#### Panacea Green Infrastructure?

Multidimensional Contributions to Competitive and Livable Metropolitan Regions in Europe International Conference, February 16th/17th, 2017, Essen, Germany

**Session 4: Governance Dimensions of Green Infrastructure** 

# Changing the Nature of GI City Governance in Birmingham: A Policy and Practice Evaluation

Alice Franchina, University of Palermo Claudia Carter, Birmingham City University Alister Scott, Northumbria University





- To explore GI governance at the city scale
- To assess the effectiveness of GI policies
- To understand the role of an ecosystem services approach in spatial planning

#### **Policy into Practice Challenges**

A FREE TO SALLAN

# Turning GI policy into actual GI practice

Tackling the dominance of economic growth narrative

Facing a constantly changing policy / administrative context

## Why Birmingham?

# Political will

Birmingham declared in 2013 its ambition to be a leading Green City in Europe

## Policy evidence

Birmingham was one of the first city in the UK and Europewide to map and assess its Ecosystem Services (ES) at the urban level

# Cultural and scientific milieu

Birmingham City Council in collaboration with citizen organisations and businesses have a long tradition in environmental management and the Universities are very engaged in research on urban environment

# Methodology



#### CASE PRESENTATION

## **Disintegrated governance**

Birmingham City (administrative boundary) Greater Birmingham & Solihull Local Enterprise Partnership Birmingham and the Black Country Local Nature Partnership West Midlands Combined Authority

#### CASE PRESENTATION

#### **Green Commission and Green Vision**

#### **BIRMINGHAM'S GREEN VISION**



Source: Green Commission, 2013: 17-18.

# Green Living Spaces Plan\_ES assessment





ES demand & supply mapping

PLAN 13 Education services supply and demand

PLAN 14 Flood risk services supply and deman

#### CASE PRESENTATION

# **Green Living Spaces Plan\_Seven Principles**

	Principle	Outcomes
	An Adapted City	Retain City's top ranking for adaption
		<ul> <li>Ensure all future growth is 'adapted'.</li> <li>Trees for cooling and thermal insulation.</li> <li>Green roofs, walls and street canyon research.</li> </ul>
	The City's Blue Network	Adopt water sensitive urban design
		<ul> <li>Integrated SuDS, flood and water management solutions.</li> <li>'Blueprint' for enhanced walking and cycling network.</li> <li>Blue Corridor/network policy with Canal River Trust.</li> </ul>
	A Healthy City	Adopt Natural Health Improvement Zones (NHIZ)
		<ul> <li>Integrate the delivery of health and green living spaces.</li> <li>Continue to extend the Be-active offer.</li> <li>Public health as key partners in planning.</li> </ul>
Green Living Spaces Plan	The City's Productive Landscapes	Embrace urban forestry and urban food growing
		<ul> <li>Continue to promote allotments.</li> <li>Facilitate community food growing and orchards.</li> <li>Promote the multiple benefits of urban forestry.</li> </ul>
September 2013	The City's Greenways	Change gear - to a walking and cycling City
		<ul> <li>Create walkable/cyclable neighbourhoods.</li> <li>Citywide signed routes linked to public transport</li> <li>Link healthcare activities and prevention programmes.</li> </ul>
	The City's Ecosystems	Birmingham as a biophillic City
		<ul> <li>City to adopt an ecosystem services approach.</li> <li>Partners to lead on District NIA continuation plans.</li> <li>Birmingham to join global Biophillic Cities network.</li> </ul>
	The City's Green Living Spaces	Birmingham an international City of Green Living Spaces
		<ul> <li>Adopt the 7 principles across Planning Framework.</li> <li>Green Infrastructure and Adaption Delivery Group.</li> <li>Work with business partners on green economy.</li> </ul>

Source: Birmingham City Council, 2013: 10.



#### **RESULTS Policy Analysis**

# **Key Themes / Concepts**

- Ecosystem services
- Green Infrastructure
- Natural Capital
- GLSP reference

# Interviews

# **Key Themes**

- Deliverability
- Governance
- Partnerships
- Ecosystem services / value of nature

#### **RESULTS Policy Analysis**









# **GLSP** influence

# on the Birmingham Development Plan (BDP)

- only partial reference to GLSP specific content
- no references to ecosystem services or natural capital; however, contains dedicated GI policies
- no reference to the GLSP's 7 principles

# on the GBSLEP and WMCA

• no explicit reference to the GLSP or its 7 principles

# Natural capital and GI

 no reference to natural capital and GI in the GBSLEP and WMCA planning documents / statements

#### **RESULTS Key Findings**

# 1. Strategy / Delivery / Governance

## CONTEXT-SPECIFIC ISSUES:

- Significant disconnect between aspirations and delivery of GLSP
- Dominance of a silo-based culture within Birmingham City Council
- Work is often led by individuals, not shared/advanced collectively

## INTERNATIONALLY RELEVANT ISSUES:

- Limited attention to some new policy priorities and instruments which might be key in the mainstreaming of GI policy (e.g. *Duty to Cooperate* in the UK)
- Difficulty/complexity of GI planning with multi-disciplinary and inter-scalar approach

#### **RESULTS Key Findings**

# 2. Power / Agency

## CONTEXT-SPECIFIC ISSUES:

- Power imbalance between some NGOs and private partners
- Participation perceived by some partners as a 'tick-box' exercise

#### INTERNATIONALLY RELEVANT ISSUES:

- Conflict / trade-offs between the long-term goals of GI planning and short-term goals of political mandates
- Policies without power: GI / holistic planning being agreed but not implemented

#### **RESULTS Key Findings**

# **3. Cross-cutting themes for GI and ES**

#### CONTEXT-SPECIFIC ISSUES:

 Lack of statutory requirements for GI at city, LEP and Combined Authority levels

#### INTERNATIONALLY RELEVANT ISSUES:

- Predominance of economic growth narrative leads to considering 'nature' and 'business' separately (this contrast is evident in the distinction between Local Nature Partnership and Local Enterprise Partnership in the UK)
- Inherent risk of the ES approach of reducing the value of nature solely to its proxy monetary value

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS Lessons Learnt - Future**

## How to enhance GI governance at the urban level

- Translate new ways of holistic and more integrated thinking into existing policy priorities at multiple scales set within locally established inclusive and deliberative processes
- Foster communication between different administrative levels and disciplines in order to find appropriate space and place for GI policy in a rapidly changing governance framework
- Understand the multiple values and benefits of nature as an asset to reframe the economic growth narrative and promote a more comprehensive use of the ES paradigm within a wider Ecosystem Approach