Table 1: Dark Leadership Terminologies and Definitions

Dark Leadership Construct	Definition of Dark Leadership Construct	Related Constructs
Abusive Supervision	Defined as subordinates' perceptions of the extent to which supervisors engage in the sustained display of hostile verbal and	Abusive supervisory behaviour (Hanu et al., 2024)
	nonverbal behaviours, excluding physical contact. (Tepper, 2000)	(
Despotic Leadership	A form of leadership where leaders who distort the mission and goals	
, ,	of the organization and abuse resources by using them to further their	
	own interests. These leaders may secure the acquiescence of	
	subordinates by threatening to and actually employing manifest force.	
	(Aronson, 2001)	
Supervisor	Explored under the construct of social undermining which refers to the	
Undermining	behavior intended to hinder, over time, the ability to establish and	
	maintain positive interpersonal relationships, work-related success,	
	and favorable reputation. Occurs when supervisors intentionally	
	hinder the success or reputation of their subordinates, often through	
	subtle or overt actions. (Duffy et al., 2002)	
Aversive Leadership	Representative behaviors of aversive leadership include (a) engaging in intimidation and (b) dispensing reprimands. (Pearce and Sims, 2002)	
Corrupt Leadership	Involves leaders engaging in unethical or illegal activities for personal	
	gain, undermining organizational integrity and trust. (Anand et al.,	
	2004)	
Evil Leadership	Involves leaders who commit atrocities, using pain as an instrument of	
	power, causing physical or psychological harm to others. (Kellerman,	
	2004)	
Insular Leadership	Refers to leaders who minimize or disregard the health and welfare of	
	those outside their immediate group or organization, leading to a	
	narrow focus that can be detrimental in a broader context. (Kellerman,	
A	2004)	
Authoritarian	Authoritarianism refers to a leader's behavior that asserts absolute	
Leadership	authority and control over subordinates and demands unquestionable obedience from subordinates. An authoritarian leader will try to control	
	and promote a subordinate's performance via personal preferences	
	and threat (Cheng et al., 2004).	
Managerial	Managerial failure is related more to having undesirable qualities than	Dark triad (Jonason, et al., 2012)
incompetence	to lacking desirable ones, that is, having the wrong stuff and	24.7. 1.144 (201140011, 01 411, 20 12,
	emphasised Hogan and Hogan's (2001) dark side dimensions e.g.,	
	bold-narcissistic, excessively self-confident; exhibits grandiosity and	
	entitlement; unable to learn from mistakes; or mischievous,	
	psychopathic/antisocial, - excessively takes risks and tests limits;	
	bright, manipulative, deceitful, cunning, and exploitive (Hogan and	
	Kaiser, 2005).	
Toxic Leadership	Refers to leaders who engage in destructive behaviors and exhibit	Toxic behaviour (Goldman, 2008)
	dysfunctional personal characteristics, resulting in negative effects on	
	their subordinates and the organization as a whole. (Lipman-Blumen,	Toxic leadership behaviours
	2005)	(Labrague et al., 2020)
Leader Incivility	Involves leaders displaying rude or discourteous behavior towards	
	subordinates, which can lead to a toxic work environment and	
Naraissistia Laadarahin	decreased employee satisfaction. (Pearson and Porath, 2005) Narcissistic leadership occurs when leaders' actions are principally	Destructive narcissistic behaviour
ivarcissistic Leadership	motivated by their own egomaniacal needs and beliefs, superseding	(Godkin and Allcorn, 2011)
	the needs and interests of the constituents and institutions they lead	Dark triad (Jonason et al., 2012)
	(Rosenthal and Pittinsky, 2006, p. 629).	Dark side traits (Furnham et al.,
	(1100011111111111111111111111111111111	2012)
		Narcissistic supervision
		(Hochwarter and Thompson, 2012)
		Narcissistic CEO (Patel and
		Cooper, 2014)
		Supervisor narcissistic rage (Jordan
		et al., 2020)
Destructive Leadership	Encompasses systematic and repeated behaviour by a leader,	Toxic triangle (Padilla et al, 2007)
	supervisor or manager that violates the legitimate interest of the	Destructive leader behaviour
	organisation by undermining and/or sabotaging the organisation's	(Thoroughgood et al., 2012)
	IF	Harmful leader behaviour (Almeida
	being or job satisfaction of subordinates (Einarsen <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	et al., 2022).
	poing of job satisfaction of subordinates (Elitaisen et at., 2007).	ot at., 2022).

Darailad Landarahin	Defere to leaders display entirely bardingte behaviours like bullying	T
Derailed Leadership	Refers to leaders display anti-subordinate behaviours like bullying,	
	humiliation, manipulation, deception or harassment, while	
	simultaneously performing anti-organisational behaviours like	
	absenteeism, shirking, fraud, or theft (Einarsen et al., 2007).	
·	Refers to leaders who behave constructively in terms of organisational	
	oriented behaviour while displaying anti-subordinate behaviours;	
	subordinates and superiors may evaluate the leader's behaviour quite	
	differently. Subordinates may view the leader as a bully, while upper	
	management views him/her favourably (Einarsen et al., 2007).	
Leader Bullying	Leader bullying represents strategically selected tactics of influence by	
	leaders designed to convey a particular image and place targets in a	
	submissive, powerless position whereby they are more easily	
	influenced and controlled, in order to achieve personal and/or	
	organizational objectives (Ferris <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	
Pseudo-	Involves leaders advance their own self-interested agendas by	
Transformational	dominating and controlling their followers. In focusing on self-interest,	
Leadership	pseudo-transformational leaders are more interested in becoming	
	personal idols than in the collective ideals that might benefit their	
	followers (Barling et al., 2008).	
	An overarching term including commonly disliked and denounced	Bad leadership (Schilling and
	behaviours ranging from ineffective to destructive aspects (Schilling,	Schyns, 2015)
	2009). Encompasses various forms of leadership behaviors that have	
	detrimental effects on followers and organizations, including abusive,	
	toxic, and destructive leadership styles (Schyns and Schilling, 2013).	
Machiavellian Leader	Machiavellianism is indicated by a complex set of characteristics,	
	namely, a tendency to distrust others, a willingness to engage in	
	amoral manipulation, a desire to accumulate status for oneself, and a	
	desire to maintain interpersonal control (Dahling et al., 2009).	
Corporate Psychopathy	Refers to a leader who is a psychopath works and operates in the	Dark triad (Jonason et al., 2012)
	organizational area (Boddy, 2011).	Dark side traits (Furnham et al.,
		2012)
		Organisational psychopath (Boddy,
		2015)
		Psychopathic bullying (Boddy and
		Taplin, 2017)
		Psychopathic leadership (Boddy,
		2017)
Petty Tyranny	Petty tyranny is (a) an aggressive behavior directed towards other	
	people in a formally unequal power structure, namely towards	
	subordinates, that (b) crosses the line of what may be considered	
	culturally acceptable behavior (Kant <i>et al.</i> , 2013).	
•	Defined as leadership with the primary intention to further the leader's	
	self-interest. Such leaders exploit others by (1) acting egoistically, (2)	
	exerting pressure and manipulating followers, (3) overburdening	
	followers, or, on the other hand, (4) consistently underchallenging	
	lottowers, or, on the other hand, (4) consistently underchatteriging	

Source: Authors' work

Table 2. Commonly Employed Theories, and Their Variations for Moderators and

Mediators

Theories	Instances	Moderators	Mediators
		Organisational Context,	Emotional
		Power Distance	Exhaustion,
		orientation, Hostile	Stress, Trust,
Conservation of Resources		attribution bias,	Affective
		Psychological Capital,	commitment
Theory	84	Trust, Resilience	
		Organisational Context,	Emotional
		Power distance	Exhaustion,
		orientation, Hostile	Stress, Trust,
		attribution bias, Conflict, Trust, Resilience,	Organisational identification,
Social Exchange Theory	68		The state of the s
Toxic Triangle/Toxic	00	Perceived job mobility Organisational Context,	Self-Efficacy, LMX Stress, Trust
_		Organisational Culture	Stress, must
Leadership Theory	29		
Dark Triad, Narcissism,		Organisational Culture	
Machiavellianism,			
Psychopathy	14		
Social Cognitive Theory	11	Hostile attribution bias	Identification
		Power distance	Emotional
		orientation, LMX,	Exhaustion
Affective Events Theory	10	Psychological Capital	
Job Demands-Resources		LMX	
Theory	9		
		Power distance	Trust, Self-Efficacy
		orientation,	
Self-Determination Theory	8	Psychological Capital	
			Organisational
Attribution Theory	6		identification, LMX
		Perceived mobility, Job	Organisational
		mobility, Co-worker	justice, Affective
		deviance	commitment,
Organisational lustics Theory	_		Workplace
Organisational Justice Theory	6		resilience
Social Identity Theory	_		Organisational
	5	041:-+	identification
Ego Depletion Theory	5	Conflict	

Total 241

Source: Authors' work