PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org

Research



Cite this article: Sendy B, Lee DJ, Busby SJW, Bryant JA. 2016 RNA polymerase supply and flux through the *lac* operon in *Escherichia coli*. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **371**: 20160080. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0080

Accepted: 14 June 2016

One contribution of 15 to a discussion meeting issue 'The new bacteriology'.

Subject Areas:

molecular biology

Keywords:

RNA polymerase, chromatin immunoprecipitation, promoter occupation, rifampicin, polymerase per second, polymerase density

Author for correspondence:

Stephen J. W. Busby e-mail: s.j.w.busby@bham.ac.uk

Electronic supplementary material is available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0080 or via http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org.



RNA polymerase supply and flux through the *lac* operon in *Escherichia coli*

Bandar Sendy, David J. Lee, Stephen J. W. Busby and Jack A. Bryant

School of Biosciences and Institute of Microbiology and Infection, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

(D) SJWB, 0000-0003-2148-1758

Chromatin immunoprecipitation, followed by quantification of immunoprecipitated DNA, can be used to measure RNA polymerase binding to any DNA segment in Escherichia coli. By calibrating measurements against the signal from a single RNA polymerase bound at a single promoter, we can calculate both promoter occupancy levels and the flux of transcribing RNA polymerase through transcription units. Here, we have applied the methodology to the E. coli lactose operon promoter. We confirm that promoter occupancy is limited by recruitment and that the supply of RNA polymerase to the lactose operon promoter depends on its location in the E. coli chromosome. Measurements of RNA polymerase binding to DNA segments within the lactose operon show that flux of RNA polymerase through the operon is low, with, on average, over 18 s elapsing between the passage of transcribing polymerases. Similar low levels of flux were found when semi-synthetic promoters were used to drive transcript initiation, even when the promoter elements were changed to ensure full occupancy of the promoter by RNA polymerase.

This article is part of the themed issue 'The new bacteriology'.

1. Introduction

Many bacteria rely on transcription regulation in order to adapt to fluctuating environments. This often involves the interaction of regulatory activator proteins at or near promoters, which results in recruitment of the DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RNAP) and subsequent transcript initiation and gene expression. In contrast, when the regulatory proteins are repressors, access to the promoter is blocked and, hence, expression of the corresponding transcription unit is silenced [1-3]. Most experimental studies of bacterial gene regulation have relied on measurements of fold-induction or fold-repression of measured levels of transcripts or gene products. However, few studies have addressed directly the issue of the number of RNAP molecules that engage with individual transcription units, and, to date, most calculations of RNAP flux through genes are based on estimates that work backwards from measured levels of RNA synthesis [4-6]. Here, we describe a new approach to direct quantification of RNAP bound to the Escherichia coli lac operon and its promoter, exploiting chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). Recall that ChIP, in combination with analysis of immunoprecipitated DNA, permits us to detect protein binding at any chromosomal locus [7], independent of function, and many investigators have used it to measure the distribution of RNAP across bacterial chromosomes [8-11]. Here, we exploit the properties of the drug rifampicin, which blocks RNAP bound at promoters [9,12-14], to calibrate our ChIP measurements. This allows an absolute measure of promoter occupancy and RNAP flux through downstream genes.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

2. Results

(a) Measurement of RNA polymerase flux though the *lac* operon

Formaldehyde treatment of cultures of E. coli efficiently crosslinks RNAP to bound DNA targets [8,9]. Commercially available monoclonal mouse antibodies directed against the RNAP β subunit can then be used to immunoprecipitate RNAP from sonicated extracts of the cross-linked cells, and specific DNA targets can be quantified by PCR. We chose the well-characterized E. coli K-12 lactose (lac) operon to study RNA polymerase flux. Recall that the lac operon is expressed in a transcription unit from a promoter whose activity is repressed by the Lac repressor protein, and that induction requires a chemical inducer such as isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) [15,16]. To analyse RNAP flux through the lac operon, we used the lac0 pair of probes that samples the lac promoter, and the lac1-5 pairs of probes that sample approximately 300 base pair DNA sequences that are 518, 1421, 2308, 3691 and 4654 base pairs, respectively, downstream from the transcript start. Because each probe pair creates an amplicon that is a similar size, we can directly compare signal intensity between the different probes.

Escherichia coli K-12 strain MG1655, growing exponentially in medium, either with or without IPTG, was subject to our ChIP protocol (see Material and methods section for details, and electronic supplementary material, table S1 for probe sequences), and figure 1 shows quantification of the immunoprecipitated DNA at different loci in the lac operon (probed with the lac0-5 probes). The data show that the inclusion of IPTG in the bacterial growth media triggers a more than a 100-fold increase in levels of imunoprecipitated DNA, confirming that RNAP association with the lac operon is regulated by the Lac repressor. Accepting that the level of immunoprecipitated DNA corresponding to each probe reflects the amount of transcribing RNAP associated with the chromosomal DNA corresponding to each probe, the data argue that, at least for the first 2000 base pairs of the operon, RNAP levels remain constant, while they decline towards the end of the operon, presumably contributing to polarity effects [17]. Some quantitative differences seen with certain fragments, for example, with the lac3 probes, are likely owing to pause sequences [18,19].

In order to calculate the absolute numbers of RNA polymerase molecules associated with the lac operon from the data in figure 1, we needed to measure the quantity of immunoprecipitated DNA that results from the binding of a single RNAP molecule. To do this, we exploited the property of rifampicin to block initiating RNAP at promoters and to inhibit transcript elongation [9]. Hence, rifampicin was added to MG1655 cells growing in the presence of IPTG, and figure 1 shows quantification of immunoprecipitated DNA at the different lac operon loci, probed with the lac0-5 probes. As expected, rifampicin causes a sharp decrease in the levels of immunoprecipitated DNA corresponding to the lac1-5 probes, but an increase with the lac0 probes. If we take the measured signal with the lac0 probe as indicative of a single promoter-bound RNAP, then we can deduce that, during induction in our growth conditions, the lac promoter is approximately 50% occupied, which is consistent with experimental data showing that the activity of the E. coli lac



Figure 1. RNAP flux through the *lac* operon on the *E. coli* MG1655 chromosome. The figure shows experimentally measured RNAP occupancies at the *lac* promoter region (denoted lac0), or downstream regions (denoted lac1–5), illustrated in the sketch of the operon (approximately to scale). The probes are located from position -147 to +123 (lac0), position +518 to +781 (lac1), position +1421 to +1686 (lac2), position +2308 to +2575 (lac3), position +3691 to 3949 (lac4) and position +4654 to 4916 (lac5), all positions being with respect to the *lac* operon transcript start site. Cell cultures were grown and treated with formaldehyde, as described in the Material and methods section. Total DNA with cross-linked proteins was extracted and sonicated, and fragments cross-linked to RNAP were purified by immunoprecipitation. RNAP occupancy was measured by a ChIP–qPCR protocol. The figure illustrates measurements from cells grown with or without the inducer IPTG and with or without rifampicin, as indicated by the different shadings.

promoter is limited by the recruitment of RNAP [20,21]. Furthermore, the data permit an estimate of the flux of RNAP through the *lac* operon. Messenger elongation by RNAP in bacteria is known to proceed, on average, at 20–50 bases per second [22–24]. Because the DNA segment corresponding to each of the lac1–5 probes consists of approximately 300 base pairs, which would take at least 6 s to transcribe, approximately 33% observed occupancy by RNAP implies that a transcribing RNAP must arrive on average no more frequently than once every 18 s (3 × 6). This unexpected low level is likely owing to the time that individual RNAP molecules can take to escape from the promoter [25–27].

(b) RNA polymerase supply at the *lac* promoter is location-dependent

In a previous study, we found that the measured activity of the *lac* promoter in *E. coli* strain MG1655 was dependent on its chromosomal location [28]. To show this, we constructed a portable *lac* promoter::green fluorescent protein (*gfp*) cassette that we inserted at different chromosomal locations. We found that expression varied by up to 200-fold according to location, and, using ChIP, we showed that the measured differences were due to different levels of RNAP associated with the *gfp* gene. Because *lac* promoter activity is limited by RNAP recruitment [20], we reasoned that the differences could be caused by the concentration of available RNAP differing from one chromosomal location to another. Hence, to



Figure 2. Chromosome position effects on activities of the *lac* (*a*) and λpL (*b*) promoters. The figure shows experimentally determined measurements of the expression of *lac* and λpL promoter::*gfp* fusions, at different locations on the *E. coli* chromosome. Fluorescent output from the reporter cassette was measured during growth in the presence of 100 μ .M IPTG, and is represented on the *y*-axis. Chromosomal positions of the reporter cassette are represented on the *x*-axis and denoted in the figure by the name of a neighbouring gene. Below each chart is a linear schematic of the *E. coli* genome, with the origin of replication (*OriC*), terminus (*dif*), macrodomains and non-structured regions (NSR, right, Ter, left, NSL, ori) shown as previously reported [30].

test this, we replaced the *lac* promoter with the bacteriophage λ major leftward promoter (λP_L), whose activity is known to be limited by RNAP escape rather than recruitment [29]. Figure 2 shows the results of an experiment where we compared *gfp* expression from either our *lac* promoter::*gfp* or λP_L ::*gfp* fusions inserted into the MG1655 chromosome at differences in expression are much smaller with the λP_L ::*gfp* fusions than with the *lac* promoter::*gfp* fusions, and this is consistent with the suggestion that the effective concentration of RNAP differs according to location along the *E. coli* chromosome.

(c) Promoter determinants alter RNA polymerase recruitment and RNA polymerase escape

Although the E. coli lac operon promoter is often adopted as a paradigm, we wanted to compare results with an unrelated promoter, and so we used a previously constructed set of promoter::lac fusions [31], where the promoters carry different combinations of -35, extended -10 and -10 elements upstream of the galP1 transcript start region. In an initial experiment, we selected the KAB-TTTG promoter that carries the -35 element TAGACA (consensus is TTGACA), an extended -10 element of TTTG (consensus is TGTG) and a -10 hexamer of TATGGT (consensus is TATAAT). Figure 3 illustrates ChIP data from an experiment run either with or without rifampicin, from which, as before, RNAP occupancy can be calculated. Surprisingly, the data reveal occupancy and flux levels that are similar to the induced lac promoter. Hence, promoter occupation by RNAP, as judged by the ratio of signal without rifampicin to with rifampicin, is approximately 40%, whereas occupancy of the downstream DNA segments corresponding to the Lac1357 and Lac2720 probes, ranges from 10% to 20%, which would correspond to an RNAP flux of one every 30 s.

Because it is well established that promoter -35 and extended -10 elements contribute to the recruitment of RNAP at bacterial promoters [32,33], we repeated the experiment with derivatives of the KAB-TTTG promoter carrying the p34T point mutation that creates a consensus -35 element (TTGACA), or the p16G mutation that creates a consensus extended -10 element (TGTG). As a control, we also used a derivative of the KAB-TTTG promoter with the p12C mutation that creates a corrupted -10 element (CATGGT). The results, illustrated in figure 3, show that recruitment of RNAP is reduced by the -10 element mutation. In contrast, recruitment of RNAP to the promoter is increased to approximately 50% by the consensus -35element, and to nearly 100% by the consensus extended -10 element. However, for both promoters, the increase in occupancy leads to only modest increases in RNAP flux through the downstream-transcribed DNA.

3. Discussion

We have developed a simple method, based on ChIP with *E. coli*, for quantifying the binding of RNAP *in vivo* to any specific segment of DNA. For promoter regions, we can directly measure occupancy by RNAP, whereas for regions within transcription units, we can deduce the flux of RNAP. The method exploits rifampicin that specifically targets RNAP and blocks it in open complexes at promoters. Here, we make the assumption that formaldehyde equally efficiently cross-links rifampicin-blocked RNAP, RNAP that





140

120

100

80

60

40

20

0

Figure 3. RNAP flux through the *lac* operon controlled by synthetic promoters. The figure shows experimentally determined levels of RNAP occupancy at the promoter and downstream regions Lac1357 and Lac2720, measured by ChIP – qPCR, during exponential growth in the presence of absence of rifampicin, as indicated by the different shading. The positions of probe regions are shown on the schematic diagrams (approximately to scale). RNAP occupancy of each promoter in the presence of rifampicin is taken as 100% occupied and other figures normalized accordingly. Data are shown for the KAB-TTTG promoter and three mutant derivatives: p16G (an extended -10 element 'up' mutant), p34T (a -35 element 'up' mutant) and p12C (a -10 element 'down' mutant).

is bound and paused at promoters, and elongating RNAP, to cognate DNA targets. This appears reasonable as RNAP has a large molecular mass, and makes intimate contacts with the DNA template in all three situations.

KAB-TTTG

□ 0 µm rifampicin

🔲 50 μm rifampicin

140

120

100

80

60

40

20

0

RNAP occupancy units

Our results are consistent with previous observations that transcript initiation at the *lac* operon promoter is limited by RNAP recruitment [20,21]. We believe that this explains, at least in part, our previous observation that the expression of a *lac* promoter::*gfp* fusion differs according to its location on the *E. coli* chromosome [28], because the activity of a promoter that is limited by RNAP recruitment will depend on the local concentration of available RNAP. Hence, we suggest that, according to its position on the *E. coli* chromosome, the promoter will sample different locations, including locations where the effective concentration of RNAP is higher or lower. Consistent with this, a recent live-cell super-resolution microscopy study of RNAP in *E. coli* showed that the vast majority of non-transcribing RNAP molecules that were 'searching' for promoters were DNA-bound [34]. Interestingly, we found that Lac repressor-mediated repression of the *lac* operon promoter is not dependent on location [28]. From this, we deduce that diffusion of the Lac repressor ensures that its effective concentration is the same at all locations within the *E. coli* cell, whereas diffusion of larger RNAP molecules is constrained, and this is consistent with calculations of macromolecular mobility in bacteria [35].

The low measured flux of RNAP through the *lac* operon is consistent with previous observations, from both *in vitro*

4

5

[26,27] and in vivo [36] studies, that the transition of RNAP from the transcriptionally competent open complexes to the elongating complex, via the promoter escape phase, is not simple and can be slow and rate-limiting. We observe low flux of one RNAP every 18-50 s, irrespective of whether transcription was being driven by the lac promoter or by genetically engineered promoters, even when the promoter is fully occupied. This underscores that promoters, as well as being drivers of transcription, are also bottlenecks, and delays to RNAP result in reduced flux through downstream-transcribed sequences [36,37]. Contributing reasons for delays include pauses owing to scrunching [38-40], pauses owing to disengagement of various RNAP determinants with promoter elements [32,33,41] and sigma factor-mediated pauses early in the elongation phase [42,43]. Additionally, it may well be that there are topological and mechanical reasons why transcribing RNAP molecules must be well separated, but, to date, these are speculative and poorly understood.

We are aware that the measured rates of RNAP flow that we report here are surprisingly low, and depend critically on estimates of RNA chain growth rates. Hence, it is worth underscoring that measured in vivo rates of RNA chain elongation [22-24] are corroborated by single molecule studies of RNA chain growth in vitro [44], and that previous estimates of RNAP flux, defined by synthetic biologists in terms of polymerase per second (PoPS) units, are consistent with our findings [5,45]. The prime motive for initiating this project was the need, perceived by the synthetic biologists, to provide robust characterization for 'parts' that could be used in novel circuits. Taken together, our results argue that full and robust characterization might not be possible, and, for many promoters, their 'performance' is context-dependent. Recent insights into transcript initiation and elongation, confirmed here by the low measured levels of RNAP flux through the lac operon, contradict the simple view that promoters are simply devices that 'feed' RNAP into transcription units [37]. Hence, while 'parts' such as the lac promoter have many uses in synthetic biology, their full exploitation will require considerable extension of our current knowledge base.

4. Material and methods

(a) Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

The experiments analysing the flux of RNAP through the chromosomal *lac* operon were completed using *E. coli* K-12 strain MG1655 [46], whereas the synthetic promoter experiments were conducted using a Δcrp derivative of strain M182 [47], with the promoter::*lac* fusion carried on the low copy number broad host range *lac* expression vector, pRW50 [31]. Fragments carrying the different KAB promoter derivatives were previously described [31,48]. The promoter derivatives are denoted pNX, where N is the position of the substitution upstream from the transcript start, and X is the substituted base on the non-template strand.

For the ChIP assays, triplicate single colonies were used to inoculate Luria Bertani (LB) media, supplemented with $35 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$ tetracycline, where appropriate, and incubated for 16 h at 37° C with aeration. Cells were then subcultured into fresh media to a final OD₆₅₀ of 0.03, then incubated at 37° C with aeration until an OD₆₅₀ of 0.4 was reached. The growth conditions used for fluorescence assays were the same, except M9

minimal media supplemented with 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 0.1% casamino acids, 0.3% fructose and 100 μM IPTG was used [28].

(b) Construction of plasmids, chromosomal recombination and green fluorescent protein measurements

To construct the gene doctoring donor plasmids required to insert the λP_L ::gfp fusion into the genome of E. coli MG1655, an oligodeoxynucleotide was synthesized to encode an EcoRI restriction target site and the λP_L G-12 T up-mutant $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ leftward promoter fused to the ribosome binding site of the lacZ gene. This ribosome binding site was used in order to make the fusion comparable to previously used lac promoter::gfp fusion [28]. This oligonucleotide primer was then used with a primer downstream of the HindIII site in the pJB plasmids to create an EcoRI-HindIII λP_L promoter fragment, which was subsequently cloned into EcoRI-HindIII digested pJB plasmids containing the appropriate homology regions for insertion into the chromosomal targets used previously [28]. Insertion of the λP_L promoter::*gfp* fusion into the target chromosomal loci was achieved exactly as described previously by the gene doctoring chromosome recombineering method [28,49], and fluorescence assays were run using the growth conditions described above.

(c) Chromatin immunoprecipitation and quantitative real-time PCR analysis

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and quantitative realtime PCR (qPCR) were performed as before, using commercial mouse monoclonal antibody for the RNAP β subunit (Neoclone no. W0002) to immunoprecipitate DNA cross-linked to RNAP [8,28,50]. Overnight cultures were used to subculture into fresh LB to a final OD₆₅₀ of 0.025, and incubated at 37°C, with aeration, until an OD₆₅₀ of 0.4 was reached. When appropriate, rifampicin was added to the culture to a final concentration of 50 µM, and incubated for 15 min, which is sufficient to trap RNAP molecules at promoters [8,9]. Cells were cross-linked by addition of formaldehyde to a final concentration of 1%, and incubated for a further 20 min at 37°C. After the ChIP protocol, immunoprecipitated DNA was quantified by qPCR, using the Agilent Technologies Stratagene Mx3005P machine and the Agilent Brilliant III Ultra-fast SYBR Green qPCR master mix, and this permitted calculation of RNAP occupancy units for each amplicon. Oligonucleotide primers were designed to amplify approximately 300 bp regions with the same PCR efficiency, either at promoter regions or within the lac operon (primer sequences are listed in electronic supplementary material, table S1). Control primers were used to amplify transcriptionally silent control regions. Enrichment of ChIP samples for RNAP binding was calculated relative to the transcriptionally silent control regions, as previously described [50], with the samples from rifampicin-treated cells used to define 100% occupation.

Authors' contributions. The work was done by B.S. and J.B., who designed the experiments, together with D.L. and S.B. The manuscript was written by S.B. and J.B. We are grateful to Rita Godfrey for technical support, and to Victor de Lorenzo for stimulating discussions and advice throughout the work.

Competing interests. We have no competing interests.

Funding. This project was funded in part by the UK BBSRC and the Wellcome Trust.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the EU Framework Programme 7 ST-FLOW Project for supporting this work.

References

- Ishihama A. 2000 Functional modulation of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 54, 499–518. (doi:10.1146/annurev. micro.54.1.499)
- Browning DF, Busby SJ. 2004 The regulation of bacterial transcription initiation. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2, 57-65. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro787)
- Lee DJ, Minchin SD, Busby SJ. 2012 Activating transcription in bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 66, 125–152. (doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150012)
- Bon M, McGowan SJ, Cook PR. 2006 Many transcribed genes in bacteria and yeast are transcribed only once per cell cycle. *FASEB J.* 20, 1071–1074. (doi:10.1096/fj.06-6087fje)
- Kelly JR *et al.* 2009 Measuring the activity of BioBrick promoters using an *in vivo* reference standard. *J. Biol. Eng.* **3**, 4. (doi:10.1186/1754-1611-3-4)
- Xu H, Sepulveda LA, Figard L, Sokac AM, Golding I. 2015 Combining protein and mRNA quantification to decipher transcriptional regulation. *Nat. Methods* 12, 739-742. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.3446)
- Wade JT, Struhl K, Busby SJ, Grainger DC. 2007 Genomic analysis of protein-DNA interactions in bacteria: insights into transcription and chromosome organisation. *Mol. Microbiol.* 65, 21–26. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05781.x)
- Grainger DC, Hurd D, Harrison M, Holdstock J, Busby SJ. 2005 Studies of the distribution of *Escherichia coli* cAMP-receptor protein and RNA polymerase along the *E. coli* chromosome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 17 693–17 698. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 0506687102)
- Herring CD, Raffaelle M, Allen TE, Kanin El, Landick R, Ansari AZ, Palsson BO. 2005 Immobilisation of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase and location of binding sites by use of chromatin immunoprecipitation and microarrays. *J. Bacteriol.* 187, 6166–6174. (doi:10.1128/JB.187.17.6166-6174.2005)
- Cho BK, Zengler K, Qiu Y, Park YS, Knight EM, Barrett CL, Gao Y, Palsson BO. 2009 The transcription unit architecture of the *Escherichia coli* genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 27, 1043–1049. (doi:10. 1038/nbt.1582)
- Davis SE, Mooney RA, Kanin El, Grass J, Landick R, Ansari AZ. 2011 Mapping *E. coli* RNA polymerase and associated transcription factors and identifying promoters genome-wide. *Methods Enzymol.* 498, 449–471. (doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-385120-8. 00020-6)
- Sippel A, Hartmann G. 1968 Mode of action of rafamycin on the RNA polymerase reaction. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* **157**, 218–219. (doi:10. 1016/0005-2787(68)90286-4)
- McClure WR, Cech CL. 1978 On the mechanism of rifampicin inhibition of RNA synthesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 253, 8949–8956.

- Campbell EA, Korzheva N, Mustaev A, Murakami K, Nair S, Goldfarb A, Darst SA. 2001 Structural mechanism for rifampicin inhibition of RNA polymerase. *Cell* **104**, 901–912. (doi:10.1016/ S0092-8674(01)00286-0)
- Reznikoff WS. 1992 The lactose operon-controlling elements: a complex paradigm. *Mol. Microbiol.* 6, 2419–2422. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.1992. tb01416.x)
- Wilson CJ, Zhan H, Swint-Kruse L, Matthews KS. 2007 The lactose repressor system: paradigms for regulation, allosteric behaviour and protein folding. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 64, 3–16. (doi:10.1007/s00018-006-6296-z)
- Ullmann A, Joseph E, Danchin A. 1979 Cyclic AMP as a modulator of polarity in polycistronic transcriptional units. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 76, 3194–3197. (doi:10.1073/pnas.76.7.3194)
- Larson MH *et al.* 2014 A pause sequence enriched at translation start sites drives transcription dynamics *in vivo. Science* **344**, 1042 – 1047. (doi:10. 1126/science.1251871)
- Vvedenskaya IO, Vahedian-Movahed H, Bird JG, Knoblauch JG, Goldman SR, Zhang Y, Ebright RH, Nickels BE. 2014 Interactions between RNA polymerase and the 'core recognition element' counteract pausing. *Science* **344**, 1285–1289. (doi:10.1126/science.1253458)
- Malan TP, Kolb A, Buc H, McClure WR. 1984 Mechanism of CRP-cAMP activation of *lac* operon transcription initiation. *J. Mol. Biol.* 180, 881–909. (doi:10.1016/0022-2836(84)90262-6)
- Buckle M, Fritsch A, Roux P, Geiselmann J, Buc H. 1991 Kinetic studies on promoter-RNA polymerase complexes. *Methods Enzymol.* 208, 236–258. (doi:10.1016/0076-6879(91)08016-B)
- Murakawa GJ, Kwan C, Yamashita J, Nierlich DP. 1991 Transcription and decay of the lac messenger: role of an intergenic terminator. *J. Bacteriol.* **173**, 28-36.
- Vogel U, Jensen KF. 1994 The RNA chain elongation rate in *Escherichia coli* depends on the growth rate. *J. Bacteriol.* **176**, 2807–2813.
- Chen H, Shiroguchi K, Ge H, Xie XS. 2015 Genomewide study of mRNA degradation and transcript elongation in *Escherichia coli. Mol. Syst. Biol.* 11, 781–791. (doi:10.15252/msb.20145794)
- Hsu LM. 2008 Promoter escape by *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase. *EcoSal Plus* 3. (doi:10.1128/ ecosalplus.4.5.2.2)
- Chander M, Lee A, Vallery TK, Thandar M, Jiang Y, Hsu LM. 2015 Mechanisms of very long abortive transcript release during promoter escape. *Biochemistry* 54, 7393–7408. (doi:10.1021/acs. biochem.5b00712)
- Bauer DL, Duchi D, Kapanidis AN. 2016 *E. coli* RNA polymerase pauses during initial transcription. *Biophys. J.* **110**, p21a. (doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2015. 11.170)

- Bryant JA, Sellars LE, Busby SJ, Lee DJ. 2014 Chromosome position effects on gene expression in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, 11 383–11 392. (doi:10.1093/nar/gku828)
- Kincade JM, deHaseth PL. 1991 Bacteriophage lambda promoters pL and pR: sequence determinants of *in vivo* activity and of sensitivity to the DNA gyrase inhibitor, coumermycin. *Gene* 97, 7–12. (doi:10.1016/0378-1119(91)90003-T)
- Boccard F, Esnault E, Valens M. 2005 Spatial arrangement and macrodomain organization of bacterial chromosomes. *Mol. Microbiol.* 57, 9–16. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04651.x)
- Burr T, Mitchell J, Kolb A, Minchin S, Busby S. 2000 DNA sequence elements located immediately upstream of the — 10 hexamer in *Escherichia coli* promoters: a systematic study. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 28, 1864–1870. (doi:10.1093/nar/28.9.1864)
- Miroslavova NS, Busby SJ. 2006 Investigations of the modular structure of bacterial promoters. *Biochem. Soc. Symp.* 73, 1–10. (doi:10.1042/bss0730001)
- Hook-Barnard IG, Hinton DM. 2007 Transcription initiation by mix and match elements: flexibility for polymerase binding to bacterial promoters. *Gene Regul. Syst. Biol.* 1, 275–293.
- Stracy M, Lesterlin C, Garza de Leon F, Uphoff S, Zawadzki P, Kapanidis AN. 2015 Live-cell superresolution microscopy reveals the organisation of RNA polymerase in the bacterial nucleoid. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 4390–4399. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.1507592112)
- Parry BR, Surovtsev IV, Cabeen MT, O'Hern CS, Dufresne ER, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2014 The bacterial cytoplasm has glass-like properties and is fluidised by metabolic activity. *Cell* **156**, 183–194. (doi:10. 1016/j.cell.2013.11.028)
- Reppas NB, Wade JT, Church GM, Struhl K. 2006 The transition between transcription initiation and elongation in *E. coli* is highly variable and often rate limiting. *Mol. Cell* 24, 747–757. (doi:10.1016/j. molcel.2006.10.030)
- Browning DF, Busby SJ. 2016 Local and global regulation of transcription initiation in bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* (doi:10.1038/nrmicro787)
- Revyakin A, Liu C, Ebright RH, Strick TR. 2006 Abortive initiation and productive initiation by RNA polymerase involve DNA scrunching. *Science* **314**, 1139–1143. (doi:10.1126/science.1131398)
- Kapanidis AN, Margeat E, Ho SO, Kortkhonjia E, Weiss S, Ebright RH. 2006 Initial transcription by RNA polymerase proceeds through a DNA scrunching mechanism. *Science* **314**, 1144–1147. (doi:10.1126/science.1131399)
- Winkelman JT, Vvedenskaya IO, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Bird JG, Taylor DM, Gourse RL, Ebright RH, Nickels BE. 2016 Multiplexed protein-DNA cross-linking: scrunching in transcription start site selection. *Science* **351**, 1090–1093. (doi:10.1126/science. aad6881)

- Hsu LM. 2002 Promoter clearance and escape in prokaryotes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1577, 191–207. (doi:10.1016/S0167-4781(02)00452-9)
- Perdue SA, Roberts JW. 2011 Sigma 70-dependent transcription pausing in *Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol.* 412, 782-792. (doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2011.02.011)
- Strobel EJ, Roberts JW. 2015 Two transcription pause elements underlie a sigma 70-dependent pause cycle. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 4374–4380. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1512986112)
- 44. Larson MH, Landick R, Block SM. 2011 Singlemolecule studies of RNA polymerase: one singular

sensation, every little step it takes. *Mol. Cell* **41**, 249–262. (doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2011.01.008)

- Nielsen AAK, Der BS, Shin J, Vaidyanathan P, Paralanov V, Strychalski E, Ross D, Densmore D, Voight CA. 2016 Genetic circuit design automation. *Science* 352, 6281. (doi:10.1126/science.aac7341)
- Blattner FR *et al.* 1997 The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Science* 277, 1453 – 1462. (doi:10.1126/science.277.5331.1453)
- Busby SJ, Kotlarz D, Buc H. 1983 Deletion mutagenesis of the *Escherichia coli* galactose operon promoter region. *J. Mol. Biol.* **167**, 259–274. (doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(83)80335-0)
- Miroslavova NS. 2005 Studies on the recognition of Escherichia coli promoters and their elements. PhD Thesis, University of Birmingham, UK.
- Lee DJ, Bingle LE, Heurlier K, Pallen MJ, Penn CW, Busby SJ, Hobman JL. 2009 Gene doctoring: a method for recombineering in laboratory and pathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains. *BMC Microbiol.* 9, 252. (doi:10.1186/1471-2180-9-252)
- Bonocora RP, Fitzgerald DM, Stringer AM, Wade JT. 2013 Non-canonical protein-DNA interactions identified by ChIP are not artefacts. *BMC Genomics* 14, 254. (doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-254)