminist unbounded knowledge-sense-making through a “creation of common spaces for uncommon knowledge” (Tan, 2016, p. 15). By commoning, we collaborate as an act of resistance and a reclaiming of radical imaginaries, in acts of occupation. In refusing boundaries, we enable creative multiplying spaces that nurture collective knowledge seeking of common goods.

By posing the provocations “what do doors do” and “how do doors matter?” we muse on door-doings and happenings where knowledge-making practices come to life by and through noticing the mattering of doors. We find a multiplicity of lively human-non-human-more-than-human potentialities nesting and proliferating away in the humdrum affordances of doors. As you read our storyings of what doors do, we invite you to open and imagine, with the capacious affective, embodied, haptic, and multi-sensory door slammings, shuttings, and commonings that matter to you.

### Door-Conferencing: Doings With Doors

Our door storyings collective came together at a research-creation (Manning & Massumi, 2014) event at a 2022 conference where a collection of doors in all shapes and sizes was offered as a provocation. Participants were invited to interact and intra-act (Barad, 2007) with, make, and respond to the doors whether they be real, remembered, or imagined. Inviting, creating, re-creating, and
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telling stories of many kinds of door experiences emerged all at once. What stories! With commoning characteristics of society making and cultural knowledge sharing (Woodman & Zaunseder, 2022, p. 2) what stories arrived and the stories kept on (and keep on) coming. Are you formulating a door story as you are reading this? Hold on to it, we will ask for it later!

The 2022 doors conference event emerged from two previous events. The first was Carol Taylor’s (2020) Coming to the door. Or, doors and what they do provocation on research for imagining the pluriversity which posed several questions: What is a door? What is it for? What work does a door do? Which doors have come to matter to you and why? What are your door stories? The rich archive of responses can be found here: 10. Carol A. Taylor—DesigningThePluriversity (designingpluriversity.org). The second was a door-parade event by the Get up and Move! Collective in which doors, door prompts, and graffiti doors had been situated in an open space in the university for passersby to engage with. The door matterings produced made us think that everyone had a door story—or many door stories—to tell.

And so.

Insights from these two theory-method-praxis explorations were enfolded into the BERA conference doings, a curated event in which delegates were invited to collaborate in making doors, doorways, and door frames out of a variety of materials as an opening to think-with and respond to questions of “what do doors do?” as provocations. In addition to the creations that were produced in the workshop, several installations were placed throughout the conference venue, including a freestanding door, a letter box, and miniature boxes with doors attached. These were situated and dispersed around the venue and stayed there for the conference duration enabling delegates who did not attend the workshop, and venue staff and administrators, to contribute stories of their encounters and wonderings about doors.

The aim of the workshop was to re-conceptualize what we do in academic conferences and what we value as knowledge production, taking inspiration from Fairchild et al.’s (2022) undisciplined experimentations. Like them, in this article, we re-turn—tunnel through, aerate and re-co-compose—the conference event and its materials to continue our thinking-doing-makings with doors. These re-turns enable new diffractive patterns to emerge (Barad, 2007, 2014); in new enlivened productions as we common(ed) to work-collaborate-co-compose together with/through vignettes of recorded spoken stories, writings, drawings, sculptures, and PowerPoint slides. On, on and on the doors kept opening, proliferating new doings . . . . makings . . . and producing new “theory-practice spaces in which differential matterings actually matter” (Taylor, 2021a, p. 237).

We continue to be provoked by “what do doors do?”

We continue to ask: “how do doors matter?”

We continue to wonder about the possibilities and tensions doors produce

We question whether doors can be less exclusionary and prohibitive

We wonder how doors open to enable new ways of thinking, knowing, doing.

We think door stories are important.

We think we probably all have hidden door stories waiting to be told.

We sense that door stories are “speculative stories [that] have no ending, they are processual, middling and immanent and that is why they are so exciting” (Fairchild et al., 2022, p. 206).

**Door Storying Getting Creative: Re-Membering, Re-Telling, Re-Turning**

Our door storying happened through working as a collective. As a mixture of established and early career researchers, we enacted a feminist materialist posthumanist praxis of holding open the academic door to each other in the real life and virtual spaces we occupy. Working as a research collective since the conference event, we have made and shared stories through virtual events with commoning practices of relationality and collectivity between the human and non-human worlds tied up with door-doings (Bollier & Helfrich, 2015). Something happens in the re-turning. Sharing door stories did something and we wanted to do something with that doing. Our collective door speculations in this article open a door on our door stories so far. So far—because we enter in the middle of an enquiry that twists, turns, and keeps on sending out roots and spurting new growth in rhizomatic fashions. Doors just keep on opening and closing to us in our meetings, creating commoning spaces for catch-ups, workshops, and follow-up readings.

Door storyings remind, trouble, touch.

Door storyings invite thinking otherwise
Musing door differentiations.

Door storyings as knowing-with and (k)not knowing

Door uncertainties.

Doors open and participation becomes collaboration becomes co-creation becomes commoning. We bring histories, ghosts, and geographies in “acts of occupation in time and space” (Ultra-red, 2016, p. 192). Commoning shapes and reshapes our murmurations and re-creations as we weave affective entanglements from door storyings which are “never resolved lest [they] become [. . .] bordered” (Ultra-red, 2016, p. 190). The creative door storyings below instantiate this commoning. They refuse containment and settlement. They open the door to ghosts and geographies, to giving and receiving, to becomings-with thick with response-abilities (Haraway, 2016). Our storyings do not to represent but embrace the ability of doors to spark imagination, generate new doors, and becoming doors. The ethico-politics of the stories is their desire for new door-doings (of possibility). Such door stories are yet to be imagined for others to pass-through and think-with.

Our door storyings are creative responses, collaged articulations, murmurations, patternings of words, thoughts, writings, images that threw us off, caught us, or held a space open for our thinking-making. They embrace playfulness to “creat[e] cracks in the existing knowledge” (Pyyry, 2022, p. 76). They are responsive to Braidotti’s (2013) call for an affirmative and relational ethical approach that “looks for the ways in which otherness prompts, mobilises and allows for flows of affirmation of values and forces which are not yet sustained by the current conditions” (Braidotti, 2013, p. 342). We listened to the whispers and moved with their reverberations together, attentive to the entanglements, commonings, and connections emerging.

Door Storying 1: Commoning With the Algorithm

Drawing on arts-based and collaging approaches (Vaughan, 2005), we challenged ourselves to become more creative in our experimentation with and through the PowerPoint algorithm. As university lecturers, we are familiar with MS PowerPoint as a crucial if rather pedestrian pedagogical tool for organizing ideas and structuring presentations. Following the suggestion, one of us had first developed in their doctoral thesis (Lewis, 2022) of working with PowerPoints in experimental ways, we decided to import images and text into a series of two or three PowerPoint slides and to see “what happens if” (Taylor, 2016) we follow the “design ideas” button in PowerPoint at the side of the screen. Where would it take us? What would it do? What differences and divergences might emerge from commoning with algorithms (García-López et al., 2021)?

As we worked with PowerPoint design ideas, we slowed down and wallowed in the data. We re-turned to and re-organized the materials in PowerPoint in ways that called to our senses and drew us into door storyings that began to emerge through the process of making these slides. Then PowerPoint intra-acted with our slides in serendipitous algorithmic encounters, prompting new relationships between quotations, images, and empirical materials. PowerPoint’s algorithm does not differentiate between the visual and the linguistic or prioritize the human. It does not attend to the context or content of an image. It makes cuts in unexpected places, drawing our attention away from the human and toward the materiality of doors in conference spaces and in connections with other matterings—that which assembles around and through conference spaces. However, there were times when the algorithm would not play with us, stating simply that there were “no design ideas available for this slide” . . . had we overwhelmed it with too many images? Had our demands for more inventive text-image-displays caused it to run out of steam?

Having been told previously that there were “no design ideas available for this slide,” one set of slides was revisited after a few days. Inexplicably, there were now design ideas available. Perhaps both the ideas and the algorithm needed to sit for a few days—do algorithms need to rest? Interestingly, the algorithm now offered new suggestions, creating new cuts, positioning both text and image in different ways, and foregrounding different ideas than those curated by the human. The intra-action between the materiality of the algorithm and the materiality of the text and images quite literally moved some onto the dark, while leaving others in the light. What did this “mean”? With/in these new agential cuts, text-image-design coalesced in creating new, emergent data, where the “in-cision is also a decision” (Wysocki & Sheridan, 2019, cited in Sheridan et al., 2020, p. 1279). We watched and wondered with/in the inhuman-nonhuman-more-than-human research assemblage.

Knock! Knock!

Who’s there?

BOO
POSSIBILITY

- A haecceity has neither beginning nor end, origin nor destination; it is always in the middle. It is not made of points, only of lines. It is a rhizome (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987: 290)

ASSEMBLAGE

- We are all three o’clock in the afternoon or another hour
- We are door, we are wood, we are aluminum, we are glue, we are window, we are glass, we are fixed, we are open, we are closed, we are mid-way, we are composite
- We swing to blown by the wind
- We slam, we shut, we contain
- We open, enfold, enclose
- We make entrance possible

MULTICIPILITIES

Spatio/temporal relations, determinations, are not predicates of the thing but dimensions of multiplicities

Sorry no design ideas for this slide

Playing With the Algorithm: Doors’ Haecceity
Playing With the Algorithm: Doors’ Ongoing-Ness

"Kick the door down"

A door to restrain ‘being’ + ‘doing’
Thinking with doors: Possibilities for conferencing otherwise
Conferences have the potential for knowledge sharing but are exclusionary for many (Henderson & Burford, 2020).
Doors closed
Doors slamming in our faces
Sharing door stories and shifting from knowledge production to knowledge-ing (Taylor, 2021).
Doors are opening and closing

Portholes of possibility enabling non-hierarchical, playful and unexpected knowledge to emerge.

Opportunities to explore door stories in novel, accessible and exciting ways.

Doors as portals of access or denial, inclusion or exclusion, offering hope or disappointment.
Who gets the invitation? Who or what has the key?
The door is ajar.
Peep inside Curious or dangerous?
Door Storying 2: Doors as Data-Ghosts

Thinking with doors may bring forth generous notions such as hospitality, invitation, and visitation (Derrida & Stiegler, 2002; Taylor, 2021b). But also may not. Doors as visitations of memory do not always bring the comfort of a welcome; they may bring a heavy history (Ultra-red, 2016). Doors can also open up all kinds of trouble. Derrida’s (2006) notion of hauntology suggests that some ideas do not stay put in the past but keep on resurfacing. In some of our door storyings, there lurk discomforting ghostly affects. Doors harbor data-ghosts that can be irritating and re-turn lost and forgotten time-spaces (Albin-Clark, 2022, 2023). Doors as data-ghosts vibrate with the matterings of life and death by entangling the mundane harsh commonality of violence, illness, exclusion, and brute injustice. Here, doors are at their very worst.
And then I remember how my mom took the door away from a room she used for work and me and my sister thought it was a fun idea and wanted the door of our joint room to be taken off too. And so for a while, it was replaced by a curtain. What a weird thing to do I think now though. And then the doorlessness of doors jumps to a door(less door) in a research workshop. And so the door-stories knot together and stringfigure (Haraway 2016) in new shapes and forms. And I think that’s a way the doors happen. And I think there is a possibility in that.

In all its accumulating activist force, in rewriting the lived experience of exclusions and an frame – the that I carry doors stories to
Recrafting, SLAM of the door is co-constituted in denial and injustices. And it is also invitation to rethink – re-doors otherwise, activate political potential in the doors with me. And this is the ways, perhaps, (can) happen too, we can carry our doors as pushes and prompts and invitations - as lures be/come otherwise make ‘otherwise worlds’. imagining, speculatively fabulating, new doors, doors of our own, reclaiming those doors that we’ve passed through, having them as matter, re-materialising. As activators for new shapes and forms of thought and practice (Manning, 2016).

ONE DAY MY CHILDREN
AND I CAME ACROSS
THIS DOOR
Doors generally do not ‘belong’ in open space, so it caught our attention.
Doors usually provide an opening, a way to move between spaces, at least one of which is bounded in some way.
What happens if we stop focussing on the door? Without walls, fences, ceilings, or solid floors it became possible to simply walk around. In a territorialised space, it is the sides that both mark out the territory and offer stability (Deleuze & Guattari, 1987).
Whilst a door can offer limited access to those who fit or are allowed to enter, the contained space itself remains fixed. The surrounding door frame functions to allow a temporary opening that does not threaten the stability of the territory, as the other elements of the architectural territory remain. This draws our attention to the necessity to broaden our thinking about what doors do and how they operate.

(continued)
What was it like to be that
dog?
Not any dog
That dog.
Hermann, the Ziggy Pop of
dogs
Whose lust for life knew no
bounds
Hermann, the Deleuze of
dogs
Whose AND AND AND
Produced more life, more
love, more fun
More of everything
How could you leave me,
when I needed you?

He jumped up at doors
Our front and back house
doors
Had bite marks at the edges
‘Stop messing around, we
need to get out in
the air more quickly! I have
friends to meet’.

He rushed the back gate
A door to freedom
To off-lead frolicking
To everyday known places
And the potency of
unknown elsewheres
I held him through his dying
moment
Clouded eyes and wasted
body
His lovely fur
His light-soft weight

His rage for life and fear
Condensed in death
Into a direct gaze
Into an unknown future
Whose memory undoes me
now
Death, the final door I
would know with him
Because of him

Gone
He is long gone elsewhere
And yet
And still
His im/material body
Pushes at and passes
through time, space and
matter
Seen in the corner of my
eye
A shift and shimmer at my
side
Odd moments
Movements too quick for
my human perception
He saunters and swaggers
with a swashbuckling bound
He bustles around
Beyond death
Roving amongst doors I
cannot know

Hermann, dearest vagabond
Meet me at the many doors
Of when
Of now
Of love

The Dog of Many
Doors

(continued)
The Fist that was a Door

Time for me to leave my Mum and my two younger sisters in their buggy. Walk alone into my reception classroom. Pink pixie boots and woolly tights. Walking through the door, I cry, as I always do. I run to the window and wave as they leave for home to play and eat tomato soup and make a den on the sofa. I run to the next window, still crying. At the final window, I wipe my tears ready to start my day. I liked school. I did. After all, schools were made for children like me. I could draw and read and write. I could sit still and listen. I could obey. I could behave. I could conform. I could perform.

The only thing I couldn’t do was play in the big playground. With big children. Because a big boy showed me his fist whenever I tried to venture there. Too scared to join the rest of my friends, the thought of his fist kept me sequestered in the tiny area outside my classroom. The fist that became a door.

DOORS ALLOWED ME TO PONDER, WONDER AND WANDER

through the doors that had closed and opened around me since a diagnosis of breast cancer in 2019. This opened new doors of both reflective and affective knowledge that had been constrained by the focus on ‘doing’ rather than ‘being’.

A cancer diagnosis leads you through a number of doors where your ‘doing’ and ‘being’ is defined. But, it also closes doors. Initially, these doors close as you become a ‘patient’ rather than an agent where others’ expertise informs the decisions you agree to. Chemotherapy closes doors as your immune system is challenged and schools, a huge part of my life, become a danger to that life.

Doors and spaces are entered as a patient where I became embodied in a space that has been defined by relations between time and space. Temporality has shaped this space to promote the assumed practices, for me of patient.

As a patient you sign to agree to treatment but put your trust in experts behind doors. You as a ‘being’ adapt as does your ‘doing’ giving up control as harsh treatments wear you down. Walking through those doors becomes familiar and normalised when once it had felt alien and strange.
Door entanglements produce ponderings of violent doors. Doors which repel with force leaving vacuums that ensnarl and entrap. Doors can draw us into spaces we want to run to or flee from. How do we settle in the spaces we find our door encounters have propelled us to? Which doors place us in – a group, a situation, a location? How do we find the doors out of hostile places?

Where are you? Where am I? What doors have we been fortunate to encounter, so we are here now wondering if we able to be with phantomized narratives of not yet heard door stories; encounters too painful to articulate. Door-tales perpetrated by slow violence (Saunders & Al-Om, 2022), where ghosts float on ambiguous loss (Boss, 2010), producing grieve without closure, nurturing haunting what-ifs with constant uncertainty.

Survivors of oppression, refugees, asylum seekers; what are their door narratives? What contains or restrains their data ghosts? Can we hear them?

Whom do doors protect?
Which doors protect?
Canvas doors
Zipped doors
Empty doors
Doors protect?
COME WITH ME AS I GO THROUGH MY OLD CHILDHOOD DOOR.
It is a small terrace house door, off a busy Liverpool trunk road. Along the line of privet hedges and tiny gates is my grandma’s house where I lived with my parents. The month I write this piece is a year since my little Scouse dad died and this door looms large, nestled somewhere in-between my ribs. Here I have been daughter and a granddaughter.

But if I went across that threshold now those identities don’t work and that something in-between hurts. So, temporality has ruptured messed and interfered those past identities. Those present are not absent, but rather take a different form. Binaries are porous between absence and presence because the door as a door-data-ghost is always there.

Doors as Data-Ghosts

Door Storying 3: Theoretical-Ethical-Poetic Patternings of Collaborative Commoning

Becoming with . . . rhythms of moments that matter, listening to the whispers, and sensing affective traces that “jump” in and over time (Huuki & Lanas, 2019), mattering and re-mattering.

Working against . . . the dominance of coherence and linearity.

Responding . . . and keeping wonderings alive.

Moving with . . . memories and discussion, cutting and pasting words and images, creating patterns, playing with new words, making partial and provisional situated cuts.

Becoming touched and . . . still moving with the writings of ongoing-ness.

Fragmentings that . . . are neither linear nor necessarily directly related.

Allowing “shifting diffraction patterns” to generate new insights each time we re-turn and revisit (Bozalek et al., 2021, p. 846).

Three creative patternings of collaborative commoning emerged to “bounce and shape still more ideas” (Kuby et al., 2022, p. 289):
Door Storyings So What? Concluding or Exiting/Entering [door Stories]

So many [door stories] storied
Yet, so many [door stories] yet to be
Imaginative [doors] you dream these doors
[Doors] as trapdoors you didn’t see that coming!
Troublesome [doors] not opening that again in a hurry
Haunting [doors] where the ghostly matters lurk
[Doorless] [doors] meta lockings/unlockings
Glass [doors] does transparency mean a welcome?
Sliding [doors] or are they a wall that moves?
Revolving [doors] they go on and on
Locked [doors] that stay locked, even with a key

So, fellow [door co-conspirators], we re-turn to questions we first posed: “what do [doors] do and ‘how do [doors] matter?’” At this stage of the game, another question pops up: and so what?

Well first of all, we have found [door storying] an entrance for the doings of post-qualitative research. Post-qualitative research may be a veritable closed [door] for the uninitiated and the novice. But, because post-qualitative research is done without a methodological roadmap, it starts anew with each enquiry. New [doors] will always pose new codes of entry and old keys will just not do the job.

Second, a key to the [door] for us was the collective nature of feminist praxis of research-creation. The experimental, arts-based practices we used became entwined with other rememberings and retellings of [door stories]. [Doors] doings, matterings, and storying gave us so many dense ideas to wrestle with, [bringing] theories and knowledge-making to life for doing research outside of lonely sole-authored furrows. This is where the glorious notion of research as commoning practice came on in. Commoning has been used by art-activists who use listening as a collective experiment in not seeking conclusions, but rather in teasing out what is troubling (Ultra-red, 2016). Commoning as a collaborative not only helped navigate the post-qualitative world, but also invited the hospitality of feminist praxis through elements of non-competitive and non-hierarchical relationalities. While commoning takes patient work, we have found collective knowledge-making possible through unlocking with [door storying].

Third, we wonder if: Storying with [doors] resists traditional research practices that perpetrate illusions of expertise. Everyone has encouters with [doors] and are the narrators of their [door] entanglements. From early becomings, [people] become aware of doors and can recount encounters of open [doors] and capacious doors, of blocking and injurious doors, and often reimagine the possibility of gentler [doors] enabling doors. Everyone has a door story!

And so. There are so many more [door stories] yet to be. We imagine you have many [door stories]. Everyone (and every door) has a [door story] to story. We will leave our [door] unlocked for your [door-doings].
My first memory of a door was the one from my childhood home
We felt safe
We were home.

Coming to the doors are meeting and becoming with bodies that are more than what we thought,
more than we remembered.
A reconfiguring of stories,
an anarchive of affective traces.

*a Proustian rush of sitting on the step of my grandma’s door*
Pull of memories
*a thousand colourful shards*

Meeting and becoming with space-time-matterings,
en/foldings of endless re-matterings, thousands of shards, glimmering, reflecting, diffracting.
Bringing to ever-new doors that render bodies in/capable,

bodies infrastructured, plugged into the relationality of the space behind doors.

In the doors.

This is the door.
all new,
set into the frame of the unfamiliar language and culture
going in and out of this door mattered

*a woman grudgingly pigeon-holed into the ‘certain age’ category*
The door saying with silent articulation – go away and come back different,
come back improved, come back as another
Shaping me in my being, and doing ‘extremely vulnerable’
not enough-ness
still standing outside a door, waiting for permission to enter

A choreography of becoming.
Endless dance of dreams and disappointments
hope, anticipation, and dread

Hope and anticipation.
Dreams and desires.

Touchpoints appear.
Embodied collectivities to resist the doors that frame us impossible/uninvited.
Feminist collaborative for a creative, art-ful, playful encounter,
speculative creativity, making, storying, stringfiguring.

*A door/frame* out of place
standing proudly
hung from a branch of a tree,
swirling and moving freely; an unruly, imaginary door

A door/frame that refuses to frame and resists being settled down.
A door of a wardrobe all the way to Narnia.

Door of possibilities.

An activator for new shapes and forms of thought and practice.
A door of collective play.

*Hooking arms,*
Thinking, playing and doing/being with doors [...] to dance across a threshold into dooring-kinship.
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