

Accessing musical and curriculum literacies: historical echoes for music teacher practices in the English secondary school

Anthony Anderson & Adam Whittaker

Abstract:

Understandings of musical literacies can embody variance in both concept and practice. Curriculum literacy, where musical concepts are placed alongside musical learning is an unrecognised skill exhibited by classroom music teachers. Drawing from research on the origins of musical literacy and exploring English Secondary Schools and music teachers' programmes of study, this article will explore and theorise the manner in which teachers draw both musical and curriculum literacies together to create engaging classroom environments, which are accessible for pupils. It will argue that this is a critical feature of classroom music education and explore the implications of dualistic literacy practices both in England and internationally, and in turn discuss the spaces music teachers require in their curriculum design processes.

Key words:

Musical literacy, literacy, curriculum, music curriculum, musical access, curriculum dualism

Framing Curriculum Literacy

'Curriculum' and 'literacy' are two of the most contested terms in the field of music education, across different international contexts and education policy structures. The breadth of possible definitions for these terms, ranging from context-specific government or institutional regulations, through to particular skills associated with a given musical tradition, makes discussions challenging. However, as we will show in this article, considering these ideas together in a kind of dialectic, opens up rich philosophical ground to think carefully about notions which are central to music education. As Broomhead (2018) notes, literacies in music are understood variously, both narrowly as well as broadly, but are perceived by some as "ways of thinking, knowing, and acting required to belong to the music community; music literacy is fluency in music Discourse" (p. 6). In this article, we draw on examples from the English secondary school context because it is particularly contentious at the present time where the responsibilities for curriculum lie, and whose understanding of musical literacies are prioritised, so that pupils can access musical learning in schools.

As a helpful starting point, it is worth spending some time considering the philosophical origins of these ideas, especially in relation to music. Ideas of musical literacy have shifted significantly over time, and looking at different conceptualisations can facilitate a richer understanding of how the field arrived at the present moment, identify some of the latent baggage associated with these ideas, and uncover some of the under-exploited viewpoints that could bring fresh insight and opportunities for those experiencing music in classroom spaces. The notion of a music curriculum, as a structure of learning in an academic context,

is also something that has changed markedly over time too, with a much wider conceptualisation of what musical knowledge looks, sounds, and feels like holding currency in many circles.

The conceptual origins of musical literacy

For many music educators, the notion of musical *literacy* - or multiple *literacies* – is closely connected to an understanding, competency, or familiarity with the techniques, transmission systems, and discourses in a particular musical field. In the case of the English school educational policy context, the word *literacy* is sometimes operationalised in a much narrower form, often tied to the idea of being able to ‘read’ musical notation and having an awareness of the ‘great’ musical works. Under such a reading, these constitute the elements of vocabulary and grammar in an understanding of music as a language. Looking more closely at what is being advocated in policy guidance documents, it seems to be implicit that to be musically literate means to be able to read Western staff notation and, as the English National Curriculum puts it, to know the “great composers and musicians” (DfE, 2013, p. 1). Through this lens, a curriculum therefore becomes a programme of study that supports the development of this understanding of musical literacy. Although school musical experiences can vary in their impact and perceived quality (MacGregor, 2025), within them, musical literacy moves beyond such types of formal expression. Broadly defined, the English National Curriculum (DfE, 2013) locates music in schools as realised through the activity categories of: performing; composing; and listening and appraising music. The balance between these aspects is a matter of some debate and teachers are experts at curriculum design (Anderson, 2021), balancing multiple priorities which may resist hidden agendas and respond to the local needs of their pupils. The underpinnings of literacy and curriculum in the context of music are more than just such a politically expedient utilitarian conceptualisation, especially considering that enabling pupils to *think* in musical terms is a central tenet of policy perspectives which hold great sway over school musical practices (see, for example, Ofsted, 2023). Such a reductionist view is a politicised modern phenomenon (Fautley, 2023).

Even 500 years ago, writings on music looked beyond this kind of reductionist way of thinking, arguing for the importance of multiple literacies and aptitude across skill areas as a vital part of the training of a complete musician. The aspiration to educate a musician in a holistic manner is therefore not a new thing. Even historically, musical literacy was understood to be more than reading music alone. Although musical literacy in these ancient texts may be less visible in practical educative terms than the English National Curriculum for music, it was nevertheless present, and this is a line of development that continues into present day considerations for classroom teachers. Taking a step back to early writings on

music as an area of intellectual study, therefore provides a useful framework to constructively challenge some of the tenets of politicised and reductionist framings of curriculum in England. Despite the significantly different educational context and intellectual framing of music, exploring an historical perspective gives pause for thought on some of the rarely challenged principles that drive curriculum discourse. We have selected the following examples as two helpful points of departure. We accept that there is a multiplicity of alternative historical pedagogical accounts that could have been chosen (inter alia Murray Jr. Et al, 2010; Christensen, 2002; Dessì, 2021). These two historic instances are not, and could never represent, an exhaustive discussion of historical pedagogy. However, we beg the reader's indulgence to take this brief but necessary historical detour here for the purposes of establishing this context for our conceptualisation to demonstrate alternative framings of literacy.

Two Historic Perspectives

The *De institutione musica* [*On the Fundamentals of Music*] of Anicius Manlius Severinus Boethius (477–524CE) formed the basis of most discussions of musical thought for well over a thousand years (Bower, 2002), as unthinkable as it seems from a modern perspective. His text was the most widely-known music theory text until about 1500 (Mellon, 2011), and it continued to be referenced well into the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. We do not have the space in this short contribution to unpack the peculiarities of wider music theory traditions or this text, including the immensely complex harmonic proportion diagrams that accompany the text, nor that these demonstrate early attempts to organise aspects of musical knowledge in striking visual forms that recall something of the more recent trend for knowledge organisers (Whittaker, 2021).

For now, it is the discussion of *musica instrumentalis* [instrumental music – essentially, music that you could hear] that is helpful in exploring ideas of musical literacy. What should a literate musician be able to do, create, and think about? The fifteenth-century Milanese music theorist Franchinus Gaforus (1451–1522) drew upon Boethius in his *Theorica musicae* (1492) to explain that it was believed that there were three different areas of musical skill that a musician should possess:

'There are three classes of those who profess harmonic practice. One plays instruments, another composes songs, and the third judges the work of instruments and song.' (Gaforus, 1492, trans. Kreyszig, 1993, p. 40)ⁱ

Building on the Boethian model, Gaforus sets out that there are three strands of musicianship: playing instruments, composing songs, and appraising music. The fifteenth-century theorist, drawing upon a sixth-century model, sets out nearly the exact same

principles for his three literacies of music as those in the National Curriculum for Music: performing, composing, and making judgements about music. Such an apparent alignment is immediately intriguing.

Gaforus goes on to explain his meaning a little more, adding a clarification that is highly relevant to the modern-day discussions of curriculum and literacy:

These musicians [instrumentalists] are far from understanding the science of music because they bring no intellectual understanding to bear on their work... Even if these musicians are thought to accomplish much proficiently and skilfully, it is well established that they do not have scientific knowledge; what they profess or exhibit they do not possess in the purity and truth of the intellect. (Gaforus, 1492, trans. Kreyszig, 1993, p. 40)ⁱⁱ

These notions should not be dismissed immediately as obsolete and irrelevant. Implicit in this statement, even if rationalised in a way that seems peculiar to modern readers, is the belief that a rounded musical literacy consists of more than the ability to play an instrument especially well. Similarly, it is also about more than having intellectual understanding alone, or being able to compose songs. Clearly few would suggest today that a talented performer lacked the “purity” or “truth of the intellect” for concentrating their efforts in this skill area, but Gaforus touches upon an important point – that learning to play an instrument is not the only aspect of musical education that has value. It may be an outward facing component, and certainly one that is most visible and attracts a great deal of attention in our modern climate, but it is only part of a young musician’s musical development. Such a belief is key to most general music education, even though policy discussions often somewhat unhelpfully conflate instrumental learning as the totality of music education. As Howard (2012) notes, “[general] music education is not conservatory training. It is not in the business of training music performers. It is in the business of enlightening the public about music’s various facets and influences from many perspectives, including how artists think” (p. 259). This is why thinking about the relationships between curriculum and literacies are of immense importance. Gaforus recognised the key benefits of a wider sense of musical literacy in training a musician and, by association, the implications this would have for a curriculum. Thinking about what it means to be musically literate is therefore a consideration which has long occupied theorists in music education. In the same way, reflection on the design of curriculum was thought to be a kind of literacy in an earlier age.

For instance, Gaforus argues that a true musician lacks neither “theory nor practice”, with this musical understanding coming from more than action alone:

‘There is a third [kind], who does not know from action alone; he considers and contemplates while in action and work. Lacking neither theory nor practice, he is called a true musician’ (Gaforus, 1492, trans. Kreyszig, 1993, p. 42)ⁱⁱⁱ

Importantly, Gaforus does not set “contemplation” as a separate activity to be seen as superior to mere “practice”, instead referring to consideration “while in action and work”. This is crucial for modern music education contexts. Far from arguing that musical literacy is the ability to read particular notations or have a purely theoretical understanding of specific concepts as clearly separated from music making, our theorist from 500 years ago advocates for consideration to take place in and through, action. Note also, however, that he does not assert that action takes primacy over all. For Gaforus, effective musical thinking is the combination of action and contemplation; bringing these two strands together is the means through which someone achieves the status of a true musician.

Such a perspective resonates with modern-day thinking about the role that music teachers play in supporting the development of musical literacies beyond narrowly defined policy uses of the term, and the extent to which music teachers are literate in the curriculum discourses that prevail in the present climate. A holistic approach to musical development, which includes deep engagement in theoretical and contextual underpinnings as part of a broad curriculum of subject matter, was to be expected for the best musicians, and was enshrined in pedagogical texts of the age. Broomhead (2018, p.32) even argues for a “purposeful balance of orientations in music literacy” for modern music educators, whilst also seeking to “celebrate the exceptionally deep meanings that are available through...specialization”. Historically, musical thinkers and meaning makers - who also often taught – were encouraged to think about this in their explorations of the intellectual discipline of music, and the same is true today, albeit within a different context.

These historic forms of expression extend far beyond the utilitarian and narrow viewpoints on curriculum and literacy in current policy documentation. There are close and deeply meaningful connections between different areas of musical work, the essence of which are as relevant today as they were 500 years ago. We contend that they are a helpful tool to challenge some of the prevailing winds of reductionist discourse; historic thoughtfulness about the purposes and approaches towards musical development can be a useful foil. Thinking about these kinds of literacies can be enlightening, helping practitioners and theorists consider how to balance and curriculum literacy with wider considerations of

musical literacy. Such an approach can enrich music educator perspectives, resulting in their use of a wider range of learning approaches.

Curriculum literacies

Considering philosophical underpinnings of musical literacies alongside historical understandings of what it might mean to be musically literate, leads to a further question of how this might be realised in classroom spaces. If music curriculum is defined as the manner in which teachers interpret, process and enact music for pupils in the classroom, as mediated through curriculum documentation (Anderson, 2019), then this is not divorced from the contexts of musical literacies. Divergence as to how curriculum is understood by classroom music teachers in schools is, however, evident in classroom practices. These differences can make finding a unified language for curriculum development challenging and mean that curriculum literacy is widely conceived. Such a broad spectrum of understanding is unproblematic when this is an acknowledged dynamic. However, when curriculum notions retain differing philosophical underpinnings and this remains unspoken, this can lead to curriculum expression and communication between music teachers becoming cloudy and opaque, with multiple perspectives lying behind understandings of the word 'curriculum'. This is a complexity that is rarely acknowledged in music education discourse and one that deserves closer examination. Such fuzziness about what 'curriculum' means, in turn affects how music education is interpreted and experienced by pupils in the classroom, with each child engaging with music via their music teacher's philosophical filter. What is included by music teachers in their classroom curricula and, therefore, what is in turn permitted by teachers in classroom spaces which pupils can access, is therefore a significant influence on how musical literacies are perceived by teachers and received by pupils. Understanding different pedagogical perspectives on music curriculum is thus an important first step in thinking about how musical literacies find their form in music spaces (such as classrooms) and how they are created. As a result of different perspectives from educational stakeholders, diverse characteristics of music curriculum are in play in the music classroom.

Curriculum literacies in policy

Instances where music curriculum is conceived in differing agentic frameworks are captured in policy realisations as well as music teacher curriculum design. It is illuminating to contrast these approaches, where differing perspectives lie at their heart and which may otherwise be invisible or neglected. One approach which concentrates on musical literacies in reified forms may be found in the Model Music Curriculum (MMC) in England (DfE, 2021). The

MMC is non-statutory guidance, which presents a model curriculum for music teachers to follow at their, or their school's, discretion. It is, however, widely influential, referred to frequently in NPME2 (DfE, 2022) and in parliamentary debates (see, for example, HL Deb, 2023), and has increasingly become described as "the new curriculum" (Cobb, 2021, n.p.). Whilst musical expression through performing and composing is articulated throughout this document, there is also a recurring emphasis on musical literacy as canonic knowledge. Pupils are expected to be "knowledgeable about the breadth of musical genres" (DfE, 2021, p. 8), and to regard this as the springboard to their own creative endeavours: "Familiarity with music in a range of styles and genres is crucial for developing the aural understanding needed to compose music" (DfE, 2021, p. 10). Suggested chronological music listening lists which the MMC includes, enable pupils to place "a diverse range of music rich in learning opportunities in its chronological and stylistic context." (DfE, 2021, p. 11). Such a conceptualisation of musical literacy as embodied in formal expressions of curriculum is also found in other policy expressions, such as the *National Plan for Music: The power of music to change lives* (NPME2) (DfE, 2022). NPME2 is a revised version of its first iteration in 2011, when it was intended to guide music provision in local areas. This new plan has a wider remit into schools with the aim that "all children, regardless of background, should have access to a high-quality music education, should understand their options, and be supported to make progress" (DfE, 2022, p. 7). NPME2 conceptualises musical literacy as providing children with "the musical tools they need for a lifetime of music-making and enjoyment" (DfE, 2022, p.9). These musical tools are never explicitly identified by NPME2, but the plan places considerable emphasis on musical engagement and experiences through music-making in various forms. Within this, however, there is an expectation that all schools "deliver a quality music curriculum reflecting the breadth and ambition of the national curriculum, such as the Model Music Curriculum" (DfE, 2022, p. 10). In these policy perspectives musical literacy is closely connected with how music curriculum is both conceptualised and realised, where the language of quality assurance dominates. For instance: music provision, teaching, curriculum, access, time, enrichment, education, subject leadership, musical offer, lessons, instruments, opportunities, software, qualifications, mentoring, projects, and ensembles, are all required to be "high-quality" according to this document^{iv}. Musical literacies within policy are therefore formal, objectified and measurable in their nature.

Although the schools inspectorate in England (Ofsted) emphasise what they regard as the importance of notation in their writings about music education in schools, musical literacy is not a phrase they use. Instead, Ofsted prefer to talk about cultural literacy (Ofsted, 2021a) or musical culture (Ofsted, 2023). Their treatment of these phrases is in the context of what

they term “declarative knowledge”, which Ofsted regards as, “notation, keys and chords” or “the works and songs that illuminate musical culture” (Ofsted 2021a, p. 8). Literacy is therefore linked by Ofsted with understanding traditions which build on musical milestones of the past: “This knowledge of shared and historical musical culture has an important role to play in offering a broad and balanced education that supports cultural literacy” (Ofsted, 2021a, p.8). Such notions of cultural literacy appear to stem from the work of Hirsch, who argues that canonical knowledge is necessary for a literate democracy and describes such literacy as a “political decision” (Hirsch, 1983, p.162). Despite this different cultural, rather than musical linkage, literacy is nevertheless regarded by Ofsted as something which can be evidenced and evaluated, arising as it does from a heritage of established knowledge, or what they refer to as substantive and disciplinary knowledge in other subject contexts (Ofsted, 2021b). If literacy is linked with a knowledge of notation, this forms one of their pillars of progression, which Ofsted describe as technical, and as essential: “a good music education is underpinned by robust, direct and incremental teaching that provides knowledge of music’s technical and constructive aspects” (Ofsted, 2021a, p. 10). Within this policy perception, musical literacy therefore appears to rest on a historical body of knowledge upon which pupils must stand in order to progress in music.

Oak National Academy (ONA), whose origins lie in curriculum provision in England during the enforced pivot to online learning during Covid, for whom teachers provided lesson plans and resources, and has since continued as a distinct organisation, initially funded by the Department for Education, with whom it is “strategically aligned..., but operationally independent” (DfE, 2022, p. 20). ONA describes musical literacy of curriculum provision in procedural terms. For instance, in its Key Stage 3 Music curriculum map for 11-14-year-olds (ONA, 2020; 2021), musical literacy is outlined in the context of blues performance within a band, where it is described as “improvising musical literacy as [the pupils] work from staff notation, chord charts, and tablature” (p. 46). This approach would appear to privilege written forms of music as representative of musical literacy, albeit alongside music-making and creating. The appendix on musical understanding in this document discusses “theoretical concepts” sitting alongside “practical skills” to “enable progression in musical understanding” (p. 42). It is important to note that musical understanding is delineated by ONA into knowledge of ‘how to do music’ and ‘knowledge about music’, (similar in part to Reid’s (1986) conceptualisations of knowledge about, knowledge how and knowledge of), meaning that musical literacy is described as in some sense bridging conceptual and practical dimensions. This is, however, framed within the wider ONA curriculum philosophy rooted in Young and Muller’s (2013) concepts of knowledge that “lesson and units are knowledge and vocabulary rich so that pupils build on what they already know to develop powerful

knowledge” (p. 2). Such understandings are consistent with both NPME2 and MMC, in agreeing that a canon of musical knowledge exists, that this should be quality assured, and that such a way of thinking about music lies at the core of musical literacy. Curricula arising from these contexts privilege this way of thinking about what it means to be musically literate, and whilst musical expression in performing and composing remains important and in view, it is required to be conceptualised as a subsidiary heading to music as an academic pursuit in a hierarchical arrangement. As has been noted by others, “curriculum is an ideological selection from a range of possible knowledge... [whose] selection is neither neutral, nor innocent.” (Cohen, Manion and Morrison, 2000, p. 33).

Curriculum literacies in classroom practices

Ways of thinking about curriculum literacies in policies filter down to classroom settings. Such policy perspectives can be highly influential as teachers seek to review and redesign their own classroom practices to conform with policy requirements, as these are interpreted and enacted by school leadership teams (Anderson, 2022). Curriculum literacies which realise such policy modes of thinking tend to conceptualise curriculum in terms of musical theory and privilege traditional western notation systems. This can include aspects such as rhythmic conventions, orchestral instruments, dynamic markings and classical canon among other things (Stephens, 2019). Other curricula examples which are orientated in a similar manner draw from a wider backdrop of musical styles and include overt music-making and creating moments, and have a seam of theory embedded throughout each of these elements at Key Stage 3 (schooling for 11-14-year-olds) (West London Free School, 2022).

However, music teachers do not only interpret and enact pedagogies, but are also curriculum designers (Anderson, 2021) and there is diversity in the manner in which different teachers conceptualise musical literacies for their own curriculum programmes of study, as they seek to enable musical access for pupils. A frequent model is for music teachers to organise their curriculum into topics (Anderson, 2022) and to arrange these to support progression throughout pupils’ Key Stage 3 experiences. In these instances, musical literacies are conceptualised as integral within topics and are not bounded by formal western musical principles, or categories of activity. Musical literacies are therefore not so overtly expressed by some music teachers, but subsist within musical engagements of which the teacher is the architect (Fautley, 2021), providing pupils with access to spaces in which to develop literacies of musical expression and development. Within such curriculum dynamics, musical literacies, may for instance be described as skills, as composing within a structural model, or as immersive experiences of musical traditions. Pupil engagement within such frameworks requires extended skills, knowledge and understanding, and whilst these may

not be articulated in music theory terminologies, they are none the less profound in their impacts and enable pupils to engage with each other in musical moments, which may include performing, composing and improvising.

Secondary music teachers represent their curriculum thinking for themselves, their managers, and their pupils, in programmes of study, which generally take the form of a one-page summary of curriculum topics for the year. A closer examination of these can be revealing for understanding musical literacy as embodied knowing (Spruce, 2016). One example from a secondary school in the English West Midlands (Anderson, 2020) is given below (figure1):

	7		8		9	
	Topic	Content	Topic	Content	Topic	Content
Autumn 1	Elements of Music	Beginner keyboard skills / basic notation / class singing	Commerical Composition: TV Adverts	Composing to a brief	Film Music	Based on OCR GCSE AoS4 - Film Music; developing compositional skills as GCSE prep.; introducing GCSE style listeing questions
Autumn 2			Commerical Composition: TV Themes	Composing to a brief; composition structure		
Spring 1	Rhythms of the World	Developing an understanding of rhythm through the following traditions: Samba Band, African Drumming, Gamelan, Indian Classical Music, Bhangra	Music and Mood	Changing compositions to suit emotions; manipulating the elements of music		
Spring 2						
Summer 1	Chords	Chord playing, chord creation, chord sequences, and simple melodies	Advanced Chords	More complicated chord sequences; longer compositional structures; developed ensemble awareness	Video Game Music	
Summer 2						
Time	1 hour per week		1 hour per week		1 hour per fortnight	

Figure 1: A secondary school Key Stage 3 (11–14-year-olds) Music Programme of Study from a school in the English West Midlands

In this example, musical literacies are subsumed within the topic areas of: elements of music (use of this term varies, but may include characteristics such as: pitch, melody, tonality, rhythm, harmony, texture, structure and dynamics); rhythms of the world; and chords. The literacies then emerge as models of practice exemplified through notions of understanding. In Year 7 (11-12-year-olds) skills are named, and notation is mentioned as a connector between keyboard work and singing. The rhythms of the world are facilitated through a tour

of a wide variety of styles, genres, and traditions and chords are developed through playing, creation (composing), harmonic sequences and melodic writing. Later in Year 8 (12-13-year-olds), the literacies become less prescribed. The topics are now mostly situated into a context, such as composing for media in diverse forms, or composing to emulate mood, and the impact of these forms of music is understood through composing activity, the assimilation of composing briefs (a provided set context) and a pre-determined structure. Similarly, the musical process of composing to create musical mood is evaluated through the development of composing engagement to align with emotion and the ability of pupils to manipulate musical elements to achieve a satisfying outcome. Harmonic consciousness is developed at the end of Year 8 into ensemble awareness, and more complex interactions are evident in Year 9 (13-14-year-olds) when composing for media becomes increasingly complex, embodying multi-modal forms and contexts, such as film and video games.

Whilst the topics and the manner in which their realisation as described on this programme of study embody engagements such as performing, composing and improvising in differing musical styles, genres and traditions, these enactments nevertheless constitute musical literacies. Such realisations may not follow more traditional conceptualisations of literacy, but without an in-practice knowledge (Fautley, 2018) they cannot be realised by pupils. In addition, musical literacy is required in pupil responses for collaborative classroom music-making, which will otherwise not fit with pedagogical framing (Bernstein, 1973) as established by the teacher. A musical literacy is required to understand and reproduce drumming traditions of different cultures outlined within this programme of study, and musical literacy is required to modify compositions to emulate different emotions. These musical enactments require embodied knowledge, whose presence is implicit, even though such musical interaction may not fall within more traditional models of musical literacy. Authentic aural traditions and practices in Gamelan and Bhangra, for instance, are unlikely to feature notation, but nevertheless require a musical literacy within this tradition for pupils to participate. In this sense, these literacies are, as Spruce has noted, “not the ability to read staff notation, but to be able to communicate and respond to music” (2007, p.23). This is a practice which the music teacher curriculum designer in figure 1 has embodied in heuristic practices for their pupils and accords with the historical perspective set out earlier.

Classroom music teachers in England therefore consider musical development as more inclusive than progressing to and interpreting the next more demanding page of notation and realising this with accuracy and expression. Musical development is thus central, but can become disproportionate; skewed “into the conventions of notation, even if you know that it’s not the conventions that they are using” (Boyce-Tillman & Anderson, 2022, p. 55). Musical

literacy sits within the discourse of musical development in musical behaviours, intuition and musicality. This may include formal understandings of what it means to be musically literate, but these can never be divorced from classroom musical experience. In this sense, and through thinking about programmes of study in music as conceptualised by teachers, “the school curriculum...is the point where theory meets practices, where ideas and beliefs are actualised in the phenomenal world” (Jorgensen, 2003). Thus, where music curricula are interpreted only through a theoretical lens, the multi-dimensional experience that musical literacy offers to be, remains unfulfilled.

Discussion

Musical literacies and curriculum literacies can become enmeshed in school practices, where the enactment of curriculum in musical activity comes to be regarded as musical literacy. Our argument in this article has been that in reality two literacies are in simultaneous operation: musical literacy which the teacher embodies as a musician, and curriculum literacy, where the music teacher makes decisions as an educator about how to facilitate and enable musical learning in classroom spaces. Music teachers bring these two different ways of knowing together, to provide young people with musical opportunities for development, but the operation and intersection of these domains is an unrecognised complexity.

Following our consideration of the manner in which ideas of curriculum and literacy can be understood, there are further complexities still in the spaces *between* these notions which need further discussion. We have identified two broad areas of particular importance: how music teachers practice curriculum dualism between their own curriculum aspirations and the requirements of the schools where they work; and secondly how curriculum literacy is an unacknowledged and self-contained requirement of classroom music teaching. In this discussion, we therefore briefly signpost these critical perspectives and explore their implications for classroom practices.

Complexities in musical literacies and curriculum realisations

The different perspectives of how musical literacy is, or should be, manifest in secondary school music curricula can create tensions. Music teachers' curricula are intensely personal to them and reflect their own musical understandings and identities (Anderson, 2019). Teachers often operate in ways that “reveal substantially different sets of assumptions regarding what should be addressed...and how it should be addressed” (Broomhead, 2018, p. 13). This results in multiple different flavours of music curricula and experience across England's schools, embodied in a generally unified form (usually a one-page curriculum

summary of Years 7 – 9, realised as topics), but containing vastly differing content, and embodying different pedagogical approaches. Dominant discourses of curriculum as realised by schools and suggested in curriculum models (e.g., the Model Music Curriculum (DfE, 2021), Ofsted Research Review (Ofsted, 2021a)) are sometimes in conflict with teachers' own perceptions of what it means to enable musicality in pupils in their classes. Music teachers, may, therefore, walk a wobbly tightrope, where they move in one direction (their personal music philosophies), but the rope (policy requirements as suggested in documentation and scrutinised through school quality assurance procedures) is wobbling in another. This has the potential to cause instability in the music curriculum field and, in music education literature, is an often unrecognised curriculum complexity.

In order to reconcile these differing perspectives and requirements, music teachers, may, therefore, engage in curriculum dualism. This occurs where music teachers combine and find a way to accommodate policy parameters within their own approaches to musical literacy. As part of this process, curriculum documentation which represents music curriculum activity so that it can be interrogated by others (such as school senior leaders, for example) may be reformulated to articulate musical processes in a different form to music teachers' ideal nomenclature. This can cause musical literacy to become obscured and hidden and to occur in clandestine musical realisations in the classroom, which are disguised as approved classroom terminologies. For instance, one school in the English Midlands (Anderson, 2020) was required to reinterpret curriculum as strands of "subject DNA". This led to the music teacher realising performing, composing, applying of musical knowledge and making critical judgements within this model, arguably making it more problematic for pupils to understand the processes of composing, performing and listening, and to access opportunities for musical development. Where they are present, such difficulties appear to emerge when teachers are required to create curriculum documentation using an agreed template across all school subjects. This additional complexity to designing musical learning for the classroom, may, ultimately make it more difficult for pupils to access music, make progress, and grow in their musical literacies.

Curriculum literacies

Curriculum dualism may cause music teachers to position themselves and to frame the musical learning they are developing for pupils within a school curriculum taxonomy that is frequently in flux, not unlike teaching in a second language. This constriction requires continual cognitive effort and reshaping as teachers seek to not only teach music, but to teach it in the approved form required by school contexts. Such teacher activity indicates a concealed process as teachers continually negotiate this terrain, a process which can be

described as curriculum literacy. Curriculum literacy has been discussed as an interface in individual subjects between learning and successfully engaging with assessment (Wyatt-Smith & Cumming, 2003). Thinking in this area has been developed to identify subject-specific teaching, rather than a generic skill (Pascoe & Smith, 2013), and models with lesson templates have been developed to encourage and engender literacies in sustainable practices (Sandretto & Tilson, 2016). However, there is an international gap in the literature considering the skill of curriculum literacies as teachers originate and design their curricula and the process that this involves. The ability to simultaneously satisfy two sometimes competing mindsets – personal outlook and policy paradigms - is an unrecognised skill. In this respect, music teachers are therefore multi-lingual not only musically, but also in curriculum literacies; an aspect of their work which often goes unrecognised, perhaps even in their own evaluations of their practice.

Conclusions and implications for music teaching

School teaching environments are frequently ideologically or politically-driven in the manner in which they permit, legitimise or formulate curriculum realisations. The challenges for considering musical literacies within this frame can be unrecognised by those working in school leadership. The case of music is particularly interesting and complex because of the sheer diversity of literacies that could be developed, the needs and interests of the students working with teachers, and the breadth of activities that can constitute musical curricula. This has significant implications for musical practices in the classroom, the conceptualisation of multiple literacies, and how this is understood in curricula terms. Acknowledging that the curriculum field that teachers are negotiating is complex and that musical literacies can be diverse is therefore an important starting point. Due to the nature of these complexities, teachers need time and space to develop their curriculum understandings. Senior Leadership Teams would benefit from engaging in dialogue with school subject leaders to better understand the specific types of literacies and curriculum design issues that are present. Doing so would liberate music teachers from inhabiting a complex, sometimes contradictory, curriculum dualism, where the polyphony of different positions and purposes inhibits and at times restricts classroom practices. Musical literacies are more complex than the prescribed definitions that policy documentation may suggest, and that this has long been the subject of musical discussion can offer a useful point of reflection for music teachers. In a sense, looking to a model from a time completely different from our own can be helpful in clarifying the intentionalities of our practices today.

By opening out music teaching perceptions and possibilities for classroom spaces through models of musical literacy from different times and educational contexts, teachers can be

enabled to think more critically about the underpinning ideas that facilitate, and are central to, curriculum meaning-making. Wider conceptualisations of musical literacies can then serve as thinking tools that enable music teachers to question ideas and understandings, leading to potentially richer opportunities for musical development. Moving beyond a constructive process, where musical components are regarded solely as an assemblage from which to design curricula, can help illuminate complex thinking with which music teachers tacitly engage. Ideas of literacy and curriculum can therefore be powerful liberators, unlocking diverse musical pedagogies for teachers in their approaches which ultimately enable pupils to access greater depth in their musical learning in the classroom. Musical literacies and curriculum expressions are therefore a powerful and important partnership which stretch far beyond concepts, facilitating musical meaning, musical practices, and musical experiences in schools.

References

Anderson, A. (2019). *Happy Accidents? Music teacher perceptions of curriculum design at Key Stage 3 in the English secondary school*. Unpublished thesis. Birmingham: Birmingham City University.

Anderson, A. (2020). *Curriculum models in school practices*. Birmingham: Birmingham City University. Available at: <https://www.bcu.ac.uk/education-and-social-work/research/birmingham-music-education-bmerg/research-projects/curriculum-models-in-school-practices> Accessed on: 8/9/23

Anderson, A. (2021). *Music teachers are curriculum designers*. BERA Blog 16 Nov 2021. London: British Educational Research Association. Available at: <https://www.bera.ac.uk/blog/music-teachers-are-curriculum-designers> Accessed on: 8/9/23

Anderson, A. (2022). Curriculum power positioning in classroom music education: music curriculum design in the secondary music classroom in England. *Arts Education Policy Review*, DOI: 10.1080/10632913.2021.2023060

Bernstein, B. (1973). On the classification and framing of educational knowledge. *Knowledge, Education and Cultural Change*. (Ed.) Brown, R. London: Routledge

Bower C. The transmission of ancient music theory into the Middle Ages. In: Christensen T, ed. *The Cambridge History of Western Music Theory*. The Cambridge History of Music. Cambridge University Press; 2002:136-167.

Boyce-Tillman, J., & Anderson, A. (2022). Musical development then and now: In conversation with June Boyce-Tillman. *British Journal of Music Education*, 39(1), 51-66. doi:10.1017/S0265051721000280

Broomhead, P. (2018). *What is Music Literacy?* New York: Routledge.

Cobb, C. (2021, April, 06). Model curriculum emphasises practical craft of music-making. *Headteacher Update*. Available at: <https://www.headteacher->

[update.com/content/resources/model-music-curriculum-emphasises-practical-craft-of-music-making](https://www.update.com/content/resources/model-music-curriculum-emphasises-practical-craft-of-music-making) Accessed on: 28/09/23

Christensen, T. (Ed.). (2002). *The Cambridge History of Western Music Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Cohen, L., Lawrence, M., Morrison, K. (2000). *Research Methods in Education*. 5th edition. London: RoutledgeFalmer

Department for Education. (2013). *Music Programmes of Study: Key Stage 3*. London: Crown Copyright.

Department for Education (2021). *Model Music Curriculum: Key Stages 1 to 3: Non-statutory guidance for the national curriculum in England*. London: Crown Copyright

Department for Education (2022). *The power of music to change lives: A national plan for music education*. London: Crown Copyright

Department for Education (2022). *Curriculum Body Invest Co case number: 161*. London: Crown Copyright.

Dessi, P (ed.) (2021) *Music in Schools from the Middle Ages to the Modern Age*. Turnhout: Brepols.

Fautley, M. (2018). Why Music Educators Really Understand Skills. *British Journal of Music Education*, 35(1), 1-4. doi:10.1017/S0265051718000049

Fautley, M. (2021). Curriculum and assessment in the secondary school in England: The sociology of musical status. *The Routledge Handbook of Sociology of Music Education*. London: Routledge

Fautley, M. (2023). The place of music in a semi-devolved system of school improvement – the English context. *Arts Education Policy Review*, 125(4), 290–299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10632913.2023.2212184>

Gaforus, F. (1492). *Theorica musicae [The Theory of Music]*, Milan. Translated in Kreyszig, W. K. (1993), *The Theory of Music: Franchino Gaffurio – Translated with Introduction and Notes*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.

House of Lords Debate (12 June 2023). vol. 830, col. 1659. Available at: <https://hansard.parliament.uk/Lords/2023-06-12/debates/035D99FE-EF4C-4833-9CE6-3493BA7E1065/FreeMusicEducation?highlight=%22model%20music%20curriculum%22#contribution-790881C6-63C9-4FF2-93BD-3DFD3C08D8B7>. Accessed: 29/9/23.

Howard, V. A. (2012) Must Music Education Have an Aim? In: W. Bowman and A. L. Frega, eds. *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy in Music Education* New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 249–262

Hirsch, E.D. (1983). Cultural Literacy. *The American Scholar* 52(2), pp.159-169

Jorgensen, E. (2003). *Transforming Music Education*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press

Murray Jr., R., Forscher-Weiss, S., Cyrus, C. (eds) (2010), *Music Education in the Middle Ages and the Renaissance*. Bloomington, IN. Indiana University Press.

National Oak Academy (2020). *Music Secondary: Key Stage 3*. Version 1.0. London: NOA

National Oak Academy (2021). *Music Key Stage 3: Curriculum map*. Version 3.0. London: NOA

MacGregor, E. (2025). *Musical Vulnerability: Receptivity, Susceptibility and care in the music classroom*. Abingdon: Routledge

Ofsted (2021a) *Research Review Series: Music*. London: HMSO. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/research-review-series-music/research-review-series-music> Accessed on:13/9/21

Ofsted (2021b). *Research Review Series: Science*. London: HMSO. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/research-review-series-science/research-review-series-science> Accessed on: 13/9/23

Ofsted (2023). *Striking the right note: the music subject report*. Available at: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/subject-report-series-music/striking-the-right-note-the-music-subject-report#:~:text=Overall%20this%20report%20identifies%20some,%20consequently%20become%20more%20musical>. Accessed: 26/9/23

Pascoe, J., & Wyatt-Smith, C. (2013). Curriculum literacies and the school garden. *Literacy Learning: The Middle Years*, 21(1), 34–47. <https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.107235305605817>

Reid, L. A. (1986). *Ways of Understanding and Education*. London: Heinemann.

Sandretto, S. and Tilson, J., 2016. Designing curriculum literacies. *Set: Research Information for Teachers*, 2, pp.3-11.

Spruce, G. (2007). Culture, society and musical learning. *Learning to Teach Music in the Secondary School: A companion to school experience*. 2nd Edition. (Eds) Philpott, C. & Spruce, G. Abingdon: Routledge

Stephens, J. (2019). *Music Curriculum Map*. Thetford: Thetford Academy Inspiration Trust. Available at: <https://insp-thetford.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/document/music.pdf?t=1693996002?ts=1693996002> Accessed on: 8/8/23

West London Free School (2022). *West London Free School Curriculum Overview 2022-2023*. London: West London Free School. Available at: https://www.wlfs.org/docs/WLFS_Curriculum_Booklet_2022-23.pdf Accessed on: 8/9/23

Whittaker, A. (2021), Rhythmic Trees in Bodleian Library, MS. Bodley 515: Visual Metaphor in Musical Diagrams, *Bodleian Library Record Journal*, pp. 41–59: <https://doi.org/10.3828/blr.2021.34.1-2.41>

Wyatt-Smith, C. M. & Cumming, J. J. (2003) Curriculum Literacies: Expanding domains of assessment, *Assessment in Education. Principles, Policy & Practice*, 10:1, 47-59, DOI: 10.1080/09695940301690

Young, M. & Muller, J. (2013). On the powers of powerful knowledge. *Review of Education* 1(3) pp.229-250. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3017>

-
- ⁱ Latin original: Gaforus, *Theorica musice* (1492), Liber Primus, V:1–4, ‘Concinnem facultaten profitentium triplex est genus. Vnum quod instrumentis agit. Aliud fingit carmina. Tertium instrumentorum opus carmenque diudicat.’ Available at: <https://chmtl.indiana.edu/tml/15th/GAFTM1>.
- ⁱⁱ Latin original: Gaforus, *Theorica musice* (1492), Liber Primus, V: 6-10, ‘...a musices nanque scientie intellectu se iuncti sunt quoniam famulantur nichil rationis afferentes: cum sint totius speculationis expertes: sensum quidem sequuntur et quod in eo delectat memoriae comendare procurant atque secundum id corpus mouent uim quandam immitationis adiicientes: qui tam et si perite ac docte multa facere uideantur: constat eos non habere scientiam si rem ipsam quam profitentur aut exhibent intellectus puritate ac ueritate non teneant: ac si tales esse istos theatricos operarios ratio demonstrauit non dubitabimus eis negare scientiam.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Latin original: ‘...ab iis tertius est qui iam non scit actu solum. Sed et in actu atque opera considerandi est et contemplandi. Atque idcirco is adequatus dicitur musicus’
- ^{iv} See pp.15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 29, 30, 33, 36, 41, 63, 65, 67 (DfE, 2022)

This is an accepted version of an article appearing in the [British Journal of Music Education](#). [DOI to follow when published]. This version is made available under CC BY-NC-ND licence.