

## 1 **Introduction**

2           During professional soccer matches, the ability to maintain high intensity running and levels of  
3 skill proficiency are key attributes of a top-class player and successful teams (Mohr et al., 2003). It  
4 has long been established that speed, agility, strength, and a combination of aerobic and anaerobic  
5 abilities are vital in soccer (Bangsbo, 1994), whilst Allen et al. (2023) suggest that the physical  
6 demands (total distance, high-intensity distance, and sprinting distance) of elite soccer have  
7 increased further in recent years. Thus, due to these high physiological demands, the influence of  
8 nutritional intake on soccer performance has been well documented, particularly in relation to energy  
9 and carbohydrate (CHO) requirements, and plays a key role in optimising fuelling and recovery from  
10 training and matches (Collins et al., 2021).

11           Resting metabolic rate (RMR) is a major component of total daily energy expenditure (TDEE)  
12 and is the energy required to maintain homeostasis at rest and can be used as an indicator of energy  
13 availability (Ihle & Loucks, 2004). Thus, determining RMR and energy expenditure (EE) is essential  
14 because it provides crucial information to develop nutritional strategies and sets the target for daily  
15 energy requirements (Burke et al., 2006). Within the context of professional soccer, sufficient energy  
16 is critical to support the demands of training and competition, support growth and development  
17 (Spear, 2002), promote training adaptations and optimise performance (Petrie et al., 2004). Long-term  
18 energy restriction can cause impaired physiological function; increased risk of fatigue, illness and  
19 injury; and maladaptation to the prescribed training (Mountjoy et al., 2014). A range of predictive  
20 equations have been developed to estimate RMR, however these equations may be limited as they  
21 are often developed from non-athletic populations (Cunningham, 1980) and may not consider Fat  
22 Free Mass (FFM) (Schofield et al., 2019). Hannon et al. (2020) found common prediction equations  
23 significantly underestimate RMR in youth professional soccer players (by as much as  $-844 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ )  
24 suggesting that they are not fit for purpose. Consequently, the use of improper prediction equations  
25 could potentially be detrimental to a player if used to advise energy requirements, given the effects of  
26 chronic low energy availability (Mountjoy et al., 2018), and it is therefore crucial that RMR is  
27 accurately measured instead. The most precise method of assessing RMR is indirect calorimetry  
28 requiring both oxygen ( $\dot{V}O_2$ ) and carbon dioxide ( $\dot{V}CO_2$ ) to be measured (Fullmer et al., 2015). Limited  
29 research has been conducted on assessing RMR using indirect calorimetry within professional male  
30 soccer players. Hannon et al. (2020) reported RMR values of  $1875 \pm 180 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$  and  $1941 \pm 197$

31 kcal·day<sup>-1</sup> for U18s and U23s male professional soccer players, respectively, which to our knowledge  
32 is the only study to assess RMR in professional soccer players. Despite there being apparent  
33 fluctuations in training load in both academy (Hannon et al., 2021) and senior players (Anderson et  
34 al., 2016), to date, no research has investigated whether RMR varies across the competitive week in  
35 professional soccer players. Interestingly, within senior professional rugby union players Hudson et al.  
36 (2020) reported significant mean increases in RMR the day after a match, compared with the day  
37 before the match. They suggested this may be due to the number of collisions experienced in a rugby  
38 match. Whilst soccer does not involve collisions, there are some similarities between the two sports,  
39 therefore it would be of interest to identify whether similar observations are seen in professional  
40 soccer players because, if so, this could have important fuelling and recovery implications for soccer  
41 players.

42 In addition to understanding how RMR may vary across the competitive week, it would also  
43 be beneficial to understand how energy and CHO intake varies within professional soccer players. As  
44 periodisation is evident in training programs (Anderson et al., 2016), energy intake (EI) should be  
45 adjusted to account for the energy demands of a particular day. Determining the current dietary  
46 practices and intakes of professional soccer players is important to enable practitioners to develop  
47 programs that will improve nutritional intake and therefore, enhance health and performance.  
48 However, very few studies have assessed whether professional male soccer players periodise their  
49 nutritional intake across the competitive week to reflect alterations in training or match demands  
50 (Anderson et al., 2017; Brinkmans et al., 2019). Previous research has assessed the EI in senior  
51 (Anderson et al., 2017) and professional academy soccer players (Briggs et al., 2015; Hannon et al.,  
52 2021) demonstrating that intake is inadequate to meet the demands of training and competition  
53 (Briggs et al., 2015; Brinkmans et al., 2019). Anderson et al. (2017) reported mean daily EI of senior  
54 professional soccer players was greater on match day compared to training day. Additionally, there  
55 was a greater daily CHO intake on match day ( $6.4 \pm 2.2$  g·kg<sup>-1</sup> BM·day<sup>-1</sup>) compared with training days  
56 ( $4.2 \pm 1.4$  g·kg<sup>-1</sup> BM·day<sup>-1</sup>), similar to the findings of a subsequent study by Brinkmans et al., (2019).  
57 However, players did not consume sufficient CHO to optimize muscle glycogen storage in the day  
58 before ( $<5$  g·kg<sup>-1</sup> BM·day<sup>-1</sup>), or in recovery ( $<4$  g·kg<sup>-1</sup> BM·day<sup>-1</sup>) from matches (Anderson et al.,  
59 2017). Therefore, if professional male soccer players are consuming insufficient CHO intake following

60 a match, coupled with the possibility of elevations in RMR causing an increased energy requirement,  
61 this could have a detrimental impact on exercise recovery.

62 To the authors knowledge, no research has yet assessed the daily variations in RMR across  
63 a competitive week in professional soccer players. Additionally, there are very few studies that have  
64 investigated the EI of professional soccer players across the competitive week. Thus, the aims of the  
65 present study were to: a) assess RMR; b) assess energy and CHO intake; and c) assess alterations  
66 in training load, match load, and muscle soreness; in male professional soccer players throughout an  
67 in-season competitive week. Understanding how energy requirements and energy intakes may vary  
68 over the competitive week will support nutrition practitioners in developing optimal nutrition strategies  
69 for fuelling and recovery.

## 70 **Methods**

### 71 **Participants**

72 A convenience sample of twenty-four professional soccer players from the Professional Development  
73 Phase in the English Premier League were recruited for this study (mean  $\pm$  SD, age:  $18 \pm 1.6$  years;  
74 body mass:  $77.1 \pm 7.5$  kg; fat-free mass:  $62.7 \pm 6.7$  kg; stature:  $1.80 \pm 0.07$  m). All playing positions  
75 were included (midfielder  $n = 5$ ; defender  $n = 12$ ; forward  $n = 5$ ; goalkeeper  $n = 2$ ). All participants  
76 gave their written informed consent to participate in the investigation following approval from the  
77 Ethics Committee of Birmingham City University, UK.

### 78 **Research Design**

79 RMR and EI was measured in-season from November 2021 to May 2022 during a micro-cycle to  
80 ensure players were accustomed to the training load and rigors of match play. Timepoints throughout  
81 the study are described relative to match day (MD) using +/- symbols for days before (-) or after (+)  
82 MD. The first measurement started on MD-3 and measurements were repeated daily (consecutively)  
83 following this, except for MD RMR as this was deemed too disruptive to the player's pre-match  
84 routine. Training and match load, and muscle soreness were recorded throughout the week. See  
85 Table 1 for a typical training week schedule.

86 \*\*\*INSERT TABLE 1 HERE\*\*\*

### 87 **Resting Metabolic Rate**

88 RMR was measured a total of six times for each participant. All measures were undertaken at the  
89 same time between 7.30-9.30 am and players arrived at the training ground following an overnight  
90 fast, with their last meal at least 8 hours prior to the measurement. It was ensured participants  
91 abstained from caffeine, alcohol, and nicotine overnight, and avoided physical activity for 14 hours  
92 prior to measurement (Fullmer et al., 2015). A private, quiet room was utilized to conduct the  
93 measurements with temperature maintained at an ambient condition of 20-22°C (Fullmer et al., 2015).  
94 Players lay in a comfortable supine position and were reminded to stay awake throughout the  
95 assessment. Prior to measurement players rested for 20 minutes (Fullmer et al., 2015). Following this,  
96 RMR was measured for 20 minutes. The ventilated hood was located over the participant's head and  
97 expired gas was collected via the dilution canopy method (Vyntus CPX canopy, CareFusion,  
98 Hoechberg, Germany). A visual check every 5 minutes ensured no gas was escaping. The gas  
99 analyser was calibrated daily using the manufacturer's automated flow and digital volume transducer  
100 calibration (15.92 % O<sub>2</sub> and 5.03 % CO<sub>2</sub>). Following best practice guidelines, the first 5 minutes of  
101 measurements were discarded (Fullmer et al., 2015). Measurements were subsequently recorded for  
102 15 minutes continuously at 10 second intervals for  $\dot{V}O_2$  and  $\dot{V}CO_2$ .  $\dot{V}O_2$  and  $\dot{V}CO_2$  were determined  
103 using the Haldane transformation (Haldane, 1918) and energy expenditure (kcal·day<sup>-1</sup>) calculated  
104 using the Weir equation (Weir, 1949). **CHO and fat oxidation rates were calculated according to**  
105 **standard equations (Zuntz, 1901).** The coefficient of variance for our protocol was measured at 1.59%  
106 for RMR which was similar to previous work using identical methods (1.13%; Hudson et al., 2020).  
107 **The limits of agreement were 188.6 kcal·day<sup>-1</sup> above and below the mean of the reliability data.**

#### 108 **Anthropometric measures**

109 Body mass (kg) (SECA, model-875, Hamburg, Germany), and stature (m) (SECA, model-217,  
110 Hamburg, Germany) were measured on the first day of assessment, according to The International  
111 Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (ISAK) guidelines (Esparza-Ros et al., 2019), in the  
112 morning with minimal clothing and items such as jewelry removed. Fat free mass was measured using  
113 Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) (Hologic QDR Series, Discovery W, Bedford, MA, USA)  
114 which has been acknowledged as gold standard in the assessment of body composition (Haarbo et  
115 al., 1991). The same trained operator performed and analysed all DXA scans, which were completed  
116 in a fasted state in the morning and in accordance with best practice guidelines (Nana et al., 2016).  
117 Whole body and regional fat-free and fat mass was included for analysis. These measures were

118 recorded as a sub-total (whole-body minus the head) similar to previous research (Hannon et al.,  
119 2020).

### 120 **Assessment of energy and macronutrient intake**

121 EI was assessed using the remote food photographic method (RFPM), known as 'Snap-N-Send'  
122 which has been shown to be a valid and reliable dietary assessment tool in athletes (Costello et al.,  
123 2017) and utilised in previous research (Anderson et al., 2017; Hannon et al., 2021). Dietary intake  
124 was recorded for 7 days (consisting of: MD-3, MD-2, MD-1, MD, MD+1, MD+2, MD+3) which is  
125 considered a reasonable period to provide precise estimations of habitual energy and nutrient intake  
126 while reducing variability in coding error (Braakhuis et al., 2003). In addition, this enabled assessment  
127 of how EI may vary across the competitive week. On the day before data collection, players were  
128 informed by the lead researcher (a Sport and Exercise Nutrition Register (SENr) Practitioner) how to  
129 accurately and comprehensively complete the Snap-n-Send tool, ensuring accurate recording of the  
130 time of food consumption, amount (weighed amount or household measures such as tablespoons,  
131 teaspoons, cups), and description of food (cooking and preparation methods, ingredients, and  
132 brands). Photographs were sent through an instant messaging application (WhatsApp). To increase  
133 accuracy and avoid underestimation associated with the RFPM (Stables et al., 2021), if the photo or  
134 food descriptions were unclear, the player would be contacted in real time to clarify details.  
135 Additionally, where food was consumed within the training ground, the lead researcher assisted  
136 participants with dietary recording (descriptions, investigating cooking methods and recipes with chefs  
137 etc.). If there was any food or drink left following consumption, participants would send a photo of  
138 what had not been consumed. A 24 h recall was also undertaken with each participant each morning  
139 prior to their RMR assessment to cross reference, check for missing data, confirm amounts, and seek  
140 further clarity if required, which was then added to the participants record.

141 Energy and macronutrient intake was obtained using a professional dietary analysis software  
142 (Nutritics Ltd, v5, Ireland). All the dietary information was inputted into the software by the lead  
143 researcher to ensure consistency. Due to previous research reporting poor inter-practitioner reliability  
144 upon analysing nutritional intake (Stables et al., 2021), a second SENr nutritionist also analysed a  
145 sample of dietary logs to ensure reliability of nutrition intake data. Inter-rater reliability was determined  
146 via an independent t-test. No significant differences were observed between researchers for energy (p

147 = 0.823, 95% CI -120 to 148) or CHO ( $p = 0.799$ , 95% CI -17.4 to 22.2) intake. Meals were either  
148 consumed at: the club's training ground (where a buffet breakfast, lunch, pre and post-match meals,  
149 drinks, snacks and supplements are provided); a hotel (where players may be on MD); on the coach  
150 during travel on MD or; the players' home environment or restaurants. For the meals provided at the  
151 training ground, at the hotel or on the coach, menus were provided on a buffet style basis. All meals  
152 were consumed ad libitum by players during the study, and it was not mandatory to eat the meals  
153 provided by the club.

#### 154 **Quantification of training and match load**

155 Global positioning system (GPS) technology (Apex Pro Series, STATSports, Belfast, UK) was used to  
156 measure pitch-based training and match load. This has been demonstrated to produce valid and  
157 reliable estimates of instantaneous and constant velocity movements during linear, multidirectional,  
158 and soccer-specific activities (Beato et al., 2018). The total distance (m) covered, high speed running  
159 ( $> 7\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  (m)), and number of accelerations ( $> 3.3\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) and decelerations ( $< 3.3\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ) were  
160 recorded at 18 Hz, providing a valid and reliable assessment of soccer specific movement (Beato et  
161 al., 2018). Muscle soreness was self-reported daily (except for MD) from a Visual Analogue Scale of  
162 1-10 (1 being extreme soreness, 10 being no soreness).

#### 163 **Statistical Analysis**

164 EI and RMR were recorded as absolute kilocalories (kcal) per day and relative to kilogram (kg) of FFM  
165 ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\text{FFM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) (one participant was excluded as FFM data was missing due to absence of DXA  
166 scan), whilst carbohydrate intake was recorded as relative to total body mass ( $\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\text{BM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ). The  
167 sample size varied each day as a small number of participants failed to attend some testing sessions  
168 due to varying reasons (e.g., travelling to matches; the wide-ranging schedule demands on  
169 professional soccer players; and other unforeseen circumstances).

170 Participants were considered missing at random therefore a linear mixed model was used to  
171 avoid list-wise deletion and to account for the hierarchal structure of each participant having  
172 observations across numerous days. For each dependent variable, the "lme4" package in R (R  
173 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <http://www.R-project.org/>) examined the  
174 fixed effect of "Match Day" with each participant assigned a random intercept. This is similar to the  
175 approach used by Budzynski-Seymour et al. (2021). The model for each measure was: lmer (dv ~ MD

176 + (1 | Participant ID)). Estimated marginal means for the variables on each day were obtained using  
177 the “emmeans” package. Pairwise contrasts were used to assess differences between individual  
178 days. A Bonferroni correction was applied to contrasts for RMR and Soreness measures, whereas a  
179 Sidak adjustment was applied to Dietary Intake and GPS variables as these were assumed to be  
180 independent between observations. The alpha threshold for significance for all variables was set at  
181  $p < 0.05$ .

## 182 **Results**

### 183 **RMR**

184 Daily RMR across the match week is displayed in Figure 1 (absolute) and Figure 2 (relative to FFM).

185 \*\*\*INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE\*\*\*

186 \*\*\*INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE\*\*\*

187 RMR following the match was significantly higher than pre-match values (MD+1 =  $2234 \pm 226$   
188  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$  vs. MD-3 =  $2010 \pm 235 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ;  $p = 0.0075$ ,  $+224 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ;  $3.5 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{FFM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ;  
189 MD+1 vs. MD-2 =  $2017 \pm 241 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ;  $p = 0.0096$ ,  $+217 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ,  $+3.5 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{FFM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ; and  
190 MD+1 vs. MD-1 =  $1973 \pm 186 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ;  $p = 0.0004$ ,  $+261 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ,  $+3.9 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{FFM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ). In  
191 comparison to MD+1, RMR did not significantly decrease by MD+2 (MD+1 vs. MD+2 =  $2133 \pm 230$   
192  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ;  $p = 1.0000$ ,  $-101 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ,  $-1.59 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{FFM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ), however did significantly  
193 decrease by MD+3 (MD+1 vs. MD+3 =  $1993 \pm 176 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ;  $p = 0.0036$ ,  $-241 \text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ,  $-3.9$   
194  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{FFM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ). There were no significant differences on all other days ( $p > 0.05$ ).

### 195 **$\dot{V}O_2$ and $\dot{V}CO_2$**

196  $\dot{V}O_2$  and  $\dot{V}CO_2$  across the match week is displayed in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.

197 \*\*\*INSERT FIGURE 3 HERE\*\*\*

198 Post-match  $\dot{V}O_2$  was significantly higher when compared to pre-match values (MD+1 =  $0.323 \pm 0.032$   
199  $\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  vs. MD-3 =  $0.277 \pm 0.040 \text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  $p = 0.0001$ ,  $+0.046 \text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD+1 vs. MD-2 =  $0.290 \pm$   
200  $0.033 \text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  $p = 0.0071$ ,  $+0.033 \text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; and MD+1 vs. MD-1 =  $0.282 \pm 0.030 \text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  $p =$   
201  $0.0002$ ,  $+0.041 \text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ). In comparison to MD+1,  $\dot{V}O_2$  did not significantly decrease by MD+2 (MD+1

202 vs. MD+2 =  $0.304 \pm 0.036 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  $-0.019 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ,  $p = 0.6158$ ), although did significantly decrease by  
203 MD+3 (MD+1 vs. MD+3 =  $0.283 \pm 0.044 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  $-0.040 \text{ L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ,  $p = 0.0009$ ).

204 \*\*\*INSERT FIGURE 4 HERE\*\*\*

205 There were no significant differences in  $\dot{V}\text{CO}_2$  across the match week ( $p > 0.05$ ).

### 206 **CHO and Fat Oxidation**

207 There were no significant differences in resting CHO oxidation rates across the match week (MD-3 =  
208  $0.221 \pm 0.032 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD-2 =  $0.204 \pm 0.020 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD-1 =  $0.246 \pm 0.023 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD+1 =  $0.186 \pm$   
209  $0.026 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD+2 =  $0.240 \pm 0.027 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD+3 =  $0.271 \pm 0.035 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ). Similarly,  
210 there were no significant differences in resting fat oxidation rates (MD-3 =  $0.050 \pm 0.007 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD-  
211  $2 = 0.062 \pm 0.005 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD-1 =  $0.040 \pm 0.005 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD+1 =  $0.085 \pm 0.006 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD+2 =  
212  $0.054 \pm 0.006 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ; MD+3 =  $0.030 \pm 0.008 \text{ g}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ).

### 213 **Energy Intake**

214 Absolute and relative EI across the match week is displayed in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

215 \*\*\*INSERT FIGURE 5 HERE\*\*\*

216 \*\*\*INSERT FIGURE 6 HERE\*\*\*

217 There were no significant differences in daily absolute or relative EI across the match week (Absolute  
218 EI ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ): MD-3 =  $2597 \pm 843$ ; MD-2 =  $2679 \pm 641$ ; MD-1 =  $2743 \pm 1143$ ; MD =  $2582 \pm 867$ ;  
219 MD+1 =  $2580 \pm 934$ ; MD+2 =  $2714 \pm 931$ ; MD+3 =  $2295 \pm 817$ ,  $p > 0.05$ . Relative EI ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$   
220  $\text{FFM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ): MD-3 =  $42.2 \pm 14.5$ ; MD-2 =  $43.2 \pm 11.6$ ; MD-1 =  $44.7 \pm 19.2$ ; MD =  $42.1 \pm 14.7$ ; MD+1 =  
221  $42.1 \pm 16.5$ ; MD+2 =  $44.1 \pm 16.8$ ; MD+3 =  $37.5 \pm 14.9$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ).

### 222 **Carbohydrate Intake**

223 Relative carbohydrate intake across the match week is displayed in Figure 7.

224 \*\*\*INSERT FIGURE 7 HERE\*\*\*

225 There were no significant differences in daily relative carbohydrate intake across the competitive  
226 match week (MD-3 =  $3.5 \pm 1.5$ ; MD-2 =  $3.5 \pm 1.1$ ; MD-1 =  $3.9 \pm 1.9$ ; MD =  $4.2 \pm 1.6$ ; MD+1 =  $3.6 \pm$   
227  $1.7$ ; MD+2 =  $4 \pm 2.0$ ; MD+3 =  $3.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{ BM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ;  $p > 0.05$ ).



**228 Training and match demands, and muscle soreness**

229 All GPS and muscle soreness data can be found in Table 2.

230 \*\*\*INSERT TABLE 2 HERE\*\*\*

**231 *Total Distance Covered***

232 The total distance covered was significantly higher on MD compared to all other days ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**233 *High Speed Running***

234 The distance of high-speed running covered was significantly higher on MD compared to all other  
235 days ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**236 *Accelerations***

237 The number of accelerations was significantly higher on MD than MD-3, MD-2, MD-1, MD+1, and  
238 MD+2 ( $p < 0.001$ ). There was no significant difference in the number of accelerations on MD and  
239 MD+3 ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**240 *Decelerations***

241 The number of decelerations was significantly higher on MD compared to all other days ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**242 *Muscle Soreness***

243 Perception of muscle soreness was significantly higher post-match vs. pre-match values (MD+1 vs.  
244 MD-3:  $p = 0.021$ ; MD+1 vs. MD-2:  $p = 0.009$ ; and MD+1 vs. MD-1:  $p < 0.001$ ). This remained  
245 significantly elevated on MD+2 vs. MD-1 ( $p = 0.035$ ), but significantly reduced by MD+3 vs. MD+1 ( $p$   
246  $= 0.002$ ).

**247 Discussion**

248 The purpose of the current study was to: (a) assess RMR; (b) assess energy and CHO intake and; (c)  
249 assess training load, match load, and muscle soreness; in male professional soccer players  
250 throughout an in-season competitive week. Our data shows that despite increases in resting  
251 metabolic rate of  $\sim 12.4\%$  ( $261 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) in the day immediately following a soccer match,  
252 professional soccer players do not periodise their energy and CHO intake throughout the competitive

253 week to account for these potential increases in energy demands. This finding agrees with previous  
254 work which has also reported significant elevations in RMR in the days immediately following intense  
255 exercise (Hackney et al., 2008; Hudson et al., 2020). To the authors' knowledge, our study is the first  
256 of its kind to report this observation specifically in professional soccer players, thereby highlighting an  
257 important consideration for practitioners when implementing effective recovery nutrition strategies.  
258 Furthermore, although statistically insignificant, MD+2 identified a  $\sim 160 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$  (7.8%) mean daily  
259 increase in RMR from MD-1, which demonstrates that elevations in RMR persist 36-48hrs after a  
260 match. It was not until MD+3 that RMR levels returned to the status observed pre-match.

261  $\dot{V}O_2$  was also significantly increased on MD+1 ( $\sim 13.6\%$ ) when compared to MD-1 and, similar  
262 to the trend observed for RMR, did not return to pre-match levels until MD+3. This finding is in  
263 agreement with that of Hudson et al (2020) who, albeit in a different sport, reported RMR and  $\dot{V}O_2$  to  
264 be significantly increased following a match in senior professional rugby union players. They  
265 proposed that the elevated RMR was a consequence of a raised energy requirement due to a  
266 combination of either prolonged excessive post-exercise oxygen consumption (EPOC) (Kolkhorst et  
267 al., 1994), or a high eccentric-focussed physical load (Hackney et al., 2008) inducing the degradation  
268 and resynthesis of damaged muscle fibres (Burt et al., 2014). This proposed mechanism aligns to the  
269 current study within soccer as research by Silva et al. (2013) suggests that muscle damage markers  
270 (creatine kinase) in professional soccer players are increased for up to 48 hrs following a competitive  
271 match. Interestingly, the current study shows that players reported muscle soreness to be significantly  
272 higher on MD+1 and MD+2 compared to pre-match values, which further supports this proposed  
273 mechanism. Additionally, physical load was significantly higher on MD compared to training days,  
274 which we propose may be the cause of increased muscle soreness observed, and potentially  
275 increased RMR.

276 In terms of  $\dot{V}CO_2$ , it is interesting that despite reporting very similar increases in RMR and  
277  $\dot{V}O_2$ , the present study differs from that of Hudson et al (2020) where they also reported significant  
278 increases in  $\dot{V}CO_2$  in the 48-72hrs after rugby match play. In their work, Hudson et al (2020) attribute  
279 the elevations in  $\dot{V}CO_2$  to the volume and intensity of collisions encountered during match-play, as the  
280 greatest increases were seen in the forwards, who underwent more physical collisions during the  
281 game. In the current study no changes were observed in  $\dot{V}CO_2$  and thus it is possible that the differing  
282 demands of football match play - larger running distances with a higher number of

283 accelerations/decelerations, but a lower volume and intensity of collisions – induces a different  
284 muscle damage response which does not sufficiently elevate  $\dot{V}CO_2$ . This may indicate that, despite  
285 observing similar elevations in post-match RMR, there are different aetiologies of muscle damage  
286 experienced within the two sports which induce this, but further research is needed to fully verify this  
287 theory.

288 Our findings show that training load varies throughout the competitive week, which aligns with  
289 previous research (Anderson et al., 2016). However, there are no significant differences in EI  
290 throughout the competitive week, for both absolute values and when FFM is considered. For example,  
291 we show that mean EI on MD-3 ( $2595 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) was similar to that of MD ( $2582 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ). Previous  
292 work has emphasised the need for soccer players to adapt their EI to account for the changes in  
293 energy demands across the week (Collins et al., 2021), but our findings would suggest that  
294 professional players within our population were not adhering to this recommendation. In contrast,  
295 Anderson et al. (2017) and Brinkmans et al. (2019) reported mean daily EI of senior professional  
296 soccer players were significantly greater on MD compared to training day. To note, the mean age of  
297 players was higher in these latter studies ( $27 \pm 3$  and  $23 \pm 4$  years, respectively) which may suggest  
298 senior players are better at periodising EI, when compared to younger professional players in our  
299 study. To our knowledge, our research is the first to assess whether there are any alterations in EI to  
300 reflect changes in RMR across the competitive match week in professional soccer players. The  
301 outcomes of the present study suggest that younger players may need more dedicated nutrition  
302 support (e.g., education) to fully understand the importance of periodising EI to account for potential  
303 increases in energy demands.

304 The importance of CHO for soccer performance has been acknowledged since the 1970s  
305 (Saltin, 1973). Similar to EI, CHO intake should be periodised throughout the competitive week to  
306 account for the changes in energy demands (Anderson et al., 2022). In our study, the average training  
307 day CHO intake was  $3.5 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{ BM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ , similar to previous research within professional soccer  
308 players ( $4 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{ BM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ , Anderson et al., 2017). Given the lower absolute daily loads on typical  
309 training days, such daily intakes may be sufficient to support fueling and recovery during training  
310 (Collins et al., 2021). The recommended CHO intake one day prior to, on MD and the day post-match  
311 are  $6\text{-}8 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{ BM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$  to elevate glycogen stores (Collins et al., 2021). However, the current study  
312 shows that the average CHO intake the day prior to the match was  $3.9 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1} \text{ BM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ , on MD was

313 4.2 g·kg<sup>-1</sup> BM·day<sup>-1</sup>, and the day post-match was 3.6 g·kg<sup>-1</sup> BM·day<sup>-1</sup>. This is far below the  
314 recommendation by Collins et al. (2021), indicating that the players from our study may be  
315 insufficiently fuelled for the increased physical and recovery demands of matches. Although  
316 Brinkmans et al. (2019) and Anderson et al. (2017) reported significantly higher CHO intake on MDs  
317 compared with training days in professional senior soccer players, intakes were inadequate to  
318 optimise fuelling and recovery. Given a primary objective following a match is to reduce the time  
319 needed to fully recover and rapidly replenish glycogen stores (Collins et al., 2021) this is of key  
320 concern due to the impairment on recovery. CHO intake on MD+1 would be even more paramount  
321 during periods of congested fixtures where energy demands, and the risks of low energy availability  
322 are likely to be higher (Collins et al., 2021). Given muscle soreness (indicating muscle damage) was  
323 significantly higher on MD+1 in our study, this may impair glycogen synthesis (Costill et al., 1990)  
324 which further highlights the need for additional CHO. To add more context to average CHO intakes, it  
325 should be noted that high inter-player variability is evident. For example, on MD-1 CHO intake ranged  
326 from 1.5 g·kg<sup>-1</sup> BM·day<sup>-1</sup> to 7.5 g·kg<sup>-1</sup> BM·day<sup>-1</sup>, meaning some players are significantly under-  
327 fuelling whilst others are sufficiently fuelling, which further highlights the importance of individualised  
328 nutrition support for soccer players. It is evident nutritional interventions should focus on improving  
329 professional soccer players energy and CHO intakes in the day before, day of, and day after a match.  
330 These interventions should consider the barriers and enablers to nutritional adherence previously  
331 identified within professional soccer players (Carter et al., 2022).

332 Future studies should assess the impact of congested fixtures on RMR to investigate whether  
333 this is further elevated, as meeting energy requirements is even more crucial during this period to  
334 support recovery (Ranchordas et al., 2017). Additionally, it would be useful to compare RMR within  
335 different playing positions to assess whether there are differences. Furthermore, it would of interest to  
336 assess whether changes in RMR are as magnified when players meet energy and carbohydrate  
337 requirements leading up to and following the match.

### 338 **Limitations**

339 We are aware the findings are based on one Premier League soccer club; however, training and  
340 match schedules are typical in other clubs. Additionally, although training load was captured within the  
341 soccer club, physical activity outside of the club was not recorded. Another limitation is the potential of

342 participants under-reporting dietary intake on the RFPM and 24 h recall. However, as outlined in the  
343 methods, robust steps were undertaken to minimise this. Finally, we did not measure markers of  
344 muscle damage and inflammation, however, given that our data were collected in a professional  
345 setting this is not always feasible as coaches typically limit the invasiveness of data collection on  
346 professional athletes.

### 347 **Conclusion**

348 In summary we report for the first time the changes in RMR over the competitive week in professional  
349 soccer players. We observed a significant increase of 12.4% in RMR, and an increase in  $\dot{V}O_2$  on  
350 MD+1 compared to MD-1 which may have a significant impact on nutritional practice. We determined  
351 that players do not periodise nutritional intake across the competitive week, consuming inadequate  
352 CHO on MD-1, MD and MD+1 which could impair physical performance. Moreover, during periods of  
353 fixture congestion, inadequate EI may further compromise performance and recovery. Therefore,  
354 nutrition practitioners should focus on implementing behaviour change interventions to promote  
355 effective fuelling and recovery nutrition practice in the day prior to the match, MD and within the 1-2  
356 days following a match.

357

### 358 **Acknowledgements**

359 All authors contributed to the study design, providing critical review throughout all aspects of the study.  
360 All authors have had an opportunity to review of the manuscript and agree with the final submission.  
361 The authors would like to thank all the players and staff for the time taken to perform the RMR analysis  
362 and the soccer club for their support.

### 363 **Conflicts of Interest**

364 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### 365 **Declaration of Funding**

366 No funding was provided for this research.

367

368

369

**References**

370

Allen, T., Taberner, M., Zhilkin, M., & Rhodes, D. (2023). Running more than before? The evolution of running load demands in the English Premier League. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541231164507>

371

372

373

Anderson, L., Orme, P., Di Michele, R., Close, G.L., Morgans, R., Drust, B., & Morton, J.P. (2016). Quantification of training load during one-, two- and three-game week schedules in professional soccer players from the English Premier League: implications for carbohydrate periodization. *Journal of Sports Science*, *34*(13), 1250-1259.

374

375

376

377

Anderson, L., Orme, P., Naughton, R.J., Close, G.L., Milsom, J., Rydings, D., O'Boyle, A., Di Michele, R., Louis, J., Hambly, C., Speakman, J.R., Morgans, R., Drust, B., & Morton, J.P. (2017). Energy Intake and Expenditure of Professional Soccer Players of the English Premier League: Evidence of Carbohydrate Periodization. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, *27*(3), 228-238.

378

379

380

381

382

Anderson, L., Drust, B., Close, G.L., & Morton, J.P. (2022). Physical loading in professional soccer players: Implications for contemporary guidelines to encompass carbohydrate periodization. *Journal of Sports Science*, *40*(9), 1000-1019.

383

384

385

Bangsbo, J. (1994) *Fitness Training in Football—A Scientific Approach*. August Krogh Institute. Denmark.

386

387

Beato, M., Coratella, G., Stiff, A., & Lacono, A.D. (2018). The Validity and Between-Unit Variability of GNSS Units (STATSports Apex 10 and 18 Hz) for Measuring Distance and Peak Speed in Team Sports. *Frontiers in Physiology*, *21*(9), 1288.

388

389

390

Braakhuis, A.J., Meredith, K., Cox, G.R., Hopkins, W.G., & Burke, L.M. (2003). Variability in estimation of self-reported dietary intake data from elite athletes resulting from coding by different sports dietitians. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition*, *13*, 152–165.

391

392

393

Briggs, M.A., Cockburn, E., Rumbold, P.L.S., Rae, G., Stevenson, E.J., & Russell, M. (2015). Assessment of Energy Intake and Energy Expenditure of Male Adolescent Academy-Level Soccer Players during a Competitive Week. *Nutrients*, *7*(10), 8392-8401.

394

395

396 Brinkmans, N.Y.J., Ledema, N., Plasqui, G., Wouters, L., Saris, W.H.M., Van Loon, L.J.C., &  
397 Van Dijk, J.W. (2019). Energy expenditure and dietary intake in professional football players in the  
398 Dutch Premier League: Implications for nutritional counselling. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 37(24),  
399 2759-2767.

400 Budzynski-Seymour, E., Jones, M., & Steele, J. (2021). The Influence of Public Health  
401 England's Change4LifeDisney Branded 10-minute ShakeUps on Children's Post Activity Affective  
402 Response. *Communications in Kinesiology*, 1(2).

403 Burke, L.M., Loucks, A.B., & Broad, N. (2006). Energy and carbohydrate for training and  
404 recovery. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 24(7), 675–685.

405 Burt, D.G., Lamb, K., Nicholas, C., & Twist, C. (2014). Effects of exercise-induced muscle  
406 damage on resting metabolic rate, sub-maximal running and post-exercise oxygen consumption.  
407 *European Journal of Sport Science*, 14(4), 337-44.

408 Carter, J.L, Lee, D.J, Ranchordas, M.K., & Cole, M. (2022). Perspectives of the barriers and  
409 enablers to nutritional adherence in professional male academy football players. *Science and*  
410 *Medicine in Football*, 1-12.

411 Collins, J, Maughan, R.J, Gleeson, M, Bilsborough, J, Jeukendrup, A, Morton, J.P, Phillips,  
412 S.M, Armstrong, L, Burke, L.M, Close, G.L, Duffield, R, Larson-Meyer, E, Louis, J, Medina, D, Meyer,  
413 F, Rollo, I, Sundgot-Borgen, J, Wall, B.T, Boullosa, B, Dupont, G, Lizarraga, A, Res, P, Bizzini, M,  
414 Castagna, C, Cowie, C.M, D'Hooghe, M, Geyer, H, Meyer, T, Papadimitriou, N, Vouillamoz, M., &  
415 McCall, A. (2021). UEFA expert group statement on nutrition in elite football. Current evidence to  
416 inform practical recommendations and guide future research. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*,  
417 55(8), 416.

418 Costello, N., Deighton, K., Dyson, J., Mckenna, J., & Jones, B. (2017). Snap-N-Send: A valid  
419 and reliable method for assessing the energy intake of elite adolescent athletes. *European Journal of*  
420 *Sport Science*, 17(8), 1044-1055.

421 Costill, D.L., Pascoe, D.D., Fink, W.J., Robergs, R.A., Barr, S.I., & Pearson, D. (1990).  
422 Impaired muscle glycogen resynthesis after eccentric exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology* (1985),  
423 69(1), 46-50.

- 424 Cunningham, J.J. (1980). 'A reanalysis of the factors influencing basal metabolic rate in 419  
425 normal adults'. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 33(11), 2372–2374.
- 426 Esparza-Ros, F., Vaquero-Cristóbal, R., & Marfell-Jones, M. (2019). International Standards  
427 for Anthropometric Assessment (UCAM Universidad Católica de Murcia, Ed.). *The International*  
428 *Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry*.
- 429 Ihle, R., and Loucks, A. (2004) Dose-response relationships between energy availability and  
430 bone turnover in young exercising women. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*. 19(8), 1231–1240.
- 431 Fullmer, S., Benson-Davies, S., Earthman, C.P., Frankenfield, D.C., Gradwell, E., Lee, P.S.,  
432 Piemonte, T., & Trabulsi, J. (2015). Evidence analysis library review of best practices for performing  
433 indirect calorimetry in healthy and non-critically ill individuals. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and*  
434 *Dietetics*, 115(9), 1417-1446.
- 435 Haarbo, J, Gotfredsen, A, Hassager, C., & Christiansen, C. (1991). Validation of body  
436 composition by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA). *Clinical Physiology*, 11(4), 331–341.
- 437 Hackney, K.J., Engels, H.J., & Gretebeck, R.J. (2008). Resting energy expenditure and  
438 delayed-onset muscle soreness after full-body resistance training with an eccentric concentration.  
439 *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 22(5), 1602-1609.
- 440 Kolkhorst, F.W., Londeree, B.R., & Thomas, T.R. (1994). Effects of consecutive exercise days  
441 of jogging or cycling on the resting metabolic rate and nitrogen balance. *The Journal of Sports*  
442 *Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 34(4), 343-350.
- 443 Haldane, J. S. (1918). *Methods of air analysis*. London, U.K: Charles Griffin & Co. Ltd.
- 444 Hannon, M.P., Carney, D.J., Floyd, S., Parker, L.J.F., McKeown, J, Drust, Unnithan, V.B.,  
445 Close, G.L., & Morton, J.P. (2020). Cross-sectional comparison of body composition and resting  
446 metabolic rate in Premier League academy soccer players: Implications for growth and maturation,  
447 *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 38(11-12), 1326-1334.
- 448 Hannon, M,P., Parker, L.J.F., Carney, D.J., McKeown, J, Speakman, J,R, Hambly, C, Drust,  
449 B, Unnithan, V,B, Close, G.L., & Morton, J.P. (2021). Energy Requirements of Male Academy Soccer



- 450 Players from the English Premier League. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 53(1), 200-  
451 210.
- 452 Hudson, J.F., Cole, M., Morton, J.P., Stewart, C.E., & Close, G.L. (2020). Daily Changes of  
453 Resting Metabolic Rate in Elite Rugby Union Players. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*,  
454 52(3), 637-644.
- 455 Mohr, M., Krstrup, P., & Bangsbo, J. (2003). Match performance of high-standard soccer  
456 players with special reference to development of fatigue. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 21(7), 519-528.
- 457 Mountjoy, M., Sundgot-Borgen, J., Burke, L., Carter, S., Constantini, N., Lebrun, C., Meyer,  
458 N., Sherman, R., Steffen, K., Budgett, R., & Ljungqvist, A. (2014). The IOC consensus statement:  
459 Beyond the Female Athlete Triad—Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). *British Journal of*  
460 *Sports Medicine*, 48(7), 491-497.
- 461 Mountjoy, M., Sundgot-Borgen, J.K., Burke, L.M., Ackerman, K.E., Blauwet, C., Constantini,  
462 N., Lebrun, C., Lundy, B., Melin, A.K., Meyer, N.L., Sherman, R.T., Tenforde, A.S., Klungland  
463 Torstveit, M., & Budgett, R. (2018). IOC consensus statement on relative energy deficiency in sport  
464 (RED-S): 2018 update, *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 52(11), 687-697.
- 465 Nana, A, Slater, G.J, Hopkins, W.G, Halson, S.L, Martin, D.T, West, N.P., & Burke, L.M.  
466 (2016). Importance of Standardized DXA Protocol for Assessing Physique Changes in Athletes.  
467 *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 26(3), 259-267.
- 468 Petrie, H.J., Stover, E.A. and Horswill, C.A. (2004). Nutritional concerns for the child and  
469 adolescent competitor. *Nutrition*, 20(7-8), 620-631.
- 470 Ranchordas, M.K, Dawson, J.T., & Russell, M. (2017). Practical nutritional recovery strategies  
471 for elite soccer players when limited time separates repeated matches. *Journal of the International*  
472 *Society of Sports Nutrition*, 14:35.
- 473 Saltin, B. (1973). Metabolic fundamentals in exercise. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*  
474 *Exercise*, 5(3), 137-146.

475 Schofield, K. L., Thorpe, H., & Sims, S.T. (2019). Resting metabolic rate prediction equations  
476 and the validity to assess energy deficiency in the athlete population. *Experimental Physiology*,  
477 *104*(4), 469–475

478 Silva, J.R., Ascensão, A., Marques, F., Seabra, A., Rebelo, A., & Magalhães, J. (2013).  
479 Neuromuscular function, hormonal and redox status and muscle damage of professional soccer  
480 players after a high-level competitive match. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*. *113*(9), 2193-  
481 2201.

482 Stables, R.G., Kasper, A.M., Sparks, S.A., Morton, J.P., & Close, G.L. (2021). An Assessment  
483 of the Validity of the Remote Food Photography Method (Termed Snap-N-Send) in Experienced and  
484 Inexperienced Sport Nutritionists. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*,  
485 *31*(2), 125-134.

486 Spear, B.A. (2002). Adolescent growth and development. *Journal of the American Dietetic*  
487 *Association*, *102*(3), 23-29.

488 Weir, J.B. D.B. (1949). 'New methods for calculating metabolic rate with special reference to  
489 protein metabolism', *The Journal of Physiology*, *109*(1–2), 1–9.

490 Zuntz, N. (1901). Ueber die Bedeutung der verschiedenen Nahrungstoffe. (About the importance  
491 of different nutrients). *Archive European Journal of Physiology*, *83*, 557-571.

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500 **Figure Legends**

501 **Figure 1.** Absolute changes in RMR ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) across the competitive match week (Mean  $\pm$  SD).

502 \*Significantly higher than MD-3, MD-2, and MD-1 ( $p<0.01$ ). #Significantly lower than MD+1 ( $p<0.01$ ).

503 Key: MD = match day.

504 **Figure 2:** Relative changes in RMR ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\text{FFM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) across the competitive match week (Mean  
505  $\pm$  SD). \*Significantly higher than MD-3, MD-2, and MD-1 ( $p<0.01$ ). #Significantly lower than MD+1

506 ( $p<0.01$ ). Key: MD = match day.

507 **Figure 3:** Changes in  $\dot{V}\text{O}_2$  ( $\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) across the competitive match week (Mean  $\pm$  SD). \*Significantly

508 higher than MD-3, MD-2, and MD-1 ( $p<0.01$ ). #Significantly lower than MD+1 ( $p<0.001$ ). Key: MD =

509 match day.

510 **Figure 4:** Changes in  $\dot{V}\text{CO}_2$  ( $\text{L}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) across the competitive match week (Mean  $\pm$  SD). Key: MD =

511 match day.

512 **Figure 5:** Absolute energy intake ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) across the competitive match week (Mean  $\pm$  SD). Key:

513 MD = match day.

514 **Figure 6:** Relative energy intake ( $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\text{FFM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) across the competitive match week (Mean  $\pm$

515 SD). Key: MD = match day.

516 **Figure 7:** Relative carbohydrate intake ( $\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\text{BM}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ ) across the competitive match week (Mean  $\pm$

517 SD). Key: MD = match day.

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

**Tables**526 **Table 1.** An overview of the pitch based and match schedule for each squad.

	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	Sunday
<b>U23</b>	Match	Recovery	Day off	Training	Training	Training	Training
<b>(am)</b>		11:00 – 12:00		10:45 – 12:30	10:45 – 12:30	10:45 – 12:30	10:45 – 12:30
<b>(pm)</b>	19:00 Kick Off			Gym 14:00-15:00		Gym 14:00-15:00	
<b>U18</b>	Training	Training	Day off	Training	Training	Match	Day off
<b>(am)</b>	10:45 – 12:30	10:45 – 12:30		10:45 – 12:30	10:45 – 12:30	11:00 Kick Off	
<b>(pm)</b>		Gym 14:00-15:00		Gym 14:00-15:00			

527

528 **Table 2.** Comparison of muscle soreness and metrics recorded for training and match play throughout the competitive week

Day	MD-3	MD-2	MD-1	MD	MD+1	MD+2	MD+3
<b>Total distance (m)</b>	3283 ± 1975*	4441 ± 1973*	3491 ± 1214*	<b>12326 ± 1973</b>	98 ± 468*	2936 ± 1991*	7964 ± 4280*
<b>High Speed Running (&gt;7m·s<sup>-1</sup> (m))</b>	78 ± 76*	223 ± 144*	74 ± 64*	<b>774 ± 310</b>	0 ± 0*	98 ± 142*	460 ± 409*
<b>Accelerations (&lt; 3.3 m·s<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	41 ± 31*	52 ± 35*	40 ± 21*	<b>92 ± 31</b>	1 ± 6*	34 ± 25*	69 ± 32
<b>Decelerations (&lt; 3.3 m·s<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	29 ± 21*	44 ± 29*	34 ± 17*	<b>99 ± 31</b>	1 ± 4*	30 ± 20*	67 ± 37*
<b>Muscle Soreness Score</b>	7.90 ± 1.50 <sup>#</sup>	8.00 ± 1.27 <sup>#</sup>	8.29 ± 0.86 <sup>#</sup>	<b>Not collected</b>	6.88 ± 0.80 <sup>†</sup>	7.31 ± 1.43 <sup>‡</sup>	8.15 ± 1.14

**(Lower score = higher soreness)**

529 Key: MD = match day

530 \*Denotes values significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) when compared with match day (shown in bold).531 <sup>#</sup> = significantly different when compared with MD+1 ( $p < 0.05$ ).532 <sup>†</sup> = significantly different when compared with MD+3 ( $p < 0.0019$ ).533 <sup>‡</sup> = significantly different when compared with MD-1 ( $p < 0.0351$ ).